CDBG-DR 2018 Wildfires
Public Hearings – Round 2

July 2020
• Today's webinar will be recorded and posted for future reference.

• We encourage participation in the following ways:
  • On the computer
    • Type questions in the comments into the "Questions" feature
    • HCD will read and respond to as many questions as possible, and respond in writing as part of the public comment response
  • On the phone
    • Please email any questions or comments to DisasterRecovery@hcd.ca.gov and include your name, organization, and address if you would like a response.
Agenda

• Introductions
• Funding Basics
• 2018 CDBG –DR Allocation Requirements
• Status of Action Plan Development
• Unmet Needs Analysis
• Program Design/Allocation Discussion
• Method of Distribution
• Summary and Next Steps
CDBG –DR

Funding Basics
Federal Disaster Recovery

The Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) uses Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds to support recovery from declared disasters and other related efforts.

- Appropriated through Congress
- Grants and funding awarded to State
- Requirements set for each award by Federal Notices
- 2018 disasters - $1.02 billion total
HUD Disaster Recovery Funding

Federal

HUD Community Development Block Grant (CDBG-DR)

State

CA HCD

DR-Grants

2017 CDBG-DR ($212M)

2017 CDBG-Mitigation ($88M)

2018 CDBG-DR ($1.02B)

Funds DR For:

Pocket, Tubbs, Nuns, Atlas, Redwood Valley, Sulpher, Cascade and Laporte, Lobo, McCourtney, Thomas, Creek, Rye, Lilac

Camp, Carr, Mendocino Complex, Woolsey
CA 2018 Disaster Declarations

- Federally declared disasters 4407 and 4382 covering the impacted areas of the 2018 wildfires: Butte, Lake, Los Angeles, Shasta, and Ventura counties
Funding must primarily serve Low- and Moderate-Income Households.

HUD calculates income by using a household’s total annual gross income and number of people living in the household. These limits change annually.

Using this definition, Low- and Moderate-Income Households must earn under 80% of Area Median Income. Area Median Income is based on the median income of a County or Metropolitan Statistical Areas.
# HUD Fiscal Year 2019 Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>1 person</th>
<th>2 people</th>
<th>3 people</th>
<th>4 people</th>
<th>5 people</th>
<th>6 people</th>
<th>7 people</th>
<th>8 people</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td>$37,250</td>
<td>$42,600</td>
<td>$47,900</td>
<td>$53,200</td>
<td>$57,500</td>
<td>$61,750</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
<td>$70,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>$36,300</td>
<td>$41,500</td>
<td>$46,700</td>
<td>$51,850</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$60,150</td>
<td>$64,300</td>
<td>$68,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$58,450</td>
<td>$66,800</td>
<td>$75,150</td>
<td>$83,500</td>
<td>$90,200</td>
<td>$96,900</td>
<td>$103,550</td>
<td>$110,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>$36,300</td>
<td>$41,500</td>
<td>$46,700</td>
<td>$51,850</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$60,150</td>
<td>$64,300</td>
<td>$68,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>$58,600</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
<td>$75,350</td>
<td>$83,700</td>
<td>$90,400</td>
<td>$97,100</td>
<td>$103,800</td>
<td>$110,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2018 CDBG –DR

Allocation Requirements
2018 CDBG-DR Allocation

• $1,017,399,000 Allocation

• Most Impacted and Distressed areas
  • 80% of funds must be spent in MID areas or have a demonstrated benefit to those areas. (Butte, Shasta, Lake, Los Angeles)

• Low and Moderate Income (LMI) Benefit
  • 70% of funds must benefit LMI persons

• Expenditure Deadline
  • 6 years to spend (starts from HUD executed grant agreement)

• Administrative & Planning Caps Costs
  • 5% Administrative cap & 15% Planning Cap
HUD Requirements around allocation

- Must have tie-back to disaster
- Activities that support Housing recovery must be considered first
- Infrastructure and Economic Revitalization are eligible after Housing
- Certify that resources are available to implement
Action Plan
Development Status
Action Plan Timeline

January – May 6, 2020
- DR Kick-off
- Engagement with local governments (in-person & webinar meetings)
- Unmet needs analysis
- Agency Briefings (Feb & March)

May 6, 2020 – July 7, 2020
- Refine Program Design
- Additional Stakeholder engagement
- Finalize draft with State approval
- Translation of AP documents and ADA compliance format

July 8 – August 12, 2020
- Post Proposed AP for 30-day public comment period
- Conduct Public hearings
- Incorporate public comments into Action Plan

August 31, 2020
- Final Action Plan incorporating public comments due to HUD

Continuous engagement of citizens, counties and local governments
Unmet Needs Analysis
## Unmet Needs Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss/Need</th>
<th>Funding Awarded or Obligated</th>
<th>Unmet Need</th>
<th>Percentage of Unmet Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>$11,651,196,156</td>
<td>$3,225,380,246</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$2,805,280,125</td>
<td>$2,838,348,374</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Revitalization</td>
<td>$1,282,847,617</td>
<td>$1,168,977,918</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$15,739,323,897</td>
<td>$7,232,706,538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data sources include: FEMA IA and PA, SBA, CalFIRE, cost to repair
Program Design & Allocation
HUD Requirements around allocation

- Must primarily serve low-to-moderate income beneficiaries
- Must have tie-back to disaster
- Activities that support Housing recovery must be considered first
- Infrastructure and Economic Revitalization are also eligible after Housing
- Certify that resources are available to implement
Proposed 2018 CDBG-DR Programs

- **Housing**
  - Multifamily $250,687,114
  - Single Family $205,107,638

- **Infrastructure** $317,428,488

- **Economic Revitalization** $40,695,960

- **Planning/Local Gov’t Capacity Building** $86,217,000
Housing Programs

• **Unmet Need:** $3,225,380,246 (45% of unmet need)

• **Allocation:** $455,794,752 (45% of total allocation)

• **Methodology for SF/MF Split:** Using FEMA IA data, HCD determined that 45% of LMI applicants were homeowners and 55% were renters
Single Family Housing Programs

• **Allocation:** $205,107,638 (45% of housing allocation)

• **Proposal:**
  - Application open to any impacted homeowner,
  - A continuation of 2017 CDBG DR program,
  - Maximum grant of $200K
  - Will start with a survey
  - Tiering priority: low-moderate income
  - Any household with a member that is disabled will rise to top of tier they qualify
Multi-Family Housing Programs

• Allocation: $250,687,114 (55% of housing allocation)

• Proposal:
  • Allocate to each county and impacted municipalities based on FEMA IA rental applicants and weighted for LMI impact in Butte Co;
  • subrecipient awards with a $250K minimum
  • Allows small rental (1-4 Units)
  • Only pays for affordable unit; HOME per unit subsidy
  • Prioritize Very Low Income
  • Affordability and long-term compliance will apply
### Multi-Family Local Allocations

- Allocations based on the percentage of FEMA IA renter-occupied household applicants in each locality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>MF Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12,775</td>
<td>$250,687,114.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte County</td>
<td>7,777 60.9%</td>
<td>$152,610,073.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte County</td>
<td>3,127 24.5%</td>
<td>$61,361,926.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chico</td>
<td>1,656 13.0%</td>
<td>$32,496,114.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gridley</td>
<td>122 1.0%</td>
<td>$2,394,037.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oroville</td>
<td>451 3.5%</td>
<td>$8,850,089.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradise</td>
<td>2,849 22.3%</td>
<td>$55,906,660.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>511 4.0%</td>
<td>$10,027,484.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>387 3.0%</td>
<td>$7,594,200.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeport</td>
<td>124 1.0%</td>
<td>$2,433,283.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>1,498 11.7%</td>
<td>$29,395,639.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>138 1.1%</td>
<td>$2,708,009.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoura Hills</td>
<td>246 1.9%</td>
<td>$4,827,321.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>166 1.3%</td>
<td>$3,257,460.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu</td>
<td>948 7.4%</td>
<td>$18,602,848.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td>1,183 9.3%</td>
<td>$23,214,313.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td>218 1.7%</td>
<td>$4,277,870.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding</td>
<td>849 6.6%</td>
<td>$16,660,145.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>38 0.3%</td>
<td>$745,683.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta Lake</td>
<td>78 0.6%</td>
<td>$1,530,614.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>175 1.4%</td>
<td>$3,434,070.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>87 0.7%</td>
<td>$1,707,223.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand Oaks</td>
<td>88 0.7%</td>
<td>$1,726,846.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II *</td>
<td>1,631 12.8%</td>
<td>$32,005,532.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Butte County MF Allocation: Detailed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 30% AMI</th>
<th>30% AMI</th>
<th>50% AMI</th>
<th>60% AMI</th>
<th>Over 80% AMI</th>
<th>LMI Displacement</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>MF Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,910</td>
<td>2,588</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>1,309</td>
<td>2,567</td>
<td>1,437</td>
<td>12,775</td>
<td></td>
<td>250,687,114.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butte County</strong></td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>2,014</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>152,610,073.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butte County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte County</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>61,361,926.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chico</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1552</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>32,496,114.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gridley</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2,394,037.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oroville</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>8,850,089.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradise</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>1,327</td>
<td>-3707</td>
<td>2,849</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>55,906,660.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allocations based on the percentage of **FEMA IA renter-occupied household applicants** in each locality.
### FEMA IA breakdown by AMI categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Under 30% AMI</th>
<th>30 50% AMI</th>
<th>50 60% AMI</th>
<th>60 80% AMI</th>
<th>Over 80% AMI</th>
<th>Income Not Reported</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,567</td>
<td>2,588</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>1,309</td>
<td>2,568</td>
<td>1,780</td>
<td>12,775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeport</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoura Hills</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malibu</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta Lake</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand Oaks</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As of February 2020, the State showed matching FEMA funding still needed for FEMA Public Assistance and Hazard Mitigation across the disasters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEMA Category</th>
<th>Match Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - Debris Removal</td>
<td>$129,215,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Emergency Protective Measures</td>
<td>$40,197,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - Emergency Work Donated Resources</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Roads and Bridges</td>
<td>$4,527,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Water Control Facilities</td>
<td>$522,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E - Buildings and Equipment</td>
<td>$16,785,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Utilities</td>
<td>$22,962,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G - Parks, Recreational Facilities, and Other Items</td>
<td>$2,765,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z - Direct Administrative Costs</td>
<td>$7,887,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z - Management Costs</td>
<td>$1,618,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGP</td>
<td>$36,887,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Assignments</td>
<td>$88,970,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$352,340,623</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infrastructure Program

• **Unmet Need:** $2,838,348,374 (39% of total unmet need)
• **Allocation:** $317,428,488 (31% of total allocation)

• **Proposal:** This includes match for FEMA Public Assistance and FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant local match as well as a stand-alone infrastructure projects.

• Stand-alone infrastructure projects: Start with NOI and then allocate to jurisdictions, open to all types of infrastructure, but prioritize infrastructure in support of housing.
Economic Revitalization

• **Unmet Need**: $1,168,977,918 (16% of unmet need)

• **Allocation**: $40,695,960 (4% of total allocation)

• **Proposal** – Workforce development program through a statewide application; State will contract with subrecipients such as community colleges, counties, cities.

• Potential for small business assistance later if there is a demonstrated need and qualified subrecipient administrator.
Planning

• **Allocation:** $86,217,000 (8.5% of total allocation)
  - HUD allows for up to 15% of CDBG-DR funds to go towards planning activities

• **Proposal:** Offer a statewide application for use of the $71,217,000 in planning activities for regional or local planning (general plans updates, code improvements, etc.)
  - Local and state-wide resiliency and mitigation plans.

• Use $15,000,000 of planning to procure TA/planning consultants for the local jurisdictions to utilize to support program activities on the ground
  - Funding for capacity building at the county and municipal level
### Program Allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Program Allocation</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Program Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CDBG DR Funds</td>
<td>$1,017,399,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation and Reconstruction</td>
<td>$455,794,752</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily/Small Rental Housing</td>
<td>$250,687,114</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Infrastructure/FEMA Match</td>
<td>$317,428,488</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Revitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Development</td>
<td>$40,695,960</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Assistance &amp; Capacity Building</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional &amp; Local Planning Activities</td>
<td>$71,217,000</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Local Program Delivery</td>
<td>66,392,850</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$50,869,950</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method of Distribution
## Methods of Distribution/Administrator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Method of Distribution</th>
<th>Administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied Housing</td>
<td>State application open to any impacted homeowner</td>
<td>State run as continuation of 2017 program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily/Small Rental Housing</td>
<td>Allocate to each county and impacted municipalities based on % of LMI FEMA IA rental applicants</td>
<td>Subrecipients; will not award directly to developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Offer NOI to all jurisdictions; Make awards based on project interests</td>
<td>Subrecipients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>State application open to all eligible entities (county, community college, school district)</td>
<td>Subrecipients (county, community college, school district)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary and Next Steps
Action Plan Timeline

January – May 6, 2020

- DR Kick-off
- Engagement with local governments (in-person & webinar meetings)
- Unmet needs analysis
- Agency Briefings (Feb & March)

May 6, 2020 – July 7, 2020

- Refine Program Design
- Additional Stakeholder engagement
- Finalize draft with State approval
- Translation of AP documents and ADA compliance format

July 8 – August 12, 2020

- Post Proposed AP for 30-day public comment period
- Conduct Public hearings
- Incorporate public comments into Action Plan

August 31, 2020

- Final Action Plan incorporating public comments due to HUD

Continuous engagement of citizens, counties and local governments
2018 CDBG DR Status Updates

- **Completed** first draft of Action Plan for public review starting on July 8
- **Completed** Certification and Implementation Plan and submitted to HUD on July 2

**Next Steps:**
- **July** - Conduct public hearings/webinars during 30-day public comment period
- **August** – Submit final Action Plan to HUD for review
- Both Action Plan & Certification and Implementation Plan must be approved by HUD *(estimated date: November 2020)*
- HCD and HUD execute Grant Agreement
- HCD issues Policies & Procedures for each approved program
Follow HCD on social media

Like us on Facebook: /CaliforniaHCD

Follow us on Twitter: @California_HCD

Follow us on LinkedIn: /company/californiahcd
Join Team HCD

HCD values diversity at all levels of the department and is committed to fostering an environment in which employees from a variety of backgrounds, cultures, and personal experiences are welcomed and can thrive. We believe the diversity of our employees and their unique ideas inspire innovative solutions to complex housing challenges.

Join us and help improve the lives of all Californians.

To find jobs at HCD:
Visit: jobs.ca.gov and click “Advanced Job Search.”
• Search for California Department of Housing and Community Development

New to state service? Don’t worry.
You can view the step-by-step process on jobs.ca.gov.
Stay in the know: Sign up for HCD email at www.hcd.ca.gov