

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>											
<b>ALAMEDA CITY</b>						17		17	3	1	3	1
<b>EMERYVILLE</b>						69		69	10	4	10	4
<b>FREMONT</b>						11		11	2	1	2	1
<b>LIVERMORE</b>						8		8	1	0	1	0
<b>OAKLAND</b>						16		16	2	1	2	1
<b>SAN LEANDRO</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>123</b>		<b>123</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>												
<b>CHICO</b>	106		106	32	16						32	16
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>106</b>		<b>106</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>16</b>						<b>32</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>												
<b>BRENTWOOD</b>						30		30	5	2	5	2
<b>CLAYTON</b>												
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>												
<b>OAKLEY</b>						250		250	38	15	38	15
<b>PINOLE</b>												
<b>PITTSBURG</b>						218		218	33	13	33	13
<b>PLEASANT HILL</b>						10	3	13	2	1	2	1
<b>RICHMOND</b>						320		320	48	19	48	19
<b>SAN PABLO</b>						264		264	40	16	40	16
<b>SAN RAMON</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>1,092</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,095</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Fresno COUNTY</b>												
<b>CLOVIS</b>	2		2	1	0	99		99	15	6	15	6

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					TOTALS	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>FRESNO CITY</b>						38		38	6	2	6	2
<b>HURON</b>						68		68	10	4	10	4
<b>ORANGE COVE</b>	242		242	73	36						73	36
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>244</b>		<b>244</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>205</b>		<b>205</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>												
<b>ARCATA</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>												
<b>BAKERSFIELD</b>	15		15	5	2						5	2
<b>CALIFORNIA CITY</b>												
<b>DELANO</b>												
<b>SHAFTER</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>15</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>						<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>												
<b>CORCORAN</b>						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>LEMOORE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>												
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>												
<b>ALHAMBRA</b>												
<b>BELL GARDENS</b>												
<b>BURBANK</b>						10		10	2	1	2	1
<b>COVINA</b>						1		1	0	0	0	0

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl Ob.	10. VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
GLENDALE						64		64	10	4	10	4
IRWINDALE	23		23	7	3						7	3
LANCASTER						237		237	36	14	36	14
LAWNDALE												
LONG BEACH												
LOS ANGELES CITY	104		104	31	16	373		373	56	22	87	38
MAYWOOD						53		53	8	3	8	3
MONROVIA												
MONTEREY PARK												
PALMDALE						1		1	0	0	0	0
POMONA												
SAN FERNANDO						98		98	15	6	15	6
SAN GABRIEL												
SANTA MONICA						41		41	6	2	6	2
SIERRA MADRE	45		45	14	7						14	7
SIGNAL HILL						59		59	9	4	9	4
WALNUT												
WEST HOLLYWOOD												
	<b>County Totals:</b>		<b>172</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>937</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>82</b>
MADERA COUNTY												
CHOWCHILLA						80		80	12	5	12	5
MADERA CITY												
	<b>County Totals:</b>					<b>80</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>
MERCED COUNTY												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					TOTALS	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----						
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl Ob.	10. VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
LOS BANOS						105		105	16	6	16	6
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>105</b>		<b>105</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Monterey COUNTY</b>												
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>												
SOLEDAD						72		72	11	4	11	4
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>72</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>												
ANAHEIM	95		95	29	14						29	14
BREA						5	1	6	1	0	1	0
BUENA PARK						150		150	23	9	23	9
CYPRESS						4		4	1	0	1	0
GARDEN GROVE												
SANTA ANA												
STANTON						95		95	14	6	14	6
TUSTIN						466		466	70	28	70	28
WESTMINSTER						5		5	1	0	1	0
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>95</b>		<b>95</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>												
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>												
<b>ROCKLIN</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>												
CATHEDRAL CITY						41		41	6	2	6	2
COACHELLA						32		32	5	2	5	2
LA QUINTA						59	3	62	9	4	9	4

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	PALM DESERT	21		21	6	3						6
PALM SPRINGS						84		84	13	5	13	5
RANCHO MIRAGE						55		55	8	3	8	3
RIVERSIDE CITY	5		5	2	1						2	1
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	93		93	28	14						28	14
TEMECULA						24		24	4	1	4	1
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>119</b>		<b>119</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>36</b>
SACRAMENTO COUNTY												
SACRAMENTO CITY						119		119	18	7	18	7
SACRAMENTO COUNTY						83		83	12	5	12	5
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>202</b>		<b>202</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY												
CHINO						3		3	0	0	0	0
COLTON	1	5	6	2	1						2	1
GRAND TERRACE												
HIGHLAND												
MONTCLAIR												
ONTARIO						136		136	20	8	20	8
RANCHO CUCAMONGA												
RIALTO						69		69	10	4	10	4
SAN BERNARDINO CITY						5		5	1	0	1	0
VICTOR VALLEY												
VICTORVILLE												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>213</b>		<b>213</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>14</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>											
<b>CARLSBAD</b>						4		4	1	0	1	0
<b>CORONADO</b>												
<b>EL CAJON</b>												
<b>POWAY</b>	89		89	27	13						27	13
<b>SAN DIEGO CITY</b>						398		398	60	24	60	24
<b>SAN MARCOS</b>	284		284	85	43	31		31	5	2	90	44
<b>SANTEE</b>						54		54	8	3	8	3
<b>VISTA</b>						3		3	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>373</b>		<b>373</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>490</b>		<b>490</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>												
<b>SAN FRANCISCO</b>	234		234	70	35	22		22	3	1	74	36
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>234</b>		<b>234</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>												
<b>RIPON</b>						21		21	3	1	3	1
<b>STOCKTON</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>21</b>		<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>												
<b>GROVER BEACH</b>						1		1	0	0	0	0
<b>PASO ROBLES</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>												
<b>MENLO PARK</b>												
<b>SAN BRUNO</b>						325		325	49	20	49	20
<b>SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO</b>												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	County Totals:					325	325	49	20	49	20	
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>GUADALUPE</b>												
<b>LOMPOC</b>												
SANTA BARBARA CITY	122		122	37	18					37	18	
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
	122		122	37	18					37	18	
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>CAMPBELL</b>												
						4		4	1	0	1	0
<b>MORGAN HILL</b>												
						9		9	1	1	1	1
<b>SAN JOSE</b>												
						762		762	114	46	114	46
<b>County Totals:</b>												
						775		775	116	47	116	47
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>												
<b>CAPITOLA</b>												
SANTA CRUZ CITY						15		15	2	1	2	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>WATSONVILLE</b>												
						25		25	4	2	4	2
<b>County Totals:</b>												
						42		42	6	3	6	3
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>												
<b>REDDING</b>												
	1		1	0	0	37		37	6	2	6	2
<b>SHASTA LAKE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
	1		1	0	0	37		37	6	2	6	2
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>												
<b>DIXON</b>												
						55		55	8	3	8	3
<b>FAIRFIELD</b>												
							84	84	13	5	13	5

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>SUISUN CITY</b>											
VACAVILLE	248	10	258	77	39					77	39	
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Sonoma COUNTY</b>												
<b>CLOVERDALE</b>												
HEALDSBURG						15		15	2	1	2	1
ROHNERT PARK						57		57	9	3	9	3
SANTA ROSA						113		113	17	7	17	7
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>185</b>		<b>185</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>												
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>						69		69	10	4	10	4
TURLOCK	44		44	13	7					13	7	
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>44</b>		<b>44</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>69</b>		<b>69</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>												
<b>PORTERVILLE</b>												
<b>TULARE CITY</b>												
TULARE COUNTY		8	8	2	1					2	1	
VISALIA												
WOODLAKE												
<b>County Totals:</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>					<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>												
<b>OXNARD</b>						111		111	17	7	17	7
SANTA PAULA						64		64	10	4	10	4
<b>SIMI VALLEY</b>												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>175</b>		<b>175</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>												
<b>DAVIS</b>	59		59	18	9					18	9	
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>9</b>					<b>18</b>	<b>9</b>	
<b>Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 138</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1,856</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>6,248</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>6,339</b>	<b>951</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>1,508</b>	<b>659</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.