

SB 35 Determination Methodology and Background Data June 2019 – REVISED

SB 35 Reporting Period

SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) defines the Reporting Period as the first half of the regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) cycle or the second half of the RHNA cycle. For jurisdictions that have not completed the first half of the current (fifth) RHNA cycle, a proration will apply until the jurisdiction completes the first-half point of the cycle.

Prorated targets will be updated after Annual Progress Reports (APRs) are due each year until the jurisdiction completes the first-half of the fifth RHNA cycle, at which point a jurisdiction's determination will only be updated at the end of the fifth RHNA cycle, and at the midpoint and end point of all cycles going forward.

APRs are on calendar years, while RHNA planning periods¹ may begin and end at various times throughout the year. When a planning period begins after July, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior RHNA cycle. When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following RHNA cycle.

More detail is shown below by regional government or county and applies to all jurisdictions within the regional government or county.

Credit for Permitting during Projection Period

Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period² before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period.

Annual Progress Report (APRs) Due Dates

APRs are due each April and report on the prior calendar year's activities. As of April 2019, 2018 APRs and prior APRs were due. While HCD will continue to update APR data as APRs are received, permits from APRs received after the publication of this determination will not count toward this determination of a jurisdiction's eligibility for the Streamlined Ministerial Approval Process pursuant to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017).

¹ **Planning Period:** The time-period between the due date for one housing element and the due date for the next housing element. This time-period can be either 8 or 5 years, depending on the jurisdiction.

² **Projection Period:** The time-period for which the regional housing need assessment (RHNA) is calculated.

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and San Benito County Council of Governments (San Benito COG) – includes Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/15/2015³ – 12/15/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

³When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG) and Kern Council of Governments (KCOG) – includes Fresno and Kern Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁴ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 12/31/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013, 2014, and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁴ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Stanislaus County Council of Governments (Stan COG) and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) – includes Stanislaus and Tulare Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁵ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 09/30/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁵ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

San Joaquin County Council of Governments (SJCOG) – includes San Joaquin County and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁶ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁶ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG) and Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC) – includes Kings and Madera Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 01/31/2016 – 01/31/2024⁷

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁷When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG) – includes Merced County and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 03/31/2016 – 03/31/2024⁸

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁸When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 3 years (2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 37.5% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
FRESNO	CLOVIS	0.0%	2.4%	171.1%	174.7%
FRESNO	COALINGA	24.0%	27.8%	33.3%	41.8%
FRESNO	FIREBAUGH	11.7%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
FRESNO	FRESNO	7.9%	8.5%	42.1%	46.5%
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	6.1%	1.7%	40.2%	23.6%
FRESNO	HURON	0.0%	20.6%	32.1%	0.0%
FRESNO	KERMAN	0.0%	2.8%	35.1%	26.0%
FRESNO	ORANGE COVE	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%
FRESNO	REEDLEY	14.0%	0.5%	22.4%	1.3%
FRESNO	SAN JOAQUIN	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KERN	ARVIN	0.0%	23.4%	147.5%	0.0%
KERN	BAKERSFIELD	1.9%	1.3%	68.0%	30.0%
KERN	DELANO	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	16.5%
KERN	KERN COUNTY	2.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
KERN	MCFARLAND	6.5%	8.2%	48.5%	0.0%
KERN	TAFT	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	26.0%
KERN	TEHACHAPI	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%
KERN	WASCO	0.0%	22.2%	1.4%	57.6%
KINGS	AVENAL	0.0%	35.2%	18.3%	0.0%
KINGS	HANFORD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
KINGS	KINGS COUNTY	0.0%	13.8%	1.4%	4.6%
MADERA	CHOWCHILLA	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%
MADERA	MADERA	1.7%	29.3%	16.6%	2.9%
MADERA	MADERA COUNTY	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	18.5%
MONTEREY	CARMEL	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MONTEREY	DEL REY OAKS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MONTEREY	GONZALES	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MONTEREY	GREENFIELD	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.7%
MONTEREY	KING CITY	0.0%	21.4%	18.2%	165.8%
MONTEREY	MARINA	13.3%	2.9%	61.5%	50.7%
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	12.1%	0.0%	1.7%	26.1%
MONTEREY	MONTEREY COUNTY	58.6%	37.7%	9.6%	152.8%
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%	33.3%
MONTEREY	SALINAS	21.6%	19.7%	1.0%	21.5%
MONTEREY	SAND CITY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 3 years (2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 37.5% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
MONTEREY	SEASIDE	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	1.8%
MONTEREY	SOLEDAD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	370.0%
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	0.0%	0.0%	40.3%	82.8%
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	98.9%
SAN BENITO	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SAN JOAQUIN	ESCALON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
SAN JOAQUIN	LATHROP	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.1%
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI	10.5%	8.2%	14.4%	49.5%
SAN JOAQUIN	MANTECA	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%	24.3%
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	0.4%	15.1%	16.2%	14.1%
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	5.2%	0.2%	6.0%	9.2%
SAN JOAQUIN	TRACY	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	99.5%
SANTA CRUZ	CAPITOLA	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	38.3%
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	6.7%	74.6%	141.9	107.7%
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	13.6%	19.3%	88.3%	24.0%
SANTA CRUZ	SCOTTS VALLEY	0.0%	13.6	26.9%	198.3%
SANTA CRUZ	WATSONVILLE	12.4%	4.5%	8.6%	45.7%
STANISLAUS	CERES	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
STANISLAUS	HUGHSON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.3%
STANISLAUS	MODESTO	0.0%	5.7%	13.3%	15.6%
STANISLAUS	OAKDALE	0.0%	0.5%	40.0%	48.8%
STANISLAUS	RIVERBANK	10.3%	18.4%	0.0%	19.2%
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS COUNTY	0.0%	4.6%	11.3%	44.0%
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	0.2%	21.9%	94.3%	3.0%
STANISLAUS	WATERFORD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
TULARE	DINUBA	20.4%	56.4%	146.3%	8.7%
TULARE	EXETER	0.0%	1.6%	2.4%	2.2%
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE	8.1%	20.0%	16.2%	1.9%
TULARE	LINDSAY	50.0%	97.5%	57.3%	2.9%
TULARE	TULARE	4.7%	4.6%	0.0%	117.6%
TULARE	TULARE COUNTY	14.6%	20.2%	10.2%	3.5%
TULARE	VISALIA	3.4%	19.8%	30.1%	38.3%
TULARE	WOODLAKE	7.0%	70.7%	10.1%	0.5%
FRESNO	FOWLER	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
FRESNO	KINGSBURG	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 3 years (2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 37.5% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
FRESNO	MENDOTA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
FRESNO	PARLIER				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
FRESNO	SANGER				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
FRESNO	SELMA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KERN	CALIFORNIA CITY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KERN	MARICOPA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KERN	RIDGECREST				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KERN	SHAFTER				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KINGS	CORCORAN				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
KINGS	LEMOORE				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SAN JOAQUIN	RIPON				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
STANISLAUS	NEWMAN				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
STANISLAUS	PATTERSON				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
TULARE	PORTERVILLE				No 2018 Annual Progress Report

Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Now Bay Area Metro – includes Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 01/31/2015 – 01/31/2023⁹

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 10/31/2022

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2015 2016 2017 2018
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021 2022

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For Bay Area Metro jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2022 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁹When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) – includes Santa Barbara County and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 02/15/2015 – 02/15/2023¹⁰

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 09/30/2022

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2015 2016 2017 2018
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021 2022

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2022 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁰When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2015-2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA	12.4%	16.1%	9.2%	66.7%
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA COUNTY	27.9%	39.6%	11.9%	21.8%
ALAMEDA	ALBANY	0.0%	0.0%	36.8%	133.8%
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	16.2%	3.8%	22.6%	80.8%
ALAMEDA	DUBLIN	3.3%	8.7%	7.3%	551.5%
ALAMEDA	EMERYVILLE	31.5%	9.0%	9.7%	59.8%
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	18.5%	34.2%	1.9%	234.9%
ALAMEDA	HAYWARD	4.7%	4.0%	0.0%	44.1%
ALAMEDA	LIVERMORE	10.3%	11.2%	93.8%	115.3%
ALAMEDA	NEWARK	0.0%	0.0%	22.8%	121.5%
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	36.0%	24.7%	2.3%	199.9%
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	12.5%	42.9%	33.3%	171.4%
ALAMEDA	PLEASANTON	34.2%	18.4%	6.6%	265.8%
ALAMEDA	SAN LEANDRO	21.6%	32.6%	0.0%	2.0%
ALAMEDA	UNION CITY	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	113.2%
CONTRA COSTA	ANTIOCH	25.2%	0.5%	36.0%	62.2%
CONTRA COSTA	BRENTWOOD	1.3%	8.1%	4.9%	683.5%
CONTRA COSTA	CLAYTON	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	23.5%
CONTRA COSTA	CONCORD	2.4%	0.7%	0.7%	10.7%
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	16.8%	83.5%	51.4%	217.1%
CONTRA COSTA	DANVILLE	0.0%	9.0%	27.4%	164.3%
CONTRA COSTA	EL CERRITO	118.0%	117.5%	37.7%	160.8%
CONTRA COSTA	HERCULES	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	200.0%
CONTRA COSTA	LAFAYETTE	1.4%	3.8%	52.9%	379.8%
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	25.1%
CONTRA COSTA	MORAGA	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	180.0%
CONTRA COSTA	OAKLEY	2.5%	37.9%	119.4%	135.7%
CONTRA COSTA	ORINDA	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	538.1%
CONTRA COSTA	PINOLE	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	3.2%
CONTRA COSTA	PITTSBURG	11.7%	167.3%	131.0%	60.0%
CONTRA COSTA	PLEASANT HILL	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	33.9%
CONTRA COSTA	SAN PABLO	0.0%	7.5%	17.3%	11.7%
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON	3.9%	29.4%	59.9%	495.0%
CONTRA COSTA	WALNUT CREEK	7.0%	4.5%	4.7%	57.1%
MARIN	BELVEDERE	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2015-2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	59.1%	115.4%	53.8%	745.8%
MARIN	FAIRFAX	81.3%	554.5%	18.2%	43.5%
MARIN	LARKSPUR	10.0%	50.0%	42.9%	174.5%
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	34.5%	59.4%	51.4%	221.3%
MARIN	MILL VALLEY	51.2%	79.2%	50.0%	50.0%
MARIN	NOVATO	26.1%	29.2%	56.9%	31.7%
MARIN	ROSS	33.3%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%
MARIN	SAN ANSELMO	45.5%	123.5%	84.2%	97.3%
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	2.1%	49.3%	6.6%	40.9%
MARIN	SAUSALITO	38.5%	121.4%	31.3%	30.4%
MARIN	TIBURON	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	78.9%
NAPA	AMERICAN CANYON	49.1%	74.1%	243.1%	87.2%
NAPA	CALISTOGA	383.3%	1350.0	175.0%	240.0%
NAPA	NAPA	28.6%	20.8%	2.8%	172.5%
NAPA	NAPA COUNTY	5.9%	3.3%	162.5%	116.4%
NAPA	SAINT HELENA	62.5%	80.0%	80.0%	384.6%
NAPA	YOUNTVILLE	25.0%	50.0%	400.0%	175.0%
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	29.8%	48.7%	23.5%	145.4%
SAN MATEO	ATHERTON	77.1%	84.6%	10.3%	1966.7
SAN MATEO	BELMONT	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%	66.2%
SAN MATEO	BRISBANE	0.0%	0.0%	86.7%	163.3%
SAN MATEO	BURLINGAME	0.0%	0.0%	20.6%	146.5%
SAN MATEO	COLMA	155.0%	687.5%	0.0%	45.5%
SAN MATEO	DALY CITY	14.8%	137.8%	43.4%	51.4%
SAN MATEO	EAST PALO ALTO	25.0%	59.3%	39.8%	1.9%
SAN MATEO	FOSTER CITY	56.8%	67.8%	18.4%	535.3%
SAN MATEO	HALF MOON BAY	100.0%	93.5%	33.3%	37.2%
SAN MATEO	HILLSBOROUGH	106.3%	205.9%	90.5%	61.9%
SAN MATEO	MENLO PARK	63.1%	52.7%	2.8%	516.7%
SAN MATEO	MILLBRAE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
SAN MATEO	PACIFICA	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	32.5%
SAN MATEO	PORTOLA VALLEY	19.0%	6.7%	26.7%	184.6%
SAN MATEO	REDWOOD CITY	1.0%	26.1%	0.0%	149.5%
SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	0.0%	14.9%	20.5%	12.3%
SAN MATEO	SAN CARLOS	2.6%	12.1%	9.9%	237.2%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2015-2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	5.7%	10.0%	17.7%	91.6%
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO COUNTY	0.7%	32.0%	16.7%	37.7%
SAN MATEO	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	14.2%	1.4%	10.5%	80.1%
SAN MATEO	WOODSIDE	100.0%	115.4%	20.0%	218.2%
SANTA BARBARA	BUELLTON	7.6%	9.1%	148.8%	100.8%
SANTA BARBARA	CARPINTERIA	84.6%	96.2%	0.0%	87.5%
SANTA BARBARA	GOLETA	0.0%	43.9%	2.9%	136.6%
SANTA BARBARA	GUADALUPE	250.0%	387.5%	0.0%	387.5%
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC	0.0%	0.0%	51.6%	1.8%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	12.3%	12.0%	0.5%	50.2%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	36.5%	59.4%	225.0%	204.6%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA	2.7%	25.5%	94.7%	29.9%
SANTA BARBARA	SOLVANG	83.3%	85.7%	3.3%	108.0%
SANTA CLARA	CAMPBELL	4.3%	2.9%	10.6%	94.9%
SANTA CLARA	CUPERTINO	5.3%	0.0%	25.5%	70.7%
SANTA CLARA	GILROY	26.7%	304.4%	6.5%	204.4%
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS	1.2%	28.3%	1.8%	441.2%
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS HILLS	17.4%	10.7%	9.4%	180.0%
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS	0.0%	1.8%	18.9%	43.7%
SANTA CLARA	MILPITAS	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	267.6%
SANTA CLARA	MONTE SERENO	126.1%	138.5%	7.7%	150.0%
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	29.3%	115.6%	208.1%	395.9%
SANTA CLARA	MOUNTAIN VIEW	17.3%	34.6%	0.0%	273.6%
SANTA CLARA	PALO ALTO	6.2%	13.4%	15.1%	51.8%
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	10.8%	4.3%	25.6%	83.1%
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	0.1%	0.1%	6.1%	212.3%
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	290.9%	407.7%	30.4%	810.7%
SANTA CLARA	SARATOGA	0.0%	33.7%	5.8%	20.4%
SANTA CLARA	SUNNYVALE	5.4%	2.3%	17.9%	81.4%
SOLANO	BENICIA	1.1%	5.6%	5.4%	12.2%
SOLANO	DIXON	0.0%	225.0%	196.7%	158.1%
SOLANO	FAIRFIELD	0.0%	0.0%	78.9%	117.2%
SOLANO	RIO VISTA	0.0%	11.1%	322.9%	186.5%
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	19.2%	366.7%	115.8%	137.2%
SOLANO	SUISUN CITY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.9%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2015-2018 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above.

As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very- low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
SOLANO	VACAVILLE	16.7%	71.6%	308.1%	134.1%
SOLANO	VALLEJO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.2%
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE	64.1%	41.4%	16.1%	43.8%
SONOMA	COTATI	11.4%	72.2%	72.2%	57.6%
SONOMA	HEALDSBURG	48.4%	104.2%	215.4%	198.7%
SONOMA	PETALUMA	4.5%	17.5%	45.5%	207.5%
SONOMA	ROHNERT PARK	60.2%	118.7%	15.0%	194.4%
SONOMA	SEBASTOPOL	13.6%	41.2%	105.3%	38.7%
SONOMA	SONOMA	0.0%	30.4%	40.7%	44.4%
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	91.3%	591.9%	161.9%	291.7%
SONOMA	WINDSOR	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	47.9%
CONTRA COSTA	RICHMOND	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
SONOMA	SANTA ROSA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) – includes Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura Counties, and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 10/15/2013¹¹ – 10/15/2021

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 10/31/2021

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Due to an anomaly in setting the SCAG planning and projection period for the 5th housing element cycle, the SCAG projection period begins after the planning period. As a result, SCAG jurisdictions cannot count units permitted before the start of the 5th Cycle projection period. For more information, please see the link below:
<http://www.scag.ca.gov/Documents/hcdRHNAclarificationHE052112.pdf>

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹¹ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) – includes El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba Counties, and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 10/31/2013¹² – 10/31/2021

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 10/31/2021

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period. For SACOG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹² When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) – includes the City of South Lake Tahoe

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/15/2014¹³ – 06/15/2022

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 10/31/2021

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For TRPA jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹³ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) – includes Butte County and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/15/2014 – 06/15/2022¹⁴

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 06/15/2022

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For BCAG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014, which will already be included on their 2014 APR.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁴When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
BUTTE	BIGGS	54.2%	106.7%	4.2%	0.0%
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	0.0%	1.5%	6.9%	16.3%
BUTTE	CHICO	1.5%	0.8%	45.8%	81.4%
BUTTE	GRIDLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
BUTTE	OROVILLE	2.4%	23.6%	0.0%	3.7%
BUTTE	PARADISE	0.0%	10.0%	8.6%	13.9%
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	5.4%	33.2%	5.7%	164.7%
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	0.0%	0.0%	73.9%	71.8%
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	19.3%
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	5.1%	11.3%	20.2%	0.7%
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	8.1%	2.0%	13.1%	0.0%
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO	0.0%	27.0%	30.6%	6.9%
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	16.0%	4.9%	210.9%	20.1%
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
IMPERIAL	WESTMORLAND	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	AGOURA HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	91.1%
LOS ANGELES	ALHAMBRA	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	22.0%
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%	23.3%
LOS ANGELES	ARTESIA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	107.8%
LOS ANGELES	AVALON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%
LOS ANGELES	AZUSA	0.0%	0.0%	533.9%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	BALDWIN PARK	33.1%	20.5%	1.1%	52.5%
LOS ANGELES	BELLFLOWER	0.0%	600.0%	*	*
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS	400.0%	600.0%	200.0%	*
LOS ANGELES	BURBANK	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.7%
LOS ANGELES	CALABASAS	9.1%	0.0%	12.3%	69.5%
LOS ANGELES	CARSON	8.7%	21.3%	46.4%	11.7%
LOS ANGELES	CERRITOS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1014.3%
LOS ANGELES	CLAREMONT	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	207.9%
LOS ANGELES	COVINA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
LOS ANGELES	CULVER CITY	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	107.8%
LOS ANGELES	DIAMOND BAR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.2%
LOS ANGELES	DOWNEY	0.0%	4.9%	51.9%	59.0%
LOS ANGELES	DUARTE	48.3%	1.9%	5.5%	0.7%
LOS ANGELES	EL MONTE	45.6%	11.4%	0.6%	26.8%
LOS ANGELES	EL SEGUNDO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	GARDENA	0.0%	0.0%	81.8%	104.6%
LOS ANGELES	GLENDALE	17.3%	31.3%	0.3%	360.2%
LOS ANGELES	GLENDORA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	180.9%
LOS ANGELES	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	0.0%	125.7%	34.8%	120.3%
LOS ANGELES	HERMOSA BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
LOS ANGELES	HIDDEN HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	INDUSTRY	*	*	*	*
LOS ANGELES	INGLEWOOD	15.6%	0.7%	0.0%	7.4%
LOS ANGELES	IRWINDALE	75.0%	150.0%	200.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	77.3%
LOS ANGELES	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	LA PUENTE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	LAKEWOOD	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	72.3%
LOS ANGELES	LANCASTER	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%
LOS ANGELES	LOMITA	0.0%	85.7%	425.0%	85.0%
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH	16.6%	2.4%	0.0%	43.7%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	15.5%	18.2%	1.9%	152.9%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	7.8%	2.5%	0.0%	32.7%
LOS ANGELES	LYNWOOD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	MALIBU	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
LOS ANGELES	MANHATTAN BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1866.7%
LOS ANGELES	MONROVIA	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	314.8%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
LOS ANGELES	MONTEREY PARK	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
LOS ANGELES	NORWALK	1.9%	0.0%	45.5%	70.6%
LOS ANGELES	PALMDALE	6.5%	8.5%	9.6%	5.9%
LOS ANGELES	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	PARAMOUNT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.9%
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA	42.4%	18.4%	20.1%	279.0%
LOS ANGELES	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	62.5%	20.0%	0.0%	630.8%
LOS ANGELES	REDONDO BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.0%
LOS ANGELES	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	200.0%
LOS ANGELES	ROSEMEAD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	SAN DIMAS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.1%
LOS ANGELES	SAN FERNANDO	50.9%	118.8%	8.6%	40.0%
LOS ANGELES	SAN GABRIEL	0.4%	1.4%	60.4%	55.3%
LOS ANGELES	SAN MARINO	100.0%	0.0%	*	*
LOS ANGELES	SANTA CLARITA	0.5%	7.4%	9.9%	29.8%
LOS ANGELES	SANTA FE SPRINGS	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	159.0%
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA	70.8%	81.0%	9.2%	174.6%
LOS ANGELES	SIERRA MADRE	14.3%	77.8%	33.3%	356.5%
LOS ANGELES	SIGNAL HILL	100.0%	181.5%	67.9%	40.0%
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH EL MONTE	0.0%	52.0%	0.0%	177.6%
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH GATE	7.0%	103.8%	27.3%	3.0%
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH PASADENA	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	300.0%
LOS ANGELES	TEMPLE CITY	0.0%	1.1%	5.1%	36.5%
LOS ANGELES	TORRANCE	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	15.2%
LOS ANGELES	VERNON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	WALNUT	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	116.8%
LOS ANGELES	WEST COVINA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	190.2%
LOS ANGELES	WEST HOLLYWOOD	363.2%	1233.3	361.5%	4160.6%
LOS ANGELES	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER	0.0%	0.0%	146.6%	11.1%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
ORANGE	ALISO VIEJO	922.2%	5900.0	6057.1%	0.0%
ORANGE	ANAHEIM	5.7%	2.4%	4.2%	211.5%
ORANGE	BREA	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	179.7%
ORANGE	BUENA PARK	27.6%	92.5%	291.9%	122.3%
ORANGE	COSTA MESA	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	CYPRESS	12.7%	16.0%	10.7%	244.3%
ORANGE	DANA POINT	0.0%	0.0%	27.9%	103.6%
ORANGE	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	19.9%
ORANGE	FULLERTON	57.9%	48.8%	0.9%	101.0%
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	7.9%	39.2%	58.5%	31.1%
ORANGE	IRVINE	32.2%	0.1%	579.4%	171.6%
ORANGE	LA HABRA	0.0%	300.0%	1100.0%	42000.0%
ORANGE	LA PALMA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	333.3%
ORANGE	LAGUNA BEACH	0.0%	100.0%	*	*
ORANGE	LAGUNA HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	LAGUNA NIGUEL	74.4%	160.0%	5.9%	1533.3%
ORANGE	LAGUNA WOODS	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	LAKE FOREST	0.0%	0.0%	40.4%	210.7%
ORANGE	LOS ALAMITOS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.2%
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	31.0%	96.6%	48.5%	1102.7
ORANGE	NEWPORT BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60700.0%
ORANGE	ORANGE	8.4%	5.1%	122.7%	258.1%
ORANGE	ORANGE COUNTY	6.5%	17.2%	18.4%	150.6%
ORANGE	PLACENTIA	0.0%	0.0%	34.4%	53.6%
ORANGE	RANCHO ST. MARGARITA	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	SAN CLEMENTE	48.5%	29.5%	6.5%	170.5%
ORANGE	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	0.0%	1.9%	1.7%	131.5%
ORANGE	SANTA ANA	153.3%	306.3%	64.9%	854.4%
ORANGE	SEAL BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	STANTON	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	67.1%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
ORANGE	TUSTIN	31.8%	37.4%	45.1%	172.6%
ORANGE	VILLA PARK	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
ORANGE	YORBA LINDA	69.4%	46.9%	17.5%	210.4%
PLACER	AUBURN	0.0%	0.0%	57.9%	50.4%
PLACER	COLFAX	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
PLACER	LOOMIS	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	18.6%
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY	2.6%	8.9%	7.2%	82.7%
PLACER	ROCKLIN	0.0%	0.0%	111.0%	124.9%
PLACER	ROSEVILLE	4.1%	1.8%	137.9%	81.8%
RIVERSIDE	BANNING	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RIVERSIDE	BEAUMONT	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	19.6%
RIVERSIDE	CALIMESA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.8%
RIVERSIDE	CANYON LAKE	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	56.3%
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA	5.0%	4.8%	0.0%	3.0%
RIVERSIDE	CORONA	27.6%	14.1%	46.5%	453.2%
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RIVERSIDE	EASTVALE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	262.5%
RIVERSIDE	HEMET	0.0%	47.9%	193.8%	26.3%
RIVERSIDE	INDIAN WELLS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	230.6%
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	11.8%	0.0%	0.2%	91.3%
RIVERSIDE	JURUPA VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RIVERSIDE	LA QUINTA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	69.9%
RIVERSIDE	LAKE ELSINORE	0.2%	0.0%	75.0%	34.2%
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE	0.7%	1.2%	61.7%	48.2%
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	20.9%
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	141.3%
RIVERSIDE	NORCO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
RIVERSIDE	PALM DESERT	38.8%	53.7%	0.0%	229.7%
RIVERSIDE	PALM SPRINGS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	663.8%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS	35.0%	0.0%	29.2%	50.9%
RIVERSIDE	RANCHO MIRAGE	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	92.3%
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	2.0%
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	2.3%	1.7%	18.7%	15.7%
RIVERSIDE	SAN JACINTO	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	30.7%
RIVERSIDE	TEMECULA	4.0%	0.0%	5.5%	165.9%
RIVERSIDE	WILDOMAR	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	54.9%
SACRAMENTO	CITRUS HEIGHTS	0.7%	3.9%	18.5%	19.2%
SACRAMENTO	ELK GROVE	4.1%	5.3%	19.7%	86.0%
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	0.5%	0.0%	67.5%	54.4%
SACRAMENTO	GALT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	96.7%
SACRAMENTO	ISLETON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SACRAMENTO	RANCHO CORDOVA	6.5%	0.0%	8.4%	52.6%
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	4.0%	10.3%	77.7%	19.7%
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	2.4%	5.3%	34.6%	25.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	APPLE VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	BARSTOW	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.3%
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG BEAR LAKE	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO	19.1%	42.5%	0.0%	143.2%
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	806.1%	176.6%
SAN BERNARDINO	COLTON	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	7.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	FONTANA	4.4%	15.1%	0.0%	60.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	GRAND TERRACE	0.0%	0.0%	72.7%	42.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	HIGHLAND	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	15.5%
SAN BERNARDINO	LOMA LINDA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	NEEDLES	0.0%	0.0%	29.4%	16.3%
SAN BERNARDINO	ONTARIO	0.0%	0.0%	68.9%	44.1%
SAN BERNARDINO	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	8.6%	7.8%	19.6%	376.5%
SAN BERNARDINO	REDLANDS	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	26.3%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	5.8%	2.6%	1.5%	4.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	1288.9%	9050.0	5757.1%	2864.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS	0.0%	0.0%	27.4%	0.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	UPLAND	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%
SAN BERNARDINO	VICTORVILLE	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.4%
SAN BERNARDINO	YUCAIPA	11.2%	50.6%	11.7%	38.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	YUCCA VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.3%
SUTTER	LIVE OAK	44.2%	51.4%	3.6%	2.6%
SUTTER	SUTTER COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	27.4%	52.3%
VENTURA	CAMARILLO	23.4%	28.7%	149.9%	56.9%
VENTURA	MOORPARK	1.7%	9.1%	4.2%	103.7%
VENTURA	OXNARD	7.6%	43.8%	27.8%	8.4%
VENTURA	PORT HUENEME	0.0%	0.0%	*	*
VENTURA	SAN BUENAVENTURA	13.1%	7.8%	9.2%	66.3%
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA	0.0%	5.0%	2.5%	0.2%
VENTURA	SIMI VALLEY	9.7%	0.5%	11.8%	51.9%
VENTURA	THOUSAND OAKS	36.2%	6.3%	247.2%	285.7%
VENTURA	VENTURA COUNTY	25.6%	42.3%	24.9%	46.8%
YOLO	DAVIS	17.3%	28.7%	26.3%	114.1%
YOLO	WEST SACRAMENTO	9.7%	2.0%	65.6%	5.6%
YOLO	WINTERS	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	53.1%
YOLO	WOODLAND	32.1%	6.6%	48.4%	70.0%
YOLO	YOLO COUNTY	11.5%	4.0%	4.6%	2.6%
YUBA	MARYSVILLE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
IMPERIAL	CALIPATRIA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
IMPERIAL	HOLTVILLE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	BELL	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	BELL GARDENS	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	BRADBURY	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	COMMERCE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2014-2017 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2014-2021 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	CUDAHY	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	HUNTINGTON PARK	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	LA MIRADA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	LA VERNE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	MAYWOOD	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	MONTEBELLO	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	PICO RIVERA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
LOS ANGELES	ROLLING HILLS	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
ORANGE	HUNTINGTON BEACH	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
PLACER	LINCOLN	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
RIVERSIDE	BLYTHE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
SAN BERNARDINO	RIALTO	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
VENTURA	FILLMORE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
VENTURA	OJAI	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
YUBA	WHEATLAND	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			

San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)-includes San Diego County and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 04/30/2013 – 04/30/2021¹⁵

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2010 – 12/31/2020

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2013 2014 2015 2016
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2017 2018 2019 2020

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For SANDAG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2010, 2011, and 2012, which can be counted on 2013 APRs. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

By January 2018, after 2016 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2020 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁵When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of San Diego; and all cities within the County

These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2013-2016 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. Less than 50% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB35 Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years (2013-2020 APRs) of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle RHNA.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report(2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER % COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
SAN DIEGO	CARLSBAD	4.6%	30.0%	19.9%	86.2%
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA	3.6%	23.2%	14.0%	96.7%
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	92.3%	55.6%	0.0%	1400.0%
SAN DIEGO	DEL MAR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	73.5%
SAN DIEGO	EL CAJON	3.3%	0.8%	3.3%	6.1%
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS	5.6%	5.2%	1.0%	74.4%
SAN DIEGO	ESCONDIDO	4.4%	7.0%	1.1%	44.9%
SAN DIEGO	IMPERIAL BEACH	4.8%	66.7%	11.1%	96.9%
SAN DIEGO	LA MESA	4.2%	0.6%	92.4%	103.2%
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE	116.9%	235.6%	38.9%	80.7%
SAN DIEGO	NATIONAL CITY	9.7%	32.9%	14.4%	33.1%
SAN DIEGO	OCEANSIDE	17.2%	4.8%	13.7%	22.0%
SAN DIEGO	POWAY	12.9%	17.1%	0.0%	16.7%
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	5.5%	10.8%	0.0%	61.6%
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	1.2%	13.9%	12.3%	21.7%
SAN DIEGO	SAN MARCOS	17.9%	13.1%	8.7%	161.0%
SAN DIEGO	SANTEE	1.1%	6.2%	12.5%	42.4%
SAN DIEGO	SOLANA BEACH	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	18.3%
SAN DIEGO	VISTA	28.0%	20.8%	0.4%	262.8%

Counties of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, and Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/30/2014 – 06/30/2019¹⁶

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 06/30/2019

APRs that count towards First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016
APRs that count towards Last Half Reporting Period	2017 2018

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the projection period before the planning period began on the first APR of the planning period. For the jurisdictions noted above, this includes permits from 2014, which will already be included on their 2014 APR.

SB 35 Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 5/5ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5 th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
--------------------------	--

¹⁶When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of an 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
ALPINE	ALPINE COUNTY	0.0%	50.0%	66.7%	90.9%
AMADOR	AMADOR COUNTY	10.0%	85.7%	377.8%	104.3%
SHASTA	ANDERSON	71.9%	95.2%	387.5%	101.7%
CALAVERAS	ANGELS CAMP	2.6%	0.0%	3.6%	27.5%
HUMBOLDT	ARCATA	50.6%	8.9%	416.1%	33.1%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	0.0%	44.7%	0.0%	58.4%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO	49.0%	41.9%	247.8%	148.8%
INYO	BISHOP	0.0%	10.0%	66.7%	3.6%
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	41.5%	52.0%	113.5%	49.3%
LAKE	CLEARLAKE	2.8%	3.0%	3.4%	2.9%
COLUSA	COLUSA COUNTY	7.5%	5.5%	78.0%	24.8%
TEHAMA	CORNING	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	17.3%
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	36.7%	59.5%	73.3%	71.7%
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
SISKIYOU	DUNSMUIR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
SISKIYOU	ETNA	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	26.2%	69.8%	7.7%	26.9%
MENDOCINO	FORT BRAGG	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	133.3%
HUMBOLDT	FORTUNA	0.0%	0.0%	74.1%	35.2%
NEVADA	GRASS VALLEY	12.3%	89.8%	2.0%	22.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	GROVER BEACH	0.0%	34.6%	0.0%	152.2%
HUMBOLDT	HUMBOLDT COUNTY	15.6%	32.6%	154.8%	54.9%
AMADOR	IONE	0.0%	0.0%	2200.0	1071.4
AMADOR	JACKSON	0.0%	0.0%	725.0%	225.0%
LAKE	LAKE COUNTY	2.2%	7.4%	8.6%	2.7%
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	351.6%
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	70.0%	27.9%
MONO	MONO COUNTY	0.0%	314.3%	611.1%	231.6%
SISKIYOU	MONTAGUE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of an 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
SAN LUIS OBISPO	MORRO BAY	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	58.5%
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	0.0%	7.1%	6.3%	2.8%
NEVADA	NEVADA COUNTY	30.5%	66.7%	75.3%	85.7%
GLENN	ORLAND	0.0%	720.0%	250.0%	0.0%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	PASO ROBLES	171.5%	228.6%	240.2%	91.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	PISMO BEACH	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	395.3%
PLUMAS	PLUMAS COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	283.3%	400.0%
MENDOCINO	POINT ARENA	0.0%	0.0%	200.0%	800.0%
TEHAMA	RED BLUFF	0.0%	88.5%	47.5%	0.0%
SHASTA	REDDING	12.2%	71.3%	55.1%	125.3%
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	58.2%	17.3%	6.5%	169.2%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO CO.	15.5%	42.2%	65.8%	278.3%
SHASTA	SHASTA COUNTY	8.5%	27.4%	107.8%	45.2%
SHASTA	SHASTA LAKE	28.1%	152.4%	273.9%	3.4%
TUOLUMNE	SONORA	0.0%	62.5%	42.1%	11.9%
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TEHAMA	TEHAMA COUNTY	14.3%	92.1%	77.5%	24.9%
NEVADA	TRUCKEE	0.0%	44.0%	123.1%	257.8%
SISKIYOU	TULELAKE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE COUNTY	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	58.5%
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	618.2%	957.1%	500.0%	160.0%
SISKIYOU	WEED	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
MENDOCINO	WILLITS	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	16.7%
GLENN	WILLOWS	326.7%	327.3%	9.1%	7.7%
SISKIYOU	YREKA	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
MODOC	ALTURAS	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
AMADOR	AMADOR CITY	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			
HUMBOLDT	BLUE LAKE	No 2018 Annual Progress Report			

SB 35 Determination for the Counties of Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Humboldt, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity, Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of an 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 35 streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2018) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % COMPLETE	LOWER% COMPLETE	MOD % COMPLETE	ABOVE MOD % COMPLETE
COLUSA	COLUSA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
DEL NORTE	CRESCENT CITY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
HUMBOLDT	FERNDALE				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SISKIYOU	FORT JONES				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
INYO	INYO COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
LAKE	LAKEPORT				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
LASSEN	LASSEN COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SIERRA	LOYALTON				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
MODOC	MODOC COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SISKIYOU	MOUNT SHASTA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
AMADOR	PLYMOUTH				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
PLUMAS	PORTOLA				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
AMADOR	SUTTER CREEK				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
HUMBOLDT	TRINIDAD				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY				No 2018 Annual Progress Report
COLUSA	WILLIAMS				No 2018 Annual Progress Report