

JM
2013

Department of Housing and Community Development

ANNUAL HOUSING ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT

City or County Name: City of Anaheim

Mailing Address: 200 S. Anaheim Blvd #162
Anaheim, CA 92808

Contact Person: Jonathan Borrego Title: Planning Services Manager

Phone: 714 765-5066 FAX: 714 765-5280 E-mail: jborrego@anaheim.net

Reporting Period by Calendar Year: from 2012 to 2013

Housing Policy Department
Received on:
APR - 1 2014

These forms and tables, (see sample – next page) must be submitted to HCD and the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) on or before April 1, of each year for the prior calendar year; submit separate reports directly to both HCD and OPR (Government Code Section 65400) at the addresses listed below:

Department of Housing and Community Development
Division of Housing Policy Development
P.O. Box 952053
Sacramento, CA 94252-2053

-and-

Governor's Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

ANNUAL ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT
Housing Element Implementation
(CCR Title 25 §6202)

Jurisdiction City of Anaheim
Reporting Period 1/1/2013 - 12/31/2013

Table A

Annual Building Activity Report Summary - New Construction
Very Low-, Low-, and Moderate-Income Units and Mixed-Income Multifamily Projects

Housing Development Information									Housing with Financial Assistance and/or Deed Restrictions		Housing without Financial Assistance or Deed Restrictions
1	2	3	4				5	5a	6	7	8
Project Identifier (may be APN No., project name or address)	Unit Category	Tenure R=Renter O=Owner	Affordability by Household Incomes				Total Units per Project	Est. # Infill Units**	Assistance Programs for Each Development	Deed Restricted Units	Note below the number of units determined to be affordable without financial or deed restrictions and attach an explanation how the jurisdiction determined the units were affordable. Refer to instructions.
			Very Low-Income	Low-Income	Moderate-Income	Above Moderate-Income			See Instructions	See Instructions	
Colony Park		O	0	8	8	98	114	114	Land write-down/Public Improvements/Down Payment Assistance/Energy Star Rebates	Yes	Disposition and Development Agreement
Domain		O	0	4	1	25	30	30	Land write-down/Public Improvements/Down Payment Assistance/Energy Star Rebates/Remediation	Yes	Disposition and Development Agreement
Calendula Court		R	16	16	0	0	32	32	9% Tax Credits/Density Bonus	Yes	Density Bonus Housing Agreement
Anton Monaco		R	24	205	0	3	232	232	4% Tax Credits/Bonds	Yes	Affordable Housing Agreement
(9) Total of Moderate and Above Moderate from Table A3 ▶			0	8	8	8	8	8			
(10) Total by income Table A/A3 ▶ ▶			40	233	9	134	416	416			
(11) Total Extremely Low-Income Units*			2								

* Note: These fields are voluntary

ANNUAL ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT
Housing Element Implementation
(CCR Title 25 §6202)

Jurisdiction City of Anaheim
Reporting Period 1/1/2013 - 12/31/2013

Table A2
Annual Building Activity Report Summary - Units Rehabilitated, Preserved and Acquired pursuant to GC Section 65883.1(c)(1)

Please note: Units may only be credited to the table below when a jurisdiction has included a program in its housing element to rehabilitate, preserve or acquire units to accommodate a portion of its RHNA which meet the specific criteria as outlined in GC Section 65583.(c)(1)

Activity Type	Affordability by Household Incomes				(4) Description of Activity Including Housing Element Program Reference
	Very Low-Income	Low-Income	Moderate-Income	TOTAL UNITS	
(1) Rehabilitation Activity	189	0	3	192	A) Avon Dakota and Paseo Village Apartment Rehabilitation: Rehabilitation Projects for Families per Strategy 4A for the provision of Very-low and Low-income rental housing units. Two units at Avon Dakota deed restricted to extremely low income qualified residents
(2) Preservation of Units At-Risk	0	0	0	0	
(3) Acquisition of Units	0	0	0	0	
(5) Total Units by Income	189	0	3	192	

Table A3
Annual building Activity Report Summary for Above Moderate-Income Units
(not including those units reported on Table A)

	1. Single Family	2. - 4 Units	3. 5+ Units	4. Second Unit	5. Mobile Homes	6. Total	7. Number of infill units*
No. of Units Permitted for Moderate							
No. of Units Permitted for Above Moderate	6			2		8	8

* Note: This field is voluntary

ANNUAL ELEMENT PROGRESS REPORT
Housing Element Implementation
(CCR Title 25 §6202)

Jurisdiction City of Anaheim
Reporting Period 1/1/2013 - 12/31/2013

Table B

Regional Housing Needs Allocation Progress

Permitted Units Issued by Affordability

Enter Calendar Year starting with the first year of the RHNA allocation period. See Example.			2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total Units to Date (all years)	Total Remaining RHNA by Income Level
Income Level	RHNA Allocation by Income Level		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9		
Very Low	Deed Restricted	1,971	87	152	60	150	16	95	43	229		832	1,139
	Non-deed restricted		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Low	Deed Restricted	1,618	96	52	142	61	6	1	9	233		600	1,017
	Non-deed restricted		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Moderate	Deed Restricted	1,874	76	57	82	33	62	5	1	12		328	-493
	Non-deed restricted		1,302	368	312	57	0	0	0	0	0	2,039	
Above Moderate		4,035	276	287	35	10	115	50	33	134		940	3,095
Total RHNA by COG. Enter allocation number:		9,498	1,837	917	631	311	199	151	86	608		4,740	4,758
Total Units ▶▶▶													
Remaining Need for RHNA Period ▶▶▶▶▶													

Additional Table Provided by the City

Regional Housing Needs Allocation Progress for Quantified Objectives (QO)

Permitted Units Issued by Affordability

Enter Calendar Year starting with the first year of the RHNA allocation period. See Example.			2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total Units to Date (all years)	Total Remaining RHNA by Income Level
Income Level	QO by Income Level		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9		
Very Low	Deed Restricted	788	87	152	60	150	16	95	43	229		832	-44
	Non-deed restricted		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Low	Deed Restricted	471	96	52	142	61	6	1	9	233		600	-130
	Non-deed restricted		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Moderate	Deed Restricted	809	76	57	82	33	62	5	1	12		328	-1,558
	Non-deed restricted		1,302	368	312	57	0	0	0	0	0	2,039	
Above Moderate		2,800	276	287	35	10	115	50	33	134		940	1,860
Total QO in Hsg. Element. Enter allocation number:		4,868	1,837	917	631	311	199	151	86	608		4,740	128
Total Units ▶▶▶													
Remaining QO for RHNA Period ▶▶▶▶▶													



Housing Policy Department
Received on:
APR - 1 2014

Housing Element Implementation Status

Timeframe: January to December 2013

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1A: Meet or Exceed the Production Goals of the Affordable Housing Strategic Plan (AHSP)
Objective:	1,328 new affordable family rental units
Responsible Party:	Community Development/Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund/Housing Set-Aside Funds
Timeline for Implementation:	December 2009
Status:	<p>On August 22, 2005, the City Council adopted the 2005-2009 Affordable Housing Strategic Plan (“Strategic Plan”) with the primary goal of constructing 1,200 affordable rental housing units with affordability levels of very-low, low and moderate income. The City Council subsequently increased the 2005-2009 Strategic Plan goal to 1,349 units. In October 2009, the City Council extended the Strategic Plan through 2014 and increased the goal to 2,812 units. The City Council also diversified the Strategic Plan to include affordable for-sale housing, rehabilitation of existing structures and preservation of “At-Risk” rental housing.</p> <p>Since 2005, 927 new rental, for-sale and rehabilitation units have been completed with another 498 in the development pipe-line for a total of 1,425 units. The projects that are completed, underway or in the development pipe-line are broken-out as follows:</p> <p>Rental New Construction (Completed):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Vineyard – 60 Units • Monarch Pointe – 63 Units • Diamond Street – 25 Units • Broadway Village – 46 Units • Elm Street - 52 Units • CIM – 277 Units • Pradera Apartments – 146 Units • Greenleaf Apartments – 20 Units • Arbor View Apartments – 46 Units • Vintage Crossings (South Street) – 92 Units • Cherry Orchard – 45 Units <p>Rental New Construction (Underway/in Development pipeline):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anton Monaco – 232 Units



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calendula Court – 32 Units • Lincoln Family Apartments – 90 Units <p>For-sale Affordable Housing Projects (Completed):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colony Park Phase I, II and III – 168 affordable units, 444 total units • Harbor Lofts – 44 affordable units, 129 total units <p>For-Sale Affordable Underway/in Development Pipe-line:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domain I (Parcel B) – 6 affordable units, 60 total units • Domain II (Parcel C) – 4 affordable units, 40 total units • Colony Park Phase IV – 57 affordable units, 226 total units • 2726 West Lincoln Avenue – 10 affordable units, 34 total. <p>Rental Rehabilitation (Completed):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrity House – 49 affordable units • Colette’s Children’s Home – 4 affordable units • Casa Del Sol – 4 affordable units • Mariposa Village – 8 affordable units • Avon Dakota Neighborhood Phase I – 16 affordable units • Paseo Village – 176 affordable units <p>Rental Rehabilitation (Underway/in Development Pipe-line):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hermosa Village V – 16 Units • Avon Dakota Neighborhood Phase II – 28 Units
--	---

Timeframe: January 2010

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1J: Development of Housing Information Clearinghouse
Objective:	Facilitate Dissemination of Affordable Housing Information and Resources
Responsible Party:	Community Development/Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund/Housing Authority/Redevelopment
Timeline for Implementation:	Establish outreach strategy and develop implementation plan and promotional materials by January 2010
Status:	<p>The Community Development Department continues to use citywide public forums to conduct community outreach, including the 4 Neighborhood Councils and the Downtown Taskforce.</p> <p>In April 2012, the Anaheim Housing Counseling Agency (AHCA)</p>

	<p>discontinued these services due to staff and funding reductions. However, Anaheim residents are still able to obtain housing counseling through the Anaheim office of the Neighborhood Housing Services of Orange County agency.</p> <p>The Community Development Department continues to maintain and improve various outreach programs to promote the City’s affordable housing programs. The Community Development Department has developed an Outreach/Resources Guide to serve as a tool for staff and a housing services resource for Anaheim residents.</p> <p>The Community Development Department uses several citywide public forums to conduct community outreach. These forums are a great information sharing resource and provide an invaluable tool for City staff and affordable housing developers to promote affordable housing. These forums include:</p> <p><u>Public Forums:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • East Neighborhood Council • West Neighborhood Council • South Neighborhood Council • Central Neighborhood Council • Downtown Taskforce <p>The Community Development Department also conducts housing program outreach through internal and external methods as follows:</p> <p><u>Signage:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Downtown Affordable Housing and Future Redevelopment Promotional Banners • “Live Two Feet Away” Billboards • Homeownership Education Fair Banners • Free Foreclosure Prevention Workshop Banners • Advertisement at Various City Facilities <p><u>Publications:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anaheim Redevelopment Magazine • Anaheim Magazine • Economic Development-Residential Opportunities • “Live Two Feet Away” Fliers • Local Newspaper Advertisement
--	---



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homeownership Education Fliers • Affordable Housing Fliers • Housing Navigator Newsletter <p><u>Anticipated Future Resources:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotional Materials Booth at local Farmers Market • Community Center Promotional Materials • Promotional Materials Provided at Gas Company Customer Lobby • Promotional Materials at Local City Libraries • Channel 3 - Anaheim’s Local Cable Television Channel
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Conservation and Preservation Strategy 2C: Community-Based Neighborhood Enhancement
Objective:	Community Participation
Responsible Party:	Planning/Community Development/ Police/Community Services
Source of Funds:	General Fund/HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Establish outreach strategy and develop implementation plan by January 2010
Status:	<p>The City of Anaheim’s Neighborhood Improvement Program (“NIP”) is a strong collaboration between City Departments to share ideas and resources to improve Anaheim neighborhoods. The NIP has helped create a strong Partnership between the City and other Neighborhood Stakeholders (residents, property owners, school officials, business community, faith community, non-profit organizations) to improve neighborhoods.</p> <p>The following projects have been completed during calendar year 2013 through the Neighborhood Improvement Program:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of speed lumps on Wilken Way • Greenleaf/Glenoaks neighborhood parking plan • Greenleaf/Glenoaks neighborhood traffic calming plan • Installation of 25 infill street light projects • Benmore/Canfield neighborhood block wall improvements <p>Quality of Life Services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared two issues of the District Neighborhood Council Newsletter and provided each issue to approximately 7,000 residents. • Held four Anaheim Religious Community Council meetings.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partnered with Rio Vista Elementary School, Paul Revere Elementary School and Stoddard Elementary School to hold District Neighborhood Council Meetings. • Assisted with the groundbreaking event for the Miraloma Park and Family resource Center project in the Park Lane neighborhood. • Assisted with the groundbreaking event for Paul Revere Park project in the Guinida Lane neighborhood. • Held sixteen District Neighborhood Council Meetings. • Assisted with numerous neighborhood traffic calming and spillover parking meetings. • Assisted with “Adopt-A-Neighborhood” graffiti removal events. • Reached 171 faith-based organizations and 680 congregants through the facilitation of the Anaheim Religious Community Council. • Provided neighborhood-based assistance to over 10,000 community stakeholders • Reached over 800 community members with important community and city information through the provisions of 16 District Neighborhood Council Meetings <p>As part of the NIP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighborhood Clean-Ups: 39 <p>The following are projects completed during calendar year 2012 through the Neighborhood Improvement Program:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orangewood Ave. speed feedback/radar sign relocation • Nohl Ranch Rd. speed feedback/radar sign relocation • Rose/Bush/Vine neighborhood parking plan (one way traffic calming & street re-striping) • Installment of speed lumps in the Guinida Lane neighborhood • Installment of speed lumps in the Camino Pinzon neighborhood • Installment of speed lumps in the Old Bridge neighborhood • Installment of 20 infill street light projects • Beach Blvd. street safety and signage project <p>As part of the NIP.</p> <p>Neighborhood clean ups: 38</p>
--	---

	<p>The following are projects completed during calendar year 2011 through the Neighborhood Improvement Program:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guinida Lane neighborhood street light project. • Partnered with Public Works to improve street and alley conditions in various neighborhoods. • Partnered with Utilities to upgrade street lights in various neighborhoods. • Construction of ADA access ramps at the corners of Wilhelmina St./Resh St. & Wilhelmina St./Pine St. • Construction of sidewalk along Sequoia Ave., west of Brookhurst St. • Crosswalk improvement in front of the Senior Center on Center St. • Avon/Dakota neighborhood street rehabilitation project. <p>As part of the NIP, the City conducts a variety of community outreach events/programs. These community events/programs included the following actions:</p> <p>Quality of Life Services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared four issues of the Neighborhood Council Newsletter and provided each issue to approximately 6,800 residents. • Held four Anaheim Religious Community Council Meetings. • Partnered with Ponderosa Elementary School, Paul Revere Elementary School and Stoddard Elementary School to hold Neighborhood Council meetings in the South District. • Partnered with Rio Vista Elementary School to hold Neighborhood Council meetings in the East District. • Assisted with 5 community meetings to continue the design efforts for the Miraloma Park and Community Center project. • Held 15 community events at the newly acquired Miraloma Park and Community Center site. • Held sixteen District Neighborhood Council Meetings. • Coordinated 32 neighborhood cleanups. • Organized numerous neighborhood traffic calming, and spillover parking meetings. • Participated in the Anaheim Community Anti-Graffiti Effort (ACAGE). • Participated in the Anaheim Complete Count Committee
--	--



	<p>for the 2010 US Census.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participated in the Mayor’s Hi Neighbor Initiative. • Assisted with six “Adopt-A-Neighborhood” graffiti removal events.
	<p>The following projects were completed during calendar year 2009 through the Neighborhood Improvement Program:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of a sidewalk, landscape beautification project on Miraloma Avenue. • Lemon-La Palma traffic calming project. • Eighteen (18) street/alley light projects, which included the installation of 74 lights. • Rio Vista/Jackson neighborhood street light project. • Frontera Street landscaping project. • Installed playground equipment at Rio Vista Park in partnership with parks division. • Partnered with Public Works Department to install new flood channel gates in the Thornton-Brady Neighborhood. • Partnered with Public Works Department for the purchase and installation of eight street speed radar units.

Timeframe: June 2010

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1Q: Compliance with SB 2-Adequate Sites for Emergency Shelters/Transitional Housing
Quantified Objective:	Compliance with SB 2
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Compliance by June 2010
Status:	In February 2012, the City Council approved a code amendment to permit emergency shelters in the City’s Industrial (I) Zone subject to specified standards. A code amendment was also approved by the City Council in September 2013 relating to the siting of supportive and transitional housing uses in residential zones in compliance with Senate Bill SB (2) State law.

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1V: Rezoning of Housing Opportunity Sites
Objective:	Rezoning of Opportunity Sites



Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	June 2010
Status:	The Residential Overlay Zone code amendment was adopted by the City Council in October 2011 and the sites were rezoned in September 2013 (Resolution No. 2013-150, Ordinance No. 6287 and Ordinance No. 6288).
Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3C: Adopt Reasonable Accommodation Procedures
Objective:	Adopt Policy/Procedures
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	June 2010
Status:	Completed: Procedures were amended into the zoning code in mid-year 2013.

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3F: Parks and Open Space
Objective:	Update General Plan Green Element
Responsible Party:	Planning/Community Services
Source of Funds:	Park Fees
Timeline for Implementation:	June 2010
Status:	<p>The City implements and amends the Green Element of the General Plan through ongoing planning process. Since the adoption of the Housing Element, the City has added new park, identified new park areas, and are working on programs to improve access and availability for outdoor access. Specific projects in include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anaheim Outdoors Connectivity Plan adopted by Council April 2013 • Mira Loma Park and Community Center- under construction • Paul Revere Park- under construction • Amendment of the Bikeways Master Plan based on the approved Anaheim Outdoors Connectivity Plan starting in 2014 • Expansion of Ponderosa Park, Gym, and Family Resource Center completion by 2016



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anaheim Coves Northern Extension design will start in 2014 • Circle Park complete in 2015 • Expand Olive Hills Park with a Dog Use Area complete in 2014 • Development of Park on Nohl Ranch Road east of Canyon Library completion date unknown
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3G: Community Design
Objective:	Provide analysis and related recommendations
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	June 2010
Status:	Staff reviewed the Community Design Element of the General Plan and determined that amendments were not necessary. The Element continues to be referenced and used for housing projects and will be updated if necessary at a future date.

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3H: Definition of Family
Objective:	Definition of “family” consistent with fair housing laws
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	June 2010
Status:	The City evaluated the current definition of family in the Municipal Code and found that no revisions were necessary to be consistent with State and federal fair housing laws.

Timeframe: December 2010

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1B: Implementation of an Affordable Housing Overlay Zone
Objective:	Development of an Affordable Housing Overlay
Responsible Party:	Planning/Community Development
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	December 2010
Status:	Preparation of an Affordable Housing Overlay Zone, which was a



	component of the City’s 2005-2009 Affordable Housing Strategic Plan, has been superseded by Housing Production Strategy 1V (Rezoning of Housing Opportunity Sites) and is no longer being pursued.
--	--

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3D: Universal Design
Objective:	Explore programs and incentives for Universal Design
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	December 2010
Status:	Universal Design Principles were adopted in 2012 and are available on the City’s Website to guide the design and construction of homes to incorporate features that are usable by people of all abilities. These features help to create housing that can allow residents to stay in their homes over their lifetime and create living environments that are safer and more accessible for everyone. The Universal Design program intends to provide development incentives which will facilitate the building of residential spaces that include products and technology to accommodate families of all ages and backgrounds.

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3E: Child Care
Objective:	Review and Revision of Zoning Code
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	December 2010
Status:	Several meetings and discussions have taken place with various child care interest groups and ways by which to streamline the City’s childcare permit process have been identified. This Code amendment will be part of the City’s Regulatory Relief Task Force efforts to reduce process time-frames and is expected to be completed mid-year 2013. In August 2013, the City amended the Municipal Code removing the distance requirement between other adult care facilities and large family daycare homes. The distance requirement will only apply from one large family daycare home to another, providing increased areas where large family day care homes may be located.

Timeframe: 2014



Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1G: Encourage the Development of Housing for Extremely-Low Income Households
Objective:	120 extremely-low income units
Responsible Party:	Redevelopment/Planning/Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside/General Fund/HOME/ CDBG
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	<p>Since 2006, seventeen projects were completed, or have obtained building permits. The projects, which included a total of 248 extremely-low income units, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Vineyard – 6 Units • Hermosa Village Phase III – 8 Units • Monarch Pointe – 10 Units • Diamond Street – 24 Units • Broadway Village – 5 Units • Hermosa Village Phase IV – 4 Units • Elm Street – 6 Units • Arbor View – 5 Units • Pradera Apartments (Lincoln Anaheim) – 34 Units • Integrity House – 48 Units • Greenleaf Apartments – 6 Units • Colette’s Children’s Home – 4 Units • Casa Del Sol – 4 Units • Vintage Crossings (South Street) – 68 Units • Cherry Orchard – 6 Units • Mariposa Village – 8 Units • Avon Dakota Phase I – 2 Units

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1N: Second Mortgage Assistance Program (SMAP/EPAL)
Objective:	90 households
Responsible Party:	Redevelopment Agency
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	<p>2006 - 9 Households 2007 – 8 Households 2008 – 39 Households 2009 – 31 Households 2010 – 24 Households 2011 – 17 Households</p>



	2012 – 26 Households 2013 – 27 Households
--	--

Strategy	Housing Production Strategy 1O: HOME Homebuyer Program
Objective:	108 households
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HOME
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	2006 – None 2007 – 2 Households 2008 – 10 Households 2009 – 8 Households 2010 – 6 Households 2011 – 1 Household 2012 – 0 Households 2013 – 0 Households

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1P: Police Residence Assistance
Objective:	36 households
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	2006 – 4 Households 2007 – 1 Households 2008 – 3 Households 2009 – 3 Households 2010 – 0 Households 2011 – 0 Households 2012 – 0 Households 2013 – 1 Households

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1R: Affordable Housing Program (AHP)
Objective:	12 households
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Federal Tax Credits/County of Orange
Timeline for	2014



Implementation:	
Status:	Funding for AHP is currently not available.

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1T: Housing Stabilization Program
Objective:	200 referrals
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	<p>In 2009, the first year funding was available, the Housing Counseling Agency referred 332 households facing foreclosure to appropriate Agencies that help restructure loan terms and/or provide financial assistance.</p> <p>Four households were assisted in the purchase of a foreclosed home using Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds in 2009. One household was assisted using NSP funds in 2010. (801 N. La Reina Circle, 131 S. Connie Street, 1510 E. Cedar Avenue, 1312 N. Columbine, and 512 N. Bush)</p> <p>Staff is continuing its efforts to assist families in the purchase of foreclosed homes and is currently working with other low-income households who may qualify for NSP program funds.</p> <p>Additionally, in 2010, the Community Development purchased a 4-unit apartment complex located at 833 S. Dakota Street for rehabilitation and conversion to affordable housing for extremely-low income families.</p> <p>In 2011, NSP funds were used to assist twelve households to purchase a foreclosed home at the Harbor Lofts town home development.</p> <p>2012 – Program discontinued.</p>

Strategy:	Housing Conservation and Preservation Strategy 2D: Neighborhood Improvement Program
Objective:	Mitigation of substandard units
Responsible Party:	Planning/ Community Services
Source of Funds:	General Fund/ HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	As described in the “Housing Conservation and Preservation

	<p>Strategy 2C: Community-Based Neighborhood Enhancement” item above, several neighborhood improvement plans and efforts are underway to mitigate substandard housing in the City most challenged neighborhoods. The Neighborhood Improvement Program assists in mitigating substandard housing through the efforts of interdepartmental Neighborhood Improvement Teams. The Neighborhood Improvement Teams have been established to identify and improve deteriorated neighborhoods by ensuring active participation by all neighborhood stakeholders and working with them to create a vision of what the neighborhood can achieve in becoming a quality place to live. Neighborhoods are guided through a process that ultimately transforms a neighborhood from a place with relatively poor quality of life to one that exhibits an improved quality of life.</p> <p>The City recently adopted a city-wide Quality Rental Housing Program. The goal of the Quality Rental Housing Program is to provide safe and healthy units and neighborhoods. The Program will conduct periodic surveys of rental housing units to allow the City to identify, and work with owners to correct code violations that result in unsanitary, unsafe and /or unhealthful living conditions, threats to the structural integrity of buildings and deferred maintenance of structures. The Program will allow the City to achieve its goal of implementing and proactive program to abate substandard rental housing units.</p>
--	--

Strategy:	Housing Rehabilitation Strategy 4A: Affordable Housing Acquisition and Rehabilitation
Objective:	39 Very-low income units and 61 Low income units
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	Complete units by July 2014
Status:	The Community Development Department completed the Hermosa Village Acquisition/Rehabilitation Project Phases II through IV between 2005 and 2008 consisting of 25 extremely-low, 155 very-low, and 44 low-income units. In 2008, the Community Development Department also completed the rehabilitation of the Bel’Age Manor Senior housing project consisting of 180 very-low income units.

	<p>The Community Development Department completed Integrity House in 2010, Colette’s Children’s Home and Casa Del Sol in 2011 and Mariposa Village in 2012 consisting of 8 extremely-low income units. In 2013, construction was completed on the Avon Dakota Rehabilitation Project, Phase I consisting of 2 extremely-low and 13 very-low units and the Paseo Village Rehabilitation Project consisting of 174 very-low income units.</p> <p>The projects are described below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrity House: 48 Extremely-low units. • Colette’s Children’s Home: 4 Extremely-low units. • Casa Del Sol: 4 Extremely-low units • Mariposa Village: 8 Extremely-low units • Avon Dakota Phase I : 2 Extremely-low and 13 Very-low units • Paseo Village: 174 Very-low units. <p>The Community Development has acquired a property consisting of four units in the Hermosa Village Neighborhood and is pursuing other property acquisitions for the creation of affordable housing as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hermosa Village Phase V: 4 Extremely-low units <p>In 2012, the Community Development Department implemented a Housing Rehabilitation Rebate Program for the rehabilitation of very-low, low income units with Code Violations. Forty-three 43 units were rehabilitated. In 2013, six units were rehabilitated.</p>
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Rehabilitation Strategy 4B: Rehabilitation of Single Family Homes
Objective:	120 lower income households
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	CDBG and Set-Aside (Loans)
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	2006 – 3 lower income households 2007 – 2 lower income households 2008 – 5 lower income households 2009 – 9 lower income households 2010 – 6 lower income households 2011 – 3 lower income households 2012 – Program discontinued.



Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5F: Project Based Voucher Program
Objective:	654 vouchers
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	<p>Since 2006, 422 Section 8 Project Based Vouchers have been approved for projects that have been leased up. One hundred and forty-nine vouchers were processed for approval and lease up in 2011 and 2012. To date, the total number of Project Based Vouchers that have been approved for affordable housing projects is 710.</p> <p>No new Project Based Vouchers were processed in 2012 and 2013.</p>

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5H: One-Time Rental Assistance Program
Objective:	Allocate \$175,000 to assist 105 low and very-low income households
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HOME
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	<p>Low and very-low income households assisted during the following years:</p> <p>2006 – Allocated: \$49,024/ Assisted: 37 households 2007 – Allocated: \$48,935/ Assisted: 30 households 2008 – Allocated: \$1,733/ Assisted: 13 households 2009 – Allocated: \$24,957/ Assisted: 17 households 2010 – Allocated: \$29,171/ Assisted: 17 households 2011 – Allocated: \$18,822/ Assisted: 11 households 2012 - Allocated \$18,190/ Assisted 13 households 2013 – Allocated \$18,190/ Assisted 1 household</p>

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5I: Counseling Assistance Program
Objective:	279 households counseled



Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	2014
Status:	Households counseled: 2006 – 312 households 2007 – 362 households 2008 – 402 households 2009 – 411 households 2010 – 595 households 2011 – 401 households 2012 – Program discontinued.

Timeframe: 2024

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1I: Implementation of The Platinum Triangle Master Land Use Plan
Objective:	Implementation of The Platinum Triangle Master Land Use Plan
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	2024
Status:	Implementation of the Platinum Triangle Master Land Use Plan continues with 1,920 residential units completed to date. In addition, during the 2006-2014 planning period, the City Council has approved amendments to the plan to increase the number of residential units permitted within this area from 9,825 residential units to up to 18,988 residential units at densities of up to 100 units/acre.

Timeframe: Ongoing

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1C: Expedited Processing for Extremely-Low, Very-Low, Low and Moderate Income Housing Developments
Objective:	Expedited processing for affordable housing developments.
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	An expedited entitlement/plan review process for all affordable housing projects was established in 2009 and remains in effect. Through the expedited process, affordable housing projects realize



	a typical time savings of 20 days.
--	------------------------------------

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1D: Redevelopment Agency Set-Aside Funds at 30% of Property Tax Increment
Objective:	30% of tax increment for Housing Set-Aside
Responsible Party:	Redevelopment Agency
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	<p>Effective February 1, 2012, Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state were eliminated as stipulated under the approved AB 1X 26 legislation.</p> <p>This action has had a drastic effect on the creation of affordable housing and the City’s ability to meet its future housing goals.</p> <p>On January 10, 2012, the City Council adopted a resolution electing to serve as the successor agency to the Anaheim Redevelopment Agency (Agency). As the successor agency, the City Council will administer the enforceable obligations of the Agency and wind down the Agency’s affairs, subject to the review of an “oversight board”.</p> <p>On January 24, 2012, the Governing Board of the Anaheim Housing Authority (Authority) adopted a resolution to assume the housing assets and functions previously held and performed by the Agency upon dissolution of the Agency. The Authority will have the ability to develop affordable housing should funding resources become available in the future.</p> <p>Despite the Community Development Department’s limited ability to continue to fund the production of affordable housing due to the State’s action to eliminate Redevelopment Agencies and the loss of approximately \$15 million dollars annually in tax increment for affordable housing, the Community Development Department has continued its efforts to make progress towards implementing the goals and policies of its existing Housing Element and in achieving its overall RHNA allocation.</p>



Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1E: Affordable Senior Housing Program
Objective:	Senior Housing Development
Responsible Party:	Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	There is no senior housing activity to report at this time.

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1U: Land Acquisition and Write Downs
Objective:	10 Acres
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	<p>Since 2006, the Community Development Department has acquired 11 properties, totaling approximately 20 acres, for the development of affordable housing. Between 2007 and 2009 the Community Development Department provided land in the form of ground leases to developers for the development of affordable housing (The Vineyard, Monarch Pointe, Diamond Street, Greenleaf and Pradera Apartments (Lincoln Anaheim CHOC)). These properties consisted of 13.7 acres and \$17,084,662 in land cost savings to developers.</p> <p>In 2011, the Community Development Department entered into two additional ground leases consisting of 5 acres and \$11,975,501 in land cost savings to developers (Vintage Crossings (South Street) and Cherry Orchard).</p> <p>In 2012, the Community Development Department acquired four properties and entered into a ground lease consisting of 29,378 square feet and 2,365,000 in land cost saving to the developer (Avon Dakota Phase I).</p> <p>In 2013, the Community Development Department acquired three properties and will be entering into a ground lease consisting of 5,551 square feet and 2,307,568 in land cost saving to the developer (Avon Dakota Phase II).</p>



Strategy:	Housing Conservation and Preservation Strategy 2E: Relocation and Preservation of Historic Homes
Objective:	20 Historic Homes
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Redevelopment Funds
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	2006 – None 2007 – 1 home (211 N Olive Street) 2008 – 3 homes (Center Street) 2009 – 9 homes (Water Street/Stueckle Avenue) 2010 – None 2011 – None 2012 – None 2013 – None

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5J: Workforce Housing
Objective:	Explore opportunities to encourage additional local workforce housing through community partnerships
Responsible Party:	Community Development/Planning
Source of Funds:	General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Ongoing
Status:	<p>Since 2006, the Community Development Department has completed 879 units of extremely-low, very-low, low and moderate-income rental workforce housing units consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Vineyard – 60 Units • Monarch Pointe – 63 Units • Broadway Village – 46 Units • Elm Street – 52 Units • Pradera Apartments – 146 Units • Arbor View Apartments – 46 Units • Greenleaf Apartments – 20 Units • CIM – 277 Units • Colette’s Children’s Home – 4 Units • Casa Del Sol – 4 Units • Vintage Crossings (South Street) – 92 Units • Mariposa Village – 8 Units • Cherry Orchard – 45 Units • Avon Dakota Neighborhood – 16 Units <p>Another 370 units are under in the pipe-line, entitled or under</p>

	<p>construction as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anton Monaco – 232 Units • Calendula Court – 32 Units • Lincoln Family Apartments – 90 Units • Hermosa Village Phase V – 16 Units <p>In addition, the Community Development Department has built seven for-sale affordable projects consisting of a total of 8 very-low, 159 low, 134 moderate and 460 above moderate income units as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cantada Square – 41 affordable units, 82 total units • Cantada Lane – 8 affordable units, 28 total units • Habitat for Humanity – 4 affordable units • The Boulevard – 36 affordable units, 56 total units • Harbor Lofts – 44 affordable units, 129 total units • Ventura/Picadilly – 17 above-moderate units • Colony Park Phase I, II and III – 168 affordable units, 444 total units <p>Another 326 for-sale units, including 67 affordable units, are under construction or underway and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colony Park Phase IV – 57 affordable units, 226 total units • Domain I (Anaheim Boulevard Residential Parcel B) – 6 affordable units, 60 total units • Domain II (Anaheim Boulevard Residential Parcel C) – 4 affordable units, 40 total units
--	---

Timeframe: Annually

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1F: Availability of Housing for Larger Families
Objective:	Develop dwelling units with 2-, 3- and 4- bedroom counts
Responsible Party:	Redevelopment/Planning
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside/General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	The current Affordable Housing Strategic Plan encourages the development of larger family units consisting of 2's, 3's and 4 bedroom units. Since 2006, nine new development projects were completed consisting of 570 larger family units. (The Vineyard (60), Monarch Pointe (63), Broadway Village (46), Elm Street (52), Pradera Apartments (146), Greenleaf Apartments (20), Arbor



	<p>View Apartments (46), Vintage Crossings (South Street – 92) and Cherry Orchard (45)).</p> <p>Another two large family projects are expected to be completed by January 2015 (St. Anton Monaco Partners and Calendula Court) consisting of 261 units, which will bring the total to 831 larger family units.</p> <p>The Community Development Department is working with a Developer (Payne Development, Inc.) for the construction of a 90 large family affordable rental project. The Department assisted with entitlements and submittal of a 9% Tax Credit Application to the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee for the project.</p>
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1H: Encourage the Development of Housing for Special Needs Households
Objective:	Develop units for special needs households
Responsible Party:	Redevelopment/Planning
Source of Funds:	ESG/CDBG/HOME/Redevelopment/General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>Since 2006, 74 special needs units have been completed (Diamond Street (25 units) and Integrity House (49 units). In 2011, the Community Development Department completed Colette’s Children’s Home (4 units) and Casa Del Sol (4 units) for a total of 8 units.</p> <p>In 2012, the Community Development Department completed the rehabilitation of an 8-unit apartment property to provide additional special needs housing (Mariposa Village).</p> <p>No special needs housing projects were processed in 2013.</p>

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1K: Support for Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's)
Objective:	Provide funds to qualified CHDO's for affordable unit production
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HOME
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	The Community Development Department provided 48 Project



	<p>Based Vouchers and a loan in the amount \$315,000 to Anaheim Supportive Housing for Senior Adults, a qualified CHDO for the Integrity House rehabilitation project.</p> <p>In 2010, the Community Development Department provided 4 Project Based Vouchers and a loan in the amount \$398,875 to Community Housing Resources, Inc. (CHRI), a qualified CHDO for the acquisition and rehabilitation of a 4-unit apartment complex (Casa Del Sol) for special needs housing.</p> <p>In 2011, the Community Development Department also provided 8 Project Based Vouchers and a loan in the amount \$510,736 to Community Housing Resources, Inc. (CHRI), a qualified CHDO for the acquisition and rehabilitation of a 8-unit apartment property (Mariposa Village) for special needs housing.</p> <p>Each year the City of Anaheim receives a funding allocation from the HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME). Each year the allocation has steadily declined. In 2012 the City of Anaheim received a funding allocation from the HOME Investment Partnership of \$296,659 to be made available to CHDOs organizations.</p> <p>No projects identified in 2012.</p> <p>No projects identified in 2013.</p>
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1L: Developer Incentives Program
Objective:	Financial Incentives for Developers (based on available funds) to create 1,800 new affordable housing units
Responsible Party:	Community Development/Agency/ Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD/Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>The Community Development Department has assisted affordable housing developers by providing subsidy loans, long-term ground leases and miscellaneous rebates/fee credits to help off-set total development costs.</p> <p>The City is continuing the Developer Incentive Program on a smaller scale without the availability of RDA Housing Set-Aside</p>



	<p>Funds. No funds were committed in 2013.</p> <p>In 2012, the Community Development Department committed or expended the following assistance:</p> <p>Avon Dakota – Phase I</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• \$ 2,531,449 in land/site preparation cost savings <p>In 2011, the Community Development Department committed or expended the following assistance:</p> <p>Mariposa Village:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 8 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$1,701,360 in rent payments over a 15 year period• \$510,736 Loan <p>Cherry Orchard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• \$ 5,751,682 in land/site preparation cost savings• \$ 191,030 in rebates/fee credits• 44 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$ 5,301,900 in rent payments over a 15 year period <p>In 2010, the Community Development Department committed or expended the following assistance:</p> <p>Casa Del Sol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 4 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$570,240 in rent payments over a 15 year period• \$398,875 Loan <p>South Street:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• \$6,503,445 in land/site preparation cost savings• \$ 786,606 in rebates/fee credits• 91 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$11,529,942 in rent payments over a 15 year period <p>Other assistance provided to Developers in 2010 consisted of \$6,700,000 in down payment assistance for first time low-income buyers for the Colony Park Phase III and Anaheim Boulevard Residential development projects.</p>
--	--



	<p>The following funds were committed or expended during calendar year 2009:</p> <p>Lincoln Anaheim:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$6,950,326 in land/site preparation cost savings • \$ 1,163,169 in rebates/fee credits • 100 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$14,744,575 in rent payments over a 15 year period <p>Manchester/Orangewood:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$7,934,860 in land/site preparation cost savings • 72 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$11,062,115 in rent payments over a 15 year period <p>Greenleaf Apartments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$254,317 land cost savings • \$199,036 Rebates/fee credits • \$613,000 Loan <p>Collette’s Children’s Home:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$530,000 loan <p>Integrity House:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48 Project Based Vouchers consisting of \$4,024,247 in rent payments over a 15 year period • \$315,000 Loan <p>Other assistance provided to Developers in 2009 consisted of \$4,571,000 in down payment assistance for first time low-income buyers most of which was allocated to the Colony Park Development.</p>
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1M: American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI/BEGIN)
Objective:	6 Low Income Families (\$125,000/year)
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HOME
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p><u>ADDI</u></p> <p>2006 – None</p> <p>2007 – Allocated: \$287,000/ Loans: 11</p> <p>2008 – Allocated: \$156,000/ Loans: 5</p> <p>2009 – Funding was not available in 2009. The Department of Housing and Urban Development terminated this program.</p> <p>2010 – Funding was not available in 2010.</p>



	<p><u>BEGIN</u></p> <p>2006 – 3 Households</p> <p>2007 – 6 Households</p> <p>2008 – 16 Households</p> <p>2009 – 16 Households</p> <p>2010 – 36 Households</p> <p>2011 – 20 Households</p> <p>2012 – 33 Households</p> <p>2013 - 21 Households</p>
--	---

Strategy:	Housing Production Strategy 1S: Housing Enabled by Local Partnerships (HELP) Program
Objective:	18 Households
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	Cal HFA
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>2006 – 3 Households assisted</p> <p>2007 – 2 Households assisted</p> <p>2008 – 25 Households assisted</p> <p>2009 – 16 Households assisted</p> <p>2010 – Program discontinued</p> <p>2011 – Program discontinued</p> <p>2012 – Program discontinued</p>

Strategy:	Housing Conservation and Preservation Strategy 2A: Monitoring and Preservation of “At-Risk” Units
Objective:	Continual monitoring of all assisted units with focused effort on 272 at-risk units.
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Housing Set-Aside
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>The Community Development Department continues its ongoing monitoring of the 780 At-Risk Units. In 2008, the Community Development Department extended the affordability term of the 180-unit Bel’ Age Manor senior apartment project. The Community Development Department is continuing to work with the owner of the 180-unit Miracle Terrace HUD Senior Apartment Project to extend the affordability term of the project. The owner’s HUD 236 Contract expired in 2013. The Community Development Department will continue to identify and preserve</p>



	<p>the other 214 HUD units whose terms will be expiring.</p> <p>On January 25, 2011, the City Council approved the allocation of \$25,000,000 to be used for the preservation of At-Risk units. These funds are no longer available as they were invalidated by the State in 2012 with the dissolution of Redevelopment. The Community Development Department also continues to monitor the 2,595 units in its affordable rental housing stock.</p> <p>The Community Development Department continues to explore the preservation of “At Risk” projects. No projects were processed in 2012 or 2013.</p>
--	--

Strategy:	Housing Conservation and Preservation Strategy 2B: Conservation of Existing Historic Resources
Objective:	60 Mills Act contracts, 10 Rebates
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>2006 – Recorded: 47/ Rebates: 16 rebates</p> <p>2007 – Recorded: 28/ Rebates: 19 rebates</p> <p>2008 – Recorded: 20/ Rebates: 14 rebates</p> <p>2009 – Recorded: 17/ Rebates: 25 rebates</p> <p>2010 – Recorded: 14/ Rebates: 5 rebates</p> <p>2011 – Recorded: 9/Rebates: 4 rebates</p> <p>2012 – Recorded 9/Rebates: 0 (Rebates discontinued)</p> <p>2013 – Recorded 15</p>

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3A: Sustainable Development/Green Building
Objective:	Increased sustainable building practices
Responsible Party:	Public Utilities/Planning
Source of Funds:	Green Building Program/General Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually as funds are available and based on project construction schedule.
Status:	<p>No applications were processed in 2013.</p> <p>In 2012, Vintage Crossings (South Street Apartments) received USGBC LEED Platinum and received an incentive of \$30,000 for obtaining LEED certification.</p>



	<p>In 2011, six projects received funding for achieving Green Building certification/rating or installing solar energy systems. Colony Developers completed five single family homes on in-fill parcels; each home received California Build It Green rating. Pradera Apartments, completed at the end of 2010, received funding for achieving California Build It Green. This project also received a rebate for the installation of a 20 kilowatt solar energy system. A solar energy system was also installed at the Greenleaf Apartment complex which will receive funding in 2012.</p> <p>Three projects received certification in 2010. The Crossing at Anaheim (312 units) and Park Veridian Apartments (320 units) each received USGBC LEED New Construction – Gold rating and 3 single family homes in The Colony development received California Build-it Green rating. The Pradera Apartments and Greenleaf Apartments, projects completed in late 2010, have applied under Anaheim City Utilities Build-It-Green and Photo-voltaic System Programs.</p> <p>Additionally, in 2007 the Vineyard Apartment project installed energy reduction measures through the Anaheim City Utilities Department Photo-voltaic System Program. In 2008, the Broadway Village affordable housing project obtained a Green Building certification under the Anaheim City Utilities Build-It-Green Program.</p>
--	--

Strategy:	Housing Quality and Design Strategy 3B: Efficient use of Energy Resources in Residential Development
Objective:	Energy conservation
Responsible Party:	Public Utilities
Source of Funds:	Public Benefits Fund
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually as funds are available
Status:	<p>In 2013, the Public Utilities Department provided incentives for two affordable housing projects, Cherry Orchard (45 units) and Avon Dakota 16 units), for the installation of high efficiency measures, including Energy Star refrigerators, dishwashers, ceiling fans and dual pane low-emissivity windows. These projects received a total of \$91,500 in rebates and achieved demand savings of 44 kW and energy savings of 62,000 kWh.</p> <p>In 2012, the Public Utilities Department provided incentives for</p>

	<p>Affordable Housing units that exceed Title 24 requirements and meet or exceed Energy Star energy efficiency criteria;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At Colony Park, 20 affordable housing units were completed and included Energy Star Dishwashers, Ceiling fans and over 2,100 sq. ft. of low-e, dual pane high performance windows in the home design. • At Mariposa Village, 8 units were also upgraded with Energy Star low-e, dual pane high performance windows. • At Vintage Crossings (South Street) South Street, all 92 units received Energy Star Dishwashers and Refrigerators. In addition 304 Energy Star ceiling fans were installed as well as over 9,100 sq. ft. of low-e, dual pane high performance windows. <p>These 3 projects (totaling 120 units) resulted in 90 kW and 116,321 kWh in annual energy savings.</p> <p>The Public Utilities Department also issued incentives to residential customers in existing homes for Energy Star appliances and high efficiency conservation measures.</p> <p>Under the City’s Utilities Department Public Benefits Program, the following projects are expected to receive rebates for use of Energy Star appliance and other energy reduction measure meeting or exceeding Title 24 requirements:</p> <p>In FY10-11 the Department provided affordable housing construction incentives for low income customers at four projects, including: Colony Park, Arbor View, Greenleaf and Casa Del Sol. A total of 133 units received energy efficiency upgrades.</p> <p>In addition, the Department provided green building incentives for two market rate properties that received their green building certification, including The Crossing and Colony Park. A total of 297 units received energy upgrades above Title 24 requirements with Energy Star appliances, dual pane, low-e high efficiency windows and central air conditioners.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greenleaf Apartments – 2010 • Manchester – 2011 <p>The following project received rebates for use of Energy Star appliance and other energy reduction measure meeting or exceeding Title 24 requirements:</p>
--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hermosa Village II – 2005 • Hermosa Village III – 2007 • The Vineyard – 2007 • Hermosa Village IV – 2008 • Broadway Village – 2008 • Diamond Street – 2008 • Lincoln Anaheim (CHOC) – 2010 <p>Additionally, the Anaheim Public Utilities’ Home Incentives program provides rebates and energy savings for residential customers in existing homes.</p> <p>In FY10-11, the Department issued incentives to 3,228 residential customers in the amount of \$355,551 for the installation of Energy Star appliances and high efficiency measures. This resulted in energy savings of 751,771 kWh and 810 kW.</p> <p>In FY 2009/10 (July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010), the Department issued incentives of \$452,845 to residents for the installation of Energy Star appliances and high efficiency conservation measures (included in the total expenditure was \$108,705 in ARRA stimulus funding).</p> <p>During the fiscal year, 3,008 customers participated in the program, achieving 688 kW demand savings and 1,066,906 kWh in energy savings. The measures include: Energy Star clothes washers, dishwashers, refrigerators, room air conditioners, central air conditioners, ceiling fans, windows, as well as high efficiency attic fans, pool pump motors, whole house fans and air duct repair/replacement.</p> <p>Tracy: We also provide incentives to low income customers for weatherization services. However, this is for upgrading older, existing homes and multi-family housing, not new development. In addition, the Gas company provides funding for many of the units without our involvement if the customer has gas as the primary heating fuel source.</p> <p>The Department provided funding for weatherization services and replacement of inefficient appliances in the units that have central electric heating or cooling. In FY10-11, the Department provided services to 226 customers, resulting in energy savings of 127,503 kWh and 39 kW.</p>
--	---



Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5A: Local Support of Regional Fair Housing Efforts
Objective:	Allocate \$100,000 annually, based on program funding availability
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	2006 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,988 Households 2007 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,757 Households 2008 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 2,050 Households 2009 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,908 Households 2010 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,658 Households 2011 – Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,782 Households 2012 - Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,228 Households 2013 - Allocated: \$100,000/Served: 1,153 Households

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5B: Section 8 Rental Assistance Program
Quantified Objective:	5,198 Vouchers Annually
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	2006 – Allocated: 6,033/Leased:5,915 vouchers 2007 – Allocated: 6,033/Leased:5,876 vouchers 2008 – Allocated: 6,033/Leased:6,143 vouchers 2009 – Allocated: 5,198/Leased: 5,825 vouchers 2010 – Allocated: 6,033/Leased: 5,950 vouchers 2011 – Allocated: 6,033/Leased: 6,006 vouchers 2012 - Allocated: 6,133/Leased: 6,031 vouchers 2013 - Allocated: 6,133/Leased: 5,710 vouchers

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5C: Section 8 Mainstream Housing Program Vouchers for Persons with Disabilities
Objective:	225 Vouchers
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD



Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>2006 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 251 2007 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 242 2008 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 215 2009 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 220 2010 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 215 2011 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 224 2012 - Allocated: 225/Leased: 211 2013 – Allocated: 225/Leased: 224</p> <p>Non-Elderly Disables Section 8 Vouchers 2011 – Allocated: 100/Leased 100* 2012 - Allocated: 100/Leased 100* 2013 - Allocated: 175/Leased 163>(* New allocation for non-elderly disabled received in 2011)</p>

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5D: Section 8 Family Self Sufficiency (FSS)
Objective:	90 participants
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>2006 – Goal 99/Participants: 97 2007 – Goal: 98/Participants: 102 2008 – Goal: 96/Participants: 96 2009 – Goal: 90/Participants: 95 2010 – Goal: 100/Participants: 105 2011 – Goal: 100/Participants: 103 2012 - Goal: 100/Leased: 118 2013 - Goal: 117/Leased: 124</p>

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5E: Section 8 Homeless Program
Objective:	91 vouchers (Set-aside from 6,033 Annual Vouchers in Strategy 5B)
Responsible Party:	Housing Authority
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually



Status:	2006 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 91 2007 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 91 2008 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 91 2009 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 50 2010 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 60 2011 – Allocated: 91/Leased: 48 2012 - Allocated: 91/Leased: 44 2013 - Allocated: 91/Leased: 40
----------------	--

Strategy:	Affordable Housing Opportunity Strategy 5G: Emergency Shelter Grant Program (Name changed to Emergency Solutions Grant)
Objective:	\$220,000/year in Grants (estimated)
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	HUD
Timeline for Implementation:	Annually
Status:	<p>A total of \$195,000 in funds was distributed towards homeless assistance and prevention activities and a total of 12,421 persons were served by program service providers during calendar year 2011.</p> <p>Other years/persons served are as follows: 2006 – Allocated \$220,000/Persons served: 4,873 2007 – Allocated \$189,004/Persons served: 4,486 2008 – Allocated: \$216,938/ Persons served: 4,656 2009 – Allocated: \$195,000/ Persons served: 16,883 2010 – Allocated: \$180,000/ Persons served: 11,683 2011 – Allocated: \$195,000/Persons served: 12,421 2012 – Allocated: \$210,000/Persons Served: 11,872 2013 – Allocated: \$389,204/Persons Served: 12,652</p> <p>In 2013, the Community Development Department implemented the Homeless Assistance Pilot Program to assist homeless families with children in Anaheim schools. Program funding includes \$500,000 of HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) and \$280,000 of Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds for a total of \$780,000. Initial intake activities began in late 2013. The program is expected to serve 30 to 40 families.</p>



Timeframe: As Needed

Strategy:	Housing Rehabilitation Strategy 4C: Relocation Assistance
Objective:	Relocation assistance as needed
Responsible Party:	Community Development
Source of Funds:	Redevelopment Funds/HOME/CDBG
Timeline for Implementation:	As needed
Status:	2006 – Relocated: 76/Benefits paid: \$223,569 2007 – Relocated: 36/Benefits paid: \$34,000 2008 – Relocated: 27/Benefits paid: \$129,518 2009 – No relocation activity 2010 – No relocation activity 2011 – No relocation activity 2012 – Relocated: 8/Benefits Paid: \$61,735 Benefits Paid 2013 - Relocated: 1/Benefits Paid: \$27,808 Benefits Paid