

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE**

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833
P.O. Box 952054
Sacramento, CA 94252-2054
(916) 263-2771
www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program¹ Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 2022

Highlights and Milestones

During May 2022, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program disbursed \$651.7 million to over 66,000 households, achieving the highest single-month production since inception. By month's end, the state-administered program had cumulatively provided more than \$3.6 billion in rent and utility assistance to more than 348,500 households and, thereby, kept more than 720,000 adults, children, and seniors in their homes. The state-administered program has helped those hardest hit by the pandemic, with more than 85.5 percent of households that have received rental assistance at or below 50 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI).

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped more than 88,900 households by providing more than \$666.6 million in emergency assistance. Collectively, the local programs have expended more than 76 percent of the nearly \$875 million in ERA1² and ERA2³ block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B).

March 31, 2022 marked the end of the state eviction protection period established by Chapter 27, Statutes of 2021 (AB 832). Based on the statute, tenants who had submitted complete applications for rental assistance were granted specified protections from eviction for non-payment of rent through March 31. The passage of AB 2179 (Chapter 13, Statutes of 2022) on March 31, 2022 further extended modified state eviction protections to June 30, 2022, encompassing households that submitted a complete application with the state or local rental assistance program on or before March 31, 2022. Eviction protections now extend to June 30, 2022 (for eligible applications received by March 31, 2022) for rents due between April 1, 2020 and March 31, 2022.

The state-administered program continues to expeditiously process all valid applications received by the March 31 submission deadline. Due to the time-sensitive demand and pace of payments to eligible households, the state program leveraged the use of short-term General Fund cashflow loans, as authorized by SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) to continue to deploy emergency assistance (see Cashflow Loans below).

¹ This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

² "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

³ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

HCD has submitted requests for additional ERA1 funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity through the end of May.⁴ To date, Treasury has announced two tranches of approximately \$211 million in reallocated ERA1 funds for the state-administered program with subsequent funding decisions pending. HCD will continue to request additional federal funds from Treasury to assist California households, inclusive of remaining ERA1 and ERA2 reallocations in the coming months.

Several local jurisdictions have also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. At the time of this report, 11 localities had received additional funds through the first two rounds of ERA1 reallocations, totaling approximately \$40.6 million in added federal funding.

Key Achievements

In May, the state-administered program sustained robust levels of weekly production in terms of the number of households served, amount of assistance paid, and volume of applications processed. For example, during the week of May 16, the state's program team approved 13,701 rental assistance applications totaling more than \$149.6 million in payments. Through the month of May, the state-administered program processed, approved, and paid 66,018 applications totaling \$651.7 million. Of the 424,050 tenant applications submitted to the state-administered program, 348,539 were paid as of the end of May.

To date, of the approximately \$3.62 billion in assistance payments from the state-administered program, 85.5 percent of funds were paid to benefit households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The locally administered Option B jurisdictions reported cumulative expenditures over \$666.6 million in state block grant funds to assist more than 88,900 households. Approximately 88.4 percent of state block grant funds disbursed by local programs aided households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The programs are shown with their respective performance beginning on page 10.

Cashflow Loans

SB 115 provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of General Fund resources to provide short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own emergency rental assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available. The state-administered program started utilizing the Cashflow Loan funds in March 2022. As of May 31, the state-administered program had expended approximately \$1.2 billion of the loan from the state General Fund for rent and utility assistance payments.

Throughout May, HCD continued to work with interested Option B jurisdictions to review their demonstrated needs for Cashflow Loan funds and prepare required documentation. Standard Agreements are prepared for jurisdictions that gained approval from their governing bodies to

⁴ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program> (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

accept loaned funds; it is anticipated that in June at least three Option B jurisdictions will receive a Cashflow Loan and several others will continue to explore the option of securing a Cashflow Loan.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

HCD has been closely evaluating the performance of jurisdictions that missed the state's obligation deadline (i.e., obligating at least 50 percent of their state block grants) including those Option B jurisdictions that had not yet received ERA2 block grants. HCD will continue to work with Option B jurisdictions that are subject to the statutory reallocations under state law to ensure unused state block grants are deployed to jurisdictions with unmet need and a demonstrated ability to distribute these emergency resources. Further details of the obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 14.

Customer Support

Since the March 31 application submission deadline, inbound call volumes in the Call Center continued to significantly decrease. The Call Center received over 21,000 calls per day on average in March with a peak of nearly 49,000 on March 31. In April, the average daily volume dropped to about 13,000 calls, and May's volume decreased further to approximately 9,500 calls per day. For May, total call volume was at 294,097. As with previous months, as inbound calls trended down, the state's program operator realigned agents to support other aspects of application processing.

The state-administered program maintained Case Management and Call Center staffing levels at just over 1,600 at the end of May to provide resources to address the remaining volume of applications received. The staffing is configured to allow the state-administered program to continue to process remaining applications with the goal of reviewing all applications and providing assistance to every eligible applicant by the end of June.

The following schedule reflects selected May metrics:

Incremental Monthly Metrics	2/28	3/31	4/30	5/31
Call Center/Case Management Staff	1,338	1,352	1,815	1,606
Inbound Call Volume	472,593	654,766	390,153	294,097
Paid Applications	33,940	38,056	46,557	66,018
Funds Paid to Eligible Households ⁱⁱⁱ	\$319.30	\$354.00	\$430.70	\$651.7

ⁱⁱⁱ Amounts in millions. Starting in March, figures includes both federal funds and General Fund. Schedule reflects partial data, does not sum to program totals (expenditures and other metrics).

Additional outreach attempts to request information needed to process remaining applications continues to be a priority for both Call Center and Case Management staff. As needed, an applicant receives at least three outreach attempts (email, text, phone call) prior to making a determination an applicant is non-responsive. In May, there were 154,392 tenant outreach attempts, and 81,396 landlord attempts, for a total of 235,788 for the month.

Outreach

HCD's communication efforts in May focused on reinforcing the message to assist applicants with outstanding tasks, that their case manager is still waiting for additional information, and failure to respond would lead to the denial of the application. These efforts were coordinated with the Community-Based Local Partner Network (LPN), the Call Center, and through social media.

The Housing Is Key website was updated with local resources by county for individuals seeking assistance beyond rental assistance. An Appeals Roadmap is now available on the website; this document provides a step-by-step description of how to file an appeal within 30 calendar days upon an applicant receiving an ERAP award notification or denial notice.

Local Partner Network Community Support

The LPN maintains 106 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. During the month of May, the LPN and HCD coordinated different types of outreach to contact applicants needing additional assistance; thereby providing applicants who had been assigned an application task and had not yet responded to that task (e.g., addressing an incomplete application) with further assistance.

First, the team placed over 14,600 recorded calls in applicants' respective language (e.g., Cantonese, Mandarin, English, Korean, Portuguese, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese) to non-responsive applicants with a request to complete their assigned task and to reach out to the LPN Appointment Center if additional assistance is needed. Connect rates (i.e., pickup) for these calls showed a strong response in all languages, with the lowest connect rate at over 76 percent for Tagalog, and the highest increasing to over 96 percent for Cantonese and Mandarin.

Secondly, the team provided additional live outreach to non-responsive applicants where a second authorized support user was designated on the application. Of this population, there were over 4,260 calls via the applicant's respective language, and 1,097 were reached on a live call. Of this group, 656 (60 percent) proceeded to complete the task independently, 253 (23 percent) sought the assistance of the LPN to complete the outstanding task, and 188 (17 percent) indicated no need to continue pursuing rental assistance.

The following metrics for May reflects sustained strength in the LPN:

- Over 4,700 appointments in May (including walk-in, same day, and scheduled) totaling 128,163 since inception. This represents a volume reduction of over 11,500 appointments (71 percent) compared to last month.
- 7,641 inbound Appointment Center calls in May totaling over 194,000 since inception. This represents about a 6 percent decrease since last month. The average handle time for calls increased slightly, with a duration of just under 8 minutes in English, and just under 9 minutes in other languages. Assistance is available in 14 languages.

The LPN continues to fill the role of applicant advocate for many applicants who have been asked to take action to amend or supplement their application materials. For those applicants or clients who require additional assistance beyond the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, the LPN will continue to connect those clients to local resources,

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

programs, and tenant protections. Examples of referrals include other utility assistance programs, CalFresh, homelessness prevention programs, mental health services, and CalWorks.

Statutory Basis for Report

(1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:

(A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:

- In total, over \$4.57 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.55 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds obligated for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 5/31/2022.
- \$1.22 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan obligated for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 5/31/2022.
- \$975.4 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 5/31/2022.
- \$807.3 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 5/31/2022.

(B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:

- In total, over \$4.34 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.41 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 5/31/2022.
- \$1.22 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 5/31/2022.
- \$725.5 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 5/31/2022.

(C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:

- All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.

(D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:

- Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 2.

(E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:

- Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 10.

(F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:

- This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds
 Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears ^{iv}	\$3,332,647,786.90	\$33,924,858.35	\$3,366,572,645.25
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$2,067,225,969.74	\$16,166,643.65	\$2,083,392,613.39
<=30% AMI	\$1,286,119,610.48	\$11,896,707.60	\$1,298,016,318.08
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$488,133,946.17	\$2,490,738.82	\$490,624,684.99
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$292,972,413.09	\$1,779,197.23	\$294,751,610.32
Funds obligated for prospective payments ^{iv}	\$395,829,780.10	\$8,054,425.70	\$403,884,205.80
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$323,346,730.05	\$-	\$323,346,730.05
<=30% AMI	\$190,709,983.01	\$-	\$190,709,983.01
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$79,324,945.22	\$-	\$79,324,945.22
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$53,311,801.82	\$-	\$53,311,801.82

^{iv} Obligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – State General Fund Expenditures

Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$1,188,332,880.07	\$15,568,542.42	\$1,203,901,422.49
<=30% AMI	\$725,180,312.20	\$9,031,537.68	\$734,211,849.88
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$291,140,145.43	\$3,951,675.49	\$295,091,820.92
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$172,012,422.44	\$2,585,329.25	\$174,597,751.69
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$12,453,980.87	\$0.00	\$12,453,980.87
<=30% AMI	\$7,167,059.79	\$0.00	\$7,167,059.79
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$3,141,539.27	\$0.00	\$3,141,539.27
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$2,145,381.81	\$0.00	\$2,145,381.81

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under “Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds” on page 13.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$392,737,340.52	\$30,001,641.05	\$422,738,981.57
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$371,534,219.86	\$23,880,996.40	\$395,415,216.26
<=30% AMI	\$255,553,642.35	\$12,030,219.78	\$267,583,862.13
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$73,319,874.64	\$6,762,857.98	\$80,082,732.62
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$42,660,702.87	\$5,087,918.64	\$47,748,621.51
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$81,962,498.96	\$2,481,464.78	\$84,443,963.74
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$80,608,946.71	\$2,394,119.30	\$83,003,066.01
<=30% AMI	\$50,734,313.24	\$1,087,682.76	\$51,821,996.00
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$20,160,289.30	\$759,050.38	\$20,919,339.68
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$9,714,344.17	\$547,386.16	\$10,261,730.33

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 16 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under “Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds” on page 14. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$156,187,993.53	\$13,000,759.52	\$169,188,753.05
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$131,059,352.76	\$10,104,378.09	\$141,163,730.85
<=30% AMI	\$87,555,340.32	\$6,366,675.84	\$93,922,016.16
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$29,397,567.84	\$2,299,939.19	\$31,697,507.03
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$14,106,444.60	\$1,437,763.06	\$15,544,207.66
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$49,289,443.33	\$735,684.03	\$50,025,127.36
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$46,478,403.33	\$565,336.09	\$47,043,739.42
<=30% AMI	\$31,847,675.53	\$314,710.40	\$32,162,385.93
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$10,629,198.07	\$153,256.74	\$10,782,454.81
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$4,001,529.73	\$97,368.95	\$4,098,898.68

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity- Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race	Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
American Indian or Alaska Native	6,045	4,744	\$27,368,425.52	\$19,779,099.81
Asian	26,155	23,657	\$188,427,274.84	\$70,195,812.98
Black or African American	81,896	67,923	\$449,599,800.15	\$243,884,390.19
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3,737	3,251	\$23,351,505.18	\$11,396,476.32
Other Multi-Racial	63,362	54,494	\$368,424,616.55	\$183,297,902.38
White	151,519	119,520	\$855,145,471.53	\$420,833,076.46
Not Reported	41,307	33,133	\$215,261,247.70	\$127,588,351.81
Refuse to Answer	50,029	41,817	\$279,181,301.97	\$139,380,293.41
Totals	424,050	348,539	\$2,406,759,643.44	\$1,216,355,403.36

Ethnicity	Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
Hispanic or Latino	133,991	115,056	\$685,667,653.81	\$365,904,056.18
Non-Hispanic or Latino	211,158	170,677	\$1,264,358,534.90	\$607,953,078.92
Not Reported	44,034	35,016	\$240,033,493.78	\$138,230,572.28
Refuse to Answer	34,867	27,790	\$216,699,960.95	\$104,267,695.98
Totals	424,050	348,539	\$2,406,759,643.44	\$1,216,355,403.36

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund

Figures reflect the state-administered program’s use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

Jurisdiction	Obligations				Expenditures			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospect. Utility
Alpine County	\$58,722.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-	\$58,722.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$2,013,336.95	\$36,088.58	\$208,773.11	\$4,654.24	\$2,010,670.35	\$33,219.42	\$163,040.31	\$-
Butte County	\$16,038,473.38	\$526,856.49	\$1,951,695.41	\$83,545.71	\$15,692,577.02	\$359,222.19	\$1,587,190.70	\$-
Calaveras County	\$2,864,743.94	\$55,125.39	\$142,856.78	\$10,555.06	\$2,773,042.27	\$41,566.37	\$137,585.24	\$-
Colusa County	\$906,081.85	\$12,206.61	\$47,730.98	\$2,461.34	\$711,471.30	\$11,532.88	\$35,538.99	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$167,863,808.51	\$2,223,621.65	\$21,729,458.31	\$590,791.40	\$163,098,332.13	\$2,144,832.42	\$20,220,700.56	\$-
Del Norte County	\$2,048,422.45	\$37,647.67	\$114,899.00	\$12,108.95	\$1,942,570.45	\$19,162.66	\$90,659.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$11,192,707.28	\$97,582.80	\$880,534.40	\$45,291.17	\$10,868,157.35	\$87,805.83	\$831,018.00	\$-
Fontana City	\$15,452,147.76	\$245,397.94	\$1,491,431.10	\$70,686.52	\$15,089,911.51	\$239,447.24	\$1,326,779.93	\$-
Glenn County	\$1,570,314.04	\$35,511.07	\$136,673.01	\$17,655.64	\$1,549,011.04	\$33,887.57	\$130,305.68	\$-
Humboldt County	\$16,222,396.84	\$182,013.31	\$1,470,161.50	\$75,426.75	\$15,979,849.12	\$174,884.44	\$1,263,719.97	\$-
Imperial County	\$8,510,357.95	\$67,699.49	\$1,114,955.93	\$28,324.01	\$8,402,305.27	\$65,869.11	\$1,019,823.80	\$-
Inyo County	\$600,029.30	\$11,870.81	\$55,605.05	\$4,068.70	\$548,824.30	\$9,838.37	\$47,913.75	\$-
Irvine City*	\$37,695,896.61	\$291,760.20	\$6,695,998.07	\$54,399.37	\$37,025,265.07	\$220,976.17	\$6,534,677.87	\$-
Kings County	\$7,803,920.29	\$218,228.05	\$749,705.17	\$51,143.07	\$7,724,132.93	\$217,762.16	\$731,770.81	\$-
Lake County	\$10,521,619.22	\$125,968.33	\$439,017.20	\$42,490.54	\$10,359,241.62	\$111,671.01	\$402,056.08	\$-
Lassen County	\$1,109,096.65	\$1,628.57	\$114,571.96	\$4,883.74	\$1,084,429.03	\$1,412.18	\$89,813.96	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$974,581,195.55	\$11,067,415.71	\$84,497,982.72	\$1,118,228.30	\$958,298,472.31	\$10,542,477.47	\$78,044,489.69	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$851,955,630.81	\$7,762,300.23	\$132,918,399.79	\$2,087,874.86	\$827,812,232.24	\$7,598,869.42	\$96,484,641.43	\$-
Madera County	\$7,331,769.87	\$166,729.01	\$719,466.98	\$25,249.85	\$7,043,651.26	\$152,920.29	\$648,266.44	\$-
Mariposa County	\$849,483.41	\$8,751.93	\$55,416.00	\$786.23	\$799,508.41	\$6,414.01	\$52,236.00	\$-

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Jurisdiction	Obligations				Expenditures			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Mendocino County	\$6,906,954.52	\$84,326.13	\$429,544.37	\$38,080.23	\$6,763,975.28	\$83,452.94	\$390,974.13	\$-
Merced County	\$15,808,606.74	\$234,192.04	\$2,411,762.50	\$40,635.48	\$15,365,229.69	\$225,769.62	\$1,987,033.48	\$-
Modesto City	\$14,495,940.92	\$186,613.96	\$1,613,093.21	\$37,537.71	\$14,339,821.65	\$157,887.46	\$1,379,207.17	\$-
Modoc County	\$622,675.50	\$1,291.21	\$39,417.00	\$-	\$610,625.50	\$596.61	\$36,922.00	\$-
Mono County	\$448,344.07	\$15,525.02	\$154,269.38	\$7,723.93	\$444,446.07	\$13,171.65	\$125,321.38	\$-
Napa County	\$8,709,653.64	\$115,662.09	\$1,178,068.78	\$37,732.66	\$8,507,792.24	\$112,171.00	\$1,090,960.36	\$-
Nevada County	\$6,084,455.07	\$69,842.71	\$625,638.19	\$18,167.55	\$6,079,144.71	\$68,647.74	\$558,980.39	\$-
Oakland City	\$49,698,831.18	\$392,828.04	\$4,946,818.89	\$193,642.58	\$48,368,806.65	\$344,625.29	\$4,826,631.64	\$-
Orange County	\$196,314,580.17	\$1,459,567.59	\$29,252,030.62	\$538,510.41	\$190,791,919.61	\$1,427,641.59	\$27,021,694.15	\$-
Oxnard City	\$21,672,027.49	\$241,883.72	\$3,614,609.61	\$53,557.98	\$20,978,746.87	\$235,627.97	\$3,334,970.29	\$-
Placer County	\$17,777,716.30	\$243,198.83	\$2,503,992.95	\$461,177.55	\$17,557,067.75	\$206,821.21	\$2,248,615.10	\$-
Plumas County	\$526,736.59	\$1,172.84	\$69,303.00	\$4,263.74	\$513,058.77	\$998.74	\$65,773.21	\$-
San Benito County	\$3,217,884.22	\$31,109.82	\$307,032.26	\$17,459.47	\$3,149,965.35	\$28,032.19	\$262,729.99	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$141,783,512.05	\$1,252,400.07	\$10,419,022.24	\$434,785.84	\$138,435,547.90	\$1,249,620.76	\$9,403,571.87	\$-
San Francisco County	\$147,947,278.89	\$489,461.66	\$13,587,559.81	\$196,939.59	\$144,761,482.97	\$423,044.87	\$9,878,460.78	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$23,341,077.63	\$503,451.64	\$2,217,066.51	\$34,429.87	\$22,848,201.63	\$229,195.36	\$1,905,457.59	\$-
San Jose City	\$99,115,163.75	\$636,345.85	\$12,508,858.11	\$204,753.00	\$96,035,551.32	\$492,782.37	\$11,884,479.11	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$16,422,876.97	\$145,388.80	\$1,872,566.32	\$47,007.54	\$16,307,398.85	\$123,195.21	\$1,645,470.67	\$-
San Mateo County	\$81,796,402.00	\$593,495.46	\$11,871,995.16	\$184,155.71	\$79,356,436.75	\$587,377.97	\$11,311,628.21	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,190,459.43	\$57,323.22	\$701,319.30	\$27,751.05	\$3,116,427.85	\$34,023.02	\$600,412.74	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$10,340,751.52	\$141,758.14	\$2,187,474.39	\$31,190.02	\$10,310,673.87	\$107,923.39	\$2,089,420.87	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$54,682,361.04	\$379,528.29	\$7,041,434.55	\$107,844.55	\$53,216,903.97	\$303,496.63	\$6,731,458.37	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$30,913,852.44	\$355,170.66	\$3,828,360.77	\$110,823.44	\$30,171,354.26	\$315,378.61	\$3,596,394.00	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$20,486,095.27	\$248,443.76	\$2,671,159.17	\$64,970.19	\$19,974,842.74	\$241,753.99	\$2,332,306.31	\$-
Shasta County	\$15,435,075.83	\$189,157.24	\$1,307,018.52	\$58,392.77	\$15,079,266.00	\$155,878.25	\$1,019,071.98	\$-
Sierra County	\$172,803.39	\$7,374.23	\$8,000.00	\$1,646.01	\$113,563.39	\$5,728.22	\$6,240.00	\$-

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Jurisdiction	Obligations				Expenditures			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Siskiyou County	\$3,718,902.25	\$31,565.40	\$390,723.77	\$24,856.43	\$3,646,184.48	\$29,370.06	\$312,310.00	\$-
Solano County	\$56,269,615.77	\$373,385.51	\$5,199,412.71	\$123,271.46	\$55,086,625.34	\$356,516.75	\$4,706,843.90	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$15,249,166.52	\$130,149.15	\$1,333,550.55	\$32,736.86	\$15,034,983.73	\$105,712.44	\$1,082,649.60	\$-
Sutter County	\$6,658,793.24	\$142,962.13	\$721,526.94	\$45,735.67	\$6,394,962.46	\$135,801.03	\$551,702.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$4,343,839.09	\$72,865.97	\$399,110.23	\$16,764.37	\$4,256,034.07	\$60,209.54	\$307,492.35	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,056,648.34	\$739.00	\$57,575.00	\$1,198.65	\$1,040,848.34	\$85.00	\$53,625.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$32,597,495.54	\$670,050.28	\$2,856,553.09	\$160,117.96	\$32,576,138.98	\$629,662.99	\$2,364,849.55	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$3,925,146.90	\$40,387.09	\$262,375.54	\$26,899.45	\$3,838,256.25	\$29,198.23	\$210,005.08	\$-
Ventura County	\$59,493,394.60	\$784,662.00	\$8,652,631.56	\$212,958.41	\$58,155,126.40	\$778,842.68	\$7,963,830.48	\$-
Yolo County	\$15,519,748.03	\$320,917.41	\$2,332,457.17	\$79,086.50	\$15,193,760.97	\$271,530.57	\$2,088,959.31	\$-
Yuba County	\$8,712,765.34	\$105,568.99	\$721,115.46	\$46,995.62	\$8,265,300.17	\$88,954.35	\$582,029.65	\$-
Subtotals	\$3,332,647,786.90	\$33,924,858.35	\$395,829,780.10	\$8,054,425.70	\$3,255,558,849.81	\$31,735,186.07	\$335,800,710.92	\$-

* Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs’ use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

Jurisdiction	Obligations				Expenditures			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$20,028,212.18	\$1,342,648.65	\$9,405,213.81	\$-	\$18,847,861.30	\$1,220,773.19	\$8,760,600.89	\$-
Anaheim City	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	\$7,801,335.22	\$212,861.66	\$4,164,421.58	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$5,902,170.16	\$1,586,708.23	\$4,611,904.54	\$338,596.99	\$5,747,639.84	\$1,545,165.12	\$4,491,155.89	\$329,731.86
Chula Vista City	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-
Fresno City	\$10,104,968.80	\$6,859,357.15	\$-	\$-	\$8,342,521.60	\$1,089,507.61	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$6,499,223.58	\$1,007,926.42	\$3,580,040.65	\$111,991.82	\$3,901,523.18	\$974,959.50	\$3,580,040.64	\$72,272.15
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$8,863,917.23	\$1,966,898.42	\$5,209,853.97	\$495,676.72	\$8,170,781.14	\$1,813,091.90	\$4,802,456.46	\$456,916.04
Long Beach City	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62
Los Angeles City	\$128,940,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,432,954.45	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$8,235,058.39	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-	\$8,130,226.20	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,515,908.08	\$1,243,175.77	\$2,970,658.78	\$-	\$8,515,908.08	\$1,243,175.77	\$2,970,658.78	\$-
Riverside City	\$9,237,801.80	\$1,219,760.89	\$293,473.31	\$-	\$9,237,778.30	\$1,219,758.30	\$293,441.64	\$-
Riverside County	\$45,193,225.47	\$4,925,218.04	\$10,446,413.02	\$1,219,324.75	\$45,117,932.73	\$4,925,217.53	\$10,382,328.20	\$1,219,324.75
Sacramento City	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$25,878,827.00	\$820,030.00	\$-	\$-	\$25,878,827.00	\$820,030.00	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88
Subtotals	\$392,737,340.52	\$30,001,641.05	\$81,962,498.96	\$2,481,464.78	\$371,534,219.86	\$23,880,996.40	\$80,608,946.71	\$2,394,119.30

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – May 31, 2022

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs’ use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

Jurisdiction	Obligations				Expenditures			
	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$13,344,833.96	\$781,368.74	\$4,559,628.61	\$-	\$7,426,324.86	\$524,952.67	\$3,055,400.73	\$-
Anaheim City	\$440,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$4,419,675.96	\$1,193,697.34	\$3,785,172.60	\$368,528.11	\$3,532,504.28	\$954,083.74	\$3,025,366.23	\$294,552.62
Chula Vista City	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-
Fremont City	\$5,215,373.99	\$196,675.21	\$750,071.22	\$-	\$3,202,805.25	\$168,574.68	\$750,071.22	\$-
Fresno City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$9,285,678.30	\$867,096.00	\$952,394.70	\$29,577.00	\$3,777,383.52	\$191,990.37	\$758,245.57	\$1,781.43
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,624,130.86	\$1,046,961.54	\$766,124.77	\$9,965.83	\$1,808,397.51	\$338,365.78	\$766,124.76	\$9,965.83
Moreno Valley City	\$3,489,243.94	\$1,018,307.56	\$78,601.50	\$-	\$3,489,241.02	\$1,018,307.25	\$78,598.00	\$-
Oakland City	\$1,302,006.13	\$35,048.63	\$14,300.00	\$-	\$770,379.54	\$19,171.18	\$14,300.00	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$4,997,588.00	\$76,336.00	\$-	\$-	\$4,997,588.00	\$76,336.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$227,133.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$227,133.00	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$4,491,715.56	\$442,330.96	\$271,916.32	\$-	\$4,429,229.94	\$431,626.87	\$210,128.52	\$-
San Diego City	\$29,994,393.62	\$2,208,032.93	\$25,422,484.41	\$-	\$29,985,873.23	\$2,208,032.93	\$25,390,039.81	\$-
San Diego County	\$54,231,480.77	\$3,829,853.56	\$4,813,775.38	\$-	\$51,403,480.77	\$2,925,853.56	\$4,640,998.38	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$1,009,356.81	\$-	\$110,994.10	\$-	\$1,009,356.81	\$-	\$110,994.10	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sonoma County	\$5,430,487.38	\$486,194.74	\$2,127,196.37	\$173,012.48	\$5,316,720.29	\$438,226.75	\$2,091,352.66	\$104,435.60
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$156,187,993.53	\$13,000,759.52	\$49,289,443.33	\$735,684.03	\$131,059,352.76	\$10,104,378.09	\$46,478,403.33	\$565,336.09