

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
REGARDING THE 2016 CALIFORNIA EXISTING BUILDING CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 10**

(HCD 04/15)

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(1) requires an update of the information contained in the initial statement of reasons. If update identifies any data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the state agency is relying that was not identified in the initial statement of reasons, the state agency shall comply with Government Code Section 11347.1)

No data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is relying has been added to the rulemaking file that was not identified in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

HCD proposed 15-Day Express Terms which corrected an omission of an existing California amendment to Section 3405.1 Repairs. To correct this oversight, HCD proposed to correctly bring forward the above referenced existing California amendment from Section 3405.1.2 of the 2013 CBC, as new California amendment Section 404.1.1 to the 2016 CEBC. There is no intended change in regulatory effect from the 2013 CBC.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

(Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2), if the determination as to whether the proposed action would impose a mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the finding(s))

HCD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on school districts. HCD has determined that the proposed regulations would impose a mandate on local agencies however; HSC 17951 provides for local agencies to prescribe fees to offset costs of enforcement.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(3)) requires a summary of EACH objection or recommendation regarding the specific adoption, amendment, or repeal proposed, and explanation of how the proposed action was changed to accommodate each objection or recommendation, or the reasons for making no change. This requirement applies only to objections or recommendations specifically directed at the agency's proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the action, or reasons for making no change. Irrelevant or repetitive comments may be aggregated and summarized as a group.

The text with proposed changes clearly indicated was made available to the public from **September 11, 2015**, until **October 26, 2015**.

No comment(s) were received during the 45-Day public comment period, which ended on **October 26, 2015**. No comment(s) were received after the close of the public comment period.

There was a subsequent 15-Day public comment period. The text with proposed changes clearly indicated was made available to the public from **December 10, 2015**, until **December 28, 2015**.

No comment(s) were received during the 15-Day comment period, which ended on **December 28, 2015**. No comment(s) were received after the close of the public comment period.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(4)) requires a determination with supporting information that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provisions of law.

Health and Safety Code (HSC) Section 18928.1 requires building standards adopted or approved by the California Building Standards Commission to incorporate the text of the model codes, applicable national specifications, or published standards, in whole or in part, only by reference, with appropriate additions or deletions. In addition, HSC Section 18928 directs each state agency adopting or proposing adoption of a model code, national standard, or specification shall reference the most recent edition of applicable model codes, national standards, or specifications. The 2016 CEBC implements this requirement by proposing to adopt by reference the selected contents of the 2015 IEBC (i.e., model code). No other alternatives have been considered since there is a recent model code available for adoption, including any necessary existing and new California amendments. In addition, adoption of the most recent building standards on a statewide basis, as required by statute, results in uniformity and promotes affordable costs.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(5)) requires an explanation setting forth the reasons for rejecting any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses, including the benefits of the proposed regulation per 11346.5(a)(3).

There were no alternatives available to HCD. HCD is required by statute to adopt this model code by reference. Providing the most recent methods and applying those building standards on a statewide basis, as required by statute, results in uniformity and promotes affordable costs.