Multifamily Housing Program

2019 NOFA Round One



California Department of Housing and Community Development

July 2019



Welcome and Introduction



Agenda

- Welcome and Introduction
- Hot Topics
- Authority
- NOFA Overview
- Timeline



Presenters

- Laura Bateman, Section Chief
- Mauro Lara, Program Manager
- Debra Taylor, HCD Representative
- Elizabeth Montoya, HCD Representative
- Edona Evans, HCD Representative
- Farnaz Feizi, HCD Representative
- Tarci Hodge, HCD Representative
- Barbara Lewis, HCD Representative



Hot Topics



Hot Topics

- HCD Process Improvements
- Use of Other HCD Sources
- Experience of Principals or Staff



HCD Process Improvements

- Standard Agreements
 - Organizational Documents
 - Resolutions
- Relocation Reviews Process
- Article XXXIV Review Process



Standard Agreements

- Past Practice & Process Issues:
 - Timing
 - Delays to Construction Closing
 - Inconsistency Across Programs
 - Confusion in Communications
 - Frustration
 - Multiple Amendments
 - Fi\$cal



Standard Agreements

Goals:

- Pending Contracts out within 90 days of Award
- "Standard" Agreements (SA) across programs
- Execute the SA ONCE
 - All entities included
 - Payees Named
- Minimize/Eliminate need for amendments
 - Budget Changes
 - Performance Milestones
- Clean handoff from NOFA to Loan Closing



Standard Agreements

Process:

- Organizational Documents with application
 - Borrower
 - Managing General Partner (MGP)
 - Sponsor
- Reviewed during feasibility
- Post-Award Corrections
- Final Project Reports
- Sponsor Engagement (Awardees)



Organizational Documents

- Sponsor (Threshold Requirement)
- Borrower
 - Formation Documents
 - MGP/LLC
 - Administrative General Partner (AGP) if signatory on SA/Loan documents
 - Other entities included in Borrower structure



Resolutions (Common Mistakes)

- Applicant Name & Org. Type
 - Matches Org. Docs. exactly
 - Matches STD 204 and/or TIN exactly
- Designee Name & Title
 - Matches signature block exactly
- And/Or
- Signatory
 - Not the designee



Relocation & No-Relocation Reviews

- Staff Review
 - Vacant Land Only
 - No-Relocation Certification to be executed prior to Award
- Legal Review Required
 - No relocation other than vacant land
 - Evidence conflicting with "vacant land" assertion
 - Relocation required and plan submitted



Article XXXIV Reviews

Staff Review

- Identify errors & omissions
- Consult with legal counsel
- Make corrections via Project Reports

Goals

- Less restrictive approach
- Revised Opinions not required
- Issues resolved prior to award



Use of Other HCD Sources

- Combining HCD Sources
 - Stacking prohibition
 - Article XXXIV
 - Integration



Other HCD Sources (Example: VHHP & MHP)

Current VHHP award assisting 30% of units serving homeless veterans w/disabilities.

With Article 34 Authority: Up to 70% of remaining units may be MHP assisted.

Without Article 34: Up to 49% of remaining units may be MHP assisted.

Integration: Combined VHHP & MHP SN/SH assisted units cannot exceed 49% of units.

Loan Limits: Base limit for MHP "assisted" to the extent of Article 34 authority, MHP/SH assisted units in compliance with integration. Base limit for "Other Restricted" includes non-MHP assisted units.



Other HCD Sources (Example 2: NPLH & MHP)

Current NPLH award assisting 30% of units serving chronically homeless with severe mental illness & exiting institutions.

With Article 34 Authority: Up to 70% of remaining units may be MHP assisted.

Without Article 34: Up to 19% of remaining units may be MHP assisted.

Integration: Combined NPLH & MHP SN/SH assisted units cannot exceed 49% of units.

Loan Limits: Base limit for MHP "assisted" to the extent of Article 34 authority, MHP/SH assisted units in compliance with integration. Base limit for "Other Restricted" includes non-MHP assisted units.



Other HCD Sources

(Example: Prior Awards & MHP)

- Project without Article 34 and current HCD award(s)
 assisting 49% of units, then the project is MHP
 ineligible—all units allowed under Article 34 are
 already assisted.
- Project with Article 34 and current HCD award(s) assisting 100% of units, then the project is MHP ineligible—all units allowed under Article 34 are already assisted.



Other HCD Sources (Previous HCD Awards)

- Swapping Prior Award for MHP
 - Prohibited unless prior award/Standard
 Agreement is declined/disencumbered
 - Request must be made in writing
 - Prior to MHP application deadline



Experience of Principals and Staff

- Allowed
 - Eligible Sponsor §7303(c)(2)
 - Experience Pts §7320(3)(B)
- Documentation
 - Work History/Resume
 - Same or substantially similar duties
 - Management of development activities



Questions?



Authority

- Senate Bill 3 (SB3) 2017
 - Veterans and Affordable Housing Bond Act
- Proposition 1 adopted by voters November 6, 2018



NOFA Overview

- How Much?
 - \$178 million
- Maximum Loan Amounts
 - \$20 million
- Set aside
 - 18.3% for Senior Housing Projects



Timeline

NOFA Release	June 19, 2019
Application Form Available	June, 19, 2019
Applications Due	August 20, 2019
Internal Loan Committee	December 2019
Award Announcements	December 2019



What's New in this NOFA?



What's New in this NOFA?

- Project Types
- Rating and Ranking point score and tiebreaker
- Program limitations



Project Types

- Large Family
- Senior
- High Risk
- Supportive Housing
- Special Needs



Project Types

- Large Family
 - 25% of restricted units have three or more bedrooms, and
 - Minimum of an additional 25% of restricted units have two or more bedrooms
- Senior
 - All units restricted to residents age 62 or older
- High Risk
 - Please email us prior to application describing project



Project Types

- Supportive Housing
 - Minimum of 15% of units set aside for Supportive Housing
 - Minimum of 10% for Chronically Homeless individuals
 - Number of units not to exceed 49% of total units
- Special Needs
 - 25% of restricted units set aside for Special Needs Populations



Rating and Ranking Point Score

- Maximum Score of 115 points
 - Most Serious Housing needs 10 points (decrease from 15 points)
 - Sponsor Experience -50 points to 20 points
 - Adaptive Building Methods 15 points (increase from 10 points)
- Minimum Point Score 90



Tiebreaker

- In the event of tied scores
 - Applications will be ranked based on the lowest weighted average affordability of restricted units



Program Limitations

- Projects proposing 9 percent federal low income tax credits (TCAC) are not eligible
- Use of multiple funding sources on the same assisted units (subsidy stacking) is prohibited



Questions?



Program Overview



Program Overview

- Guidelines and Regulations
- MHP Emphasis
- Geographic Distribution
- Eligible Use of Funds
- Loan Terms and Base Limits
- Assisted vs. Restricted Units
- Article XXXIV



Regulations

- Governed by:
 - Multifamily Housing Program Guidelines (commonly referred to as Guidelines)
 - Published June 19, 2019
 - 2017 Uniform Multifamily Regulations (commonly referred to as UMR's)



MHP Emphasis

- Emphasis on units for
 - Deeply targeted incomes
 - Large Families (two or more bedrooms)
 - Special Needs Populations



Geographic Distribution

- To ensure a reasonable geographic distribution of funds to the extent possible:
 - 45 percent Southern California
 - 30 percent Northern California
 - 20 percent to Rural Area



Eligible Use of Funds

- Costs attributable to restricted units for:
 - Construction/rehabilitation
 - Off-site/on-site improvements
 - Capitalized Operating and Replacement Reserves
 - Child care facility and social service facility integrally linked to the project
 - Developer Fee



Loan Terms

- 55 year term
- 3% Simple Interest (principle and interest deferred)
- First 30 years mandatory debt service of 0.42%
- Next 25 years adjusted to cover HCD's monitoring costs
- Unpaid interest and principle due at the end of term



Loan Limits

- Base loan limits increased to \$175,000 per unit
 - Supportive Housing restricted units
 - Large Family New Construction restricted units located in "High Resource" or "Highest Resource" Area
- All other restricted at \$150,000 per unit



Assisted vs. Restricted units

Assisted Units

- Units subject to HCD rent/occupancy restriction as a result of program funding
- Article XXXIV analysis deals mainly with these units

Restricted Units

 Includes all assisted units and any units subject to comparable rent/occupancy restrictions

Unrestricted Units

- Market Rate
- Manager Units (unless specifically restricted)



Article XXXIV

- Article XXXIV of the California Constitution requires voter approval when public funds are used to develop low-income housing
- Authority is granted by the voters of the jurisdiction via local ballot measure or referendum
- If the jurisdiction does not have authority, the project must fall within a safe harbor exception



Article XXXIV Exceptions

- There are 4 safe harbor exceptions:
 - Not more than 49% of the total units will be assisted with public funds
 - The project involves the rehabilitation or replacement of existing affordable units on a 1-1 basis
 - VHHP exception for veteran units assisted with VHHP funds
 - The public entity is not a developer of affordable housing



Article XXXIVJurisdiction Has Authority

- Documentation includes a legal opinion and may include additional supporting documents
- Legal Opinion:
 - Reference to specific referendum & date passed
 - Total authority allocated by voters (usually in # of units or percentage of available housing)
 - Authority currently available
 - Units (authority) allocated to this project (including manager unit)
 - Balance remaining if this project goes forward



Questions?



Application Review



Application Review

- Oversubscription
 - Preliminary ranking by self score
 - Cut off
 - Applications scoring below the cut off will not be reviewed
- Review Process
 - Threshold
 - Compliance with minimum requirements
 - Rating and Ranking (Scoring)
 - Initial Point Score (before appeal)
 - Final Point (after appeal, if submitted)
 - Feasibility



Application Review

- Threshold and Scoring
 - Based solely on the content of the application
 - No new, additional, or revised documentation allowed
 - Department may request clarifying information
- Appeals
 - Basis
 - Process and Deadlines



Questions?



Threshold



Minimum Threshold Requirements

- Eligible Sponsor
- Eligible Project
- Site Control
- Complete Application
- Initial Supportive Service Plan



Eligible Sponsor

Eligible Entity

- Individual
- Joint Venture
- Partnership
- Limited Partnership (LP)
- Corporation
- Limited Liability Company (LLC)
- Local Public Entity
- Governing Body of an Indian Reservation or Rancheria
- Other legal entity, or combination thereof



Eligible Sponsor

- Must be organized as
 - For-profit
 - Limited profit
 - Or non-profit
- Must have sufficient capacity and experience to complete the project



Eligible Project

- Activity
 - New Construction
 - Rehabilitation with or without acquisition
 - Conversion of non residential structure
- Rental Housing Development
 - Must have a minimum of 5 or more units
- Scattered sites
 - Must have common ownership and common funding
- At the time of application, construction has not commenced



Eligible Project

- Restrictions on New Construction with demolition
 - The number of bedrooms in the new Project are at least equal to the total number of bedrooms in the demolished structures
- Exceptions may be approved
 - Improve the livability of the remaining units
 - Serve some other compelling public policy objective



Site Control

- At the time of application site control
 - Must be in the name of the Sponsor or,
 - Entity controlled by the Sponsor
- If an entity other than the Sponsor is named
 - Organization documents must clearly demonstrate Sponsor control



Site Control

- Types of site control
 - Fee Title
 - Ground Lease
 - Purchase Option/Lease Option
 - Sales Contract
 - Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) Public Entities
 - Exclusive Negotiation Agreement (ENA) must be for negotiation for acquisition and contain essential terms
 - Land Sales Contract



Site Control

- Documents to submit
 - Preliminary Title Report (within 30 days of application date)
 - Grant Deed
 - Executed Agreements
- All forms of site control must extend through anticipated award date - December 2019



Complete Application

- On HCD Forms
- All Required reports and documents are submitted as specified in document checklist
 - Based on the project type
- Sufficient to perform our review



Supportive Service Plan

- Projects targeting Special Needs Populations or Supportive Housing
 - Initial Supportive Services Plan must be submitted



Questions?



Rating and Ranking



Rating and Ranking

- Determine compliance with score criteria
- Scoring Categories
- Ranking by score



Compliance

- Minimum self score of 90 points
- Scores below 90 points disqualified
- All category requirements must be met for full points



Score Criteria

Criterion	Maximum Score
Extent Project Serves the Lowest Income Levels	35
Extent the Project Addresses the Most Serious Local Housing Needs	10
Development and Ownership Experience of the Sponsor	20
Leverage of Other Funds	20
Project Readiness	15
Adaptive Reuse / Infill / Proximity to Amenities/ Sustainable Building Methods	15
Total	115



- Maximum of 35 points
 - 25 points based on lowest income
 - 10 additional at least 20% of households below 25%
 AMI (where AMI exceeds 150%) or
 - 30% AMI all other counties



- Lowest Income Point Table
 - Limited to Restricted Units (RU) at or below 50%
 - 55% AMI rural project only
 - Columns used only once
 - Rows can be used multiple times
 - No more than 25 points



Total Project Units in Max Loan & Unit Mix Tab

										T	otal Proj	ect Uni	s							AW AN	
F	roj	ects qua	lifying a	s both a	Senior a											•		nits colu	mn, and	the rema	ining Senior
Unit Info				MHP Assisted Units								Column. Enter only one eligible project type per row. Other Restricted Units								Jnit Info	
۱T	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	- 1	1	J	К	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	
				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			# of	
Nimberof	Bedrooms	Restrict. % of AMI	% of Units	Large Family	Special Needs	Senior Housing	Supp.	At High Risk	Other Assisted	Total MHP Assisted Units	Large Family	Special Needs	Senior Housing	Supp. Housing	At High Risk	Other Restricte d Units	Total Other Restricted Units	Total Restricted Units	Other HCD Assisted Units	Other HCD Assisted Units	
										0							0	0			
										0							0	0			
										0							0	0			
										0							0	0			
4										0							0	0			
4										0							0	0			
\perp										0							0	0			
4										0							0	0			
+	_									0							0	0			
+										0							0	0			Large Family
+		Manage								0							0	0			Restricted Bdrm (%)
+	$\overline{}$	Manager 3+ Bdrm	0.0%							U							U	U			3+ Bdrm 0.0%
)	n	2+ Bdrm	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	2+ Bdrm 0.0%
										Ma	x Loan (Calculat	ion								
Pei	rma	nent Fur	ding G	ap §7302	(b)							II. S	hared C	ost Calci	ulation						
let	Dro	inct Cast	/IJA 'Dov	Rudaot' w	arkehoot c	~// R110\						1 T	tal Dacida	ntial Dava	lanmant C	act /IIA T	You Rudae	t' workehr	nt call C1	101	
•		. B1 -	Developn	nent Team	Contacts	B2 - :	SH Experie	ence	B3 - Suppo	ortive Serv	rices Plan	B4 - S	S Verificat	tion C	- Local 8	Env Verif	ication	D - Max	Loan & l	Jnit Mix	E - Project Selec



Rural **Designation**

AMI Columns can only be used once

Project is in a Rural Area as defined by H&S Code §50199.21 Sponsors must use the TCAC Method for determining rural status. Reference document on the MHP webpage and include documentation of rural status. Rural Status Determination TCAC Method for determining rural status File Name: Hard Copy and USB? Serving Lowest Income Levels, §7320(b)(1) - 35 points max 0.0 Applications will be scored based on the percentage of Restricted Units limited to various percentages of AMI adjusted by household size and as follows: (A) Points will be awarded based on the "Lowest Income Point Table" below. 25 points max. 0.00 % AMI 40% 35% 30% 25% 20% 55% Total Points Points available to Rural set-% of Points **Points** Points Points **Points** Available Awarded Units side projects only 50% 12.50 18.75 0.00 45% 11.25 16.90 0.00 40% 0.00 5.00 10.00 15.00 35% 4.40 8.75 13.15 17.50 25.00 0.00 30% 3.75 22.50 7.50 11.25 15.00 18.75 25.00 0.00 15.65 25% 3.15 6.25 9.40 12.50 18.75 21.90 25.00 0.00 12.50 15.00 17.50 20.00 20% 2.50 5.00 7.50 10.00 0.00 15% 1.90 3.75 5.65 7.50 9.40 11.25 13.10 15.00 0.00 7.50 6.25 8 75 1.25 2.50 3.75 5.00 10 00 0.00

(B) 10 Points will be awarded to projects where at least 20 percent of the Restricted Units are restricted as follows:

(i) to hos and Marin Counties; or

(ii) to households with incomes not exceeding 30 percent of AMI, in other counties.

Projec County: 0 Restricted Units: 0 Units at or Below 30% AMI: 0 % of Restricted Units: 0.00% Points: 0

- SH Experience B3 - Supportive Services Plan

C - Local & Env Verification

B 14--1--- 0-11-3-1

E - Project Selection

F - Certification & Legal

RU Rows can be used multiple times

...



Score Criteria Address Most Serious Local Housing Need

- Maximum 10 points
 - 5 points Large Family Projects, must:
 - Be located in "High or Highest Resource" area
 - TCAC/HCD opportunity map
 - 5 points for projects qualifying as both Senior and Special Needs
 - 5 points 10% restricted units for homeless households
 - Referrals from Coordinated Entry System (CES)



Score Criteria Address Most Serious Local Housing Need

- Or 5 points for meeting any of the following:
 - Rental New Construction
 - High Risk or conversion of Single Room Occupancy
 - Loan Limited to amount necessary to:
 - Reduce existing rent levels
 - Create new restricted units
 - Make accessibility improvements for occupancy by disabled persons



Score Criteria Development and Ownership Experience

- 20 Points Maximum
 - Projects completed within the last 10 years
 - 4 points each project completed last 5 years
 - 2 points each project completed in previous 5 years
 - Completed projects must have a minimum of 10 units
 - Or completed projects with less than 15 units and at least 70% SNP units, then minimum of 5 units



Score Criteria Development and Ownership Experience

- At least 70% of units are for Special Needs Populations
- Sponsor may elect application be scored on the lesser of:
 - Number of subsidized Rental Housing Developments completed by a Consultant or Contracted Developer within the last 10 years or
 - Number of subsidized Rental Housing Developments
 Sponsor or affiliates either own/operate under a long term lease or other arrangement



Score Criteria Development and Ownership Experience

- Negative 50 points maximum
 - Removal or withdrawal as general partner
 - Failure to submit compliance documentation
 - Ineligible use of reserve funds or failure to deposit required reserves
 - Failure to provide promised supportive services to a special needs population
 - Other significant violations of requirements of HCD programs or of programs of other public agencies





Score CriteriaLeverage of Other Funds

- 20 points maximum
 - 1 point will be awarded for each full 5% increment above 50%
 - 2 points awarded for each full 5% above 50% for Large Family new construction
 - Must be located in "High Resource" or "Highest Resource" area
 - Supportive Housing units
 - based on the mix of Supportive Housing and other units
 - Land Donations may be included, if supported by an appraisal
 - Developer Fees will not be counted



Score Criteria Project Readiness

- 15 Points maximum
 - 4 points for Enforceable Commitments (EFC) for all construction financing
 - Not including Tax Exempt Bonds, Tax Credits and other funding provided by another Department
 - 4 points for adoption or certification of all necessary environmental reviews



Score Criteria Project Readiness

Land Use Approvals

- 3 points for obtaining all required land use approvals or entitlements necessary prior to issuance of a building permit including discretionary approvals
- 2 points for submission of complete application to local authorities for land use approval under nondiscretionary local approval process
- 1 point for letter signed by a certified planner that project meets all requirements for approval under nondiscretionary local approval process



Score Criteria Project Readiness

- 4 points for Permanent EFC's for all deferred-payment financing, grants and subsidies
 - Excluding tax credits (in accordance with TCAC)
 - Funds from other HCD programs must provide award letter prior to final rating and ranking of MHP Application
- 5 points will be subtracted for projects seeking TCAC
 Hybrid tiebreaker incentives



Score Criteria

Adaptive Reuse/Infill/Proximity to Amenities/ Sustainable Building Methods

- Maximum of 15 points
- Infill Development/Adaptive Reuse
 - use of vacant and underutilized commercial or industrial building located in a developed area served with public infrastructure, the project will be:
 - Located on a site where either:
 - 75% of the site was previously improved, or
 - 75% of the perimeter of the sites adjoining parcels are developed with Urban Uses, or
 - Combination of at least 50% of the above
 - Developed at average residential Net Density as described in the Government Code



Score Criteria

Adaptive Reuse/Infill/Proximity to Amenities/ Sustainable Building Methods

- Proximity to amenities
 - Site Amenities must be appropriate for the tenant population
- Sustainable Building Methods
- Large Family new construction located in "High Resource" or "Highest resource" areas
 - 5 points plus,
 - 5 points for meeting Proximity to Amenities
 - 5 points for Utilizing Sustainable Building Methods



Score Criteria Tiebreaker

- Department shall rank tied application based on the lowest weighted average
- The highest scoring applications will be evaluated for financial feasibility



Questions?



15 Minute Break



Feasibility



Financial Feasibility

- Organizational Documents & Resolutions
- Required Reports
- Development Budget
- Rents
- Operating Expenses
- Cash-Flow



Organizational Documents & Resolution

- Based on proposed Borrower organizational structure
- Corrections required before Standard Agreement



Required Reports

- Market Study
 - Prepared in accordance with TCAC 2019 guidelines within
 12 months of application date.
 - Demonstrates a market for proposed units
 - Capture Rate not exceeding 25%
 - Absorption Rate supporting lease-up time
 - Proposed rents at least 10% below market rate
 - Sponsor to supply information on Special Needs and/or Senior populations.
- Preliminary Title Report



Required Reports

Appraisal

- Required for Acquisitions
- Required if land cost or value of land/lease donation are included in the development budget
- Supports current value or cost

Environmental Reports

- Evaluation of the site condition
- Is remediation required?
- Remediation costs must be reasonable & included in the budget
- For Rehabilitation/Demolition lead-based paint, mold and asbestos



Required Reports

- Relocation
 - When is Relocation triggered?
 - If triggered
 - Initial relocation plan required
 - Costs reasonable and included in development budget
 - If not required
 - Include narrative explanation (N/A not sufficient), final determination by Department
 - Certification & Indemnification



Development Budget

- Contractor Overhead/Profit/General Requirements
 - Not to exceed 14% of site work and structures.
- Hard-Cost Contingencies
 - Rental New Construction 5%
 - Rehab 10%
 - Higher must be explained
- "Other" costs specified

Other: (Specify)



Development Budget Reserves

- Operating Reserves
 - Tax Credit Projects 3 months each of:
 - Non-Tax Credit 4 months
 - Required replacement reserve
 - Non-contingent debt service
 - Projected operating expenses (excluding the cost of on-site Supportive Service Coordination)



Development Budget Reserves

- Transition Reserve
 - For projects utilizing Project Based Rental Assistance
 - Amount needed to cover one year after subsidy ends



Total Development Cost

- Equal to permanent sources
- Costs are reasonable
- High cost analysis
 - If exceeds 160% explanation is required
 - May result in disqualification



Rents

- MHP units not to exceed 60% Area Median Income (AMI)
- Proposed Rents vs. Restricted
- Utility Allowance



Operating Expenses

- Limits on Supportive Services Expenses
 - \$4,287 per unit per year (PUPY) Chronic Homelessness (CH)
 - \$3,215 PUPY Special Needs (SNP) not CH
 - \$1,104 PUPY devoted staff for oversight and quality control with a system to track and report on outcomes
 - \$263 PUPY if none of above met
- Replacement Reserves
 - New Construction the lesser of 0.6% of structure cost or \$500 per unit
 - Rehab \$500 per unit



Operating Expenses

- Finance Expenses
 - Mandatory Debt
 - Balloon Payments
 - 0.42% Annual Debt Service
 - Multiple HCD break out on separate lines
 - Bond Issuer Fees
- Asset Management Fee
 - Not to exceed \$33,262 (2019)



15 Year Cash-Flow

- 15 year positive cash flow
 - 2.5% increase income
 - 3.5% increase expenses
- Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)
 - Not Less than 1.10:1 or Greater than 1.20:1 except
 - 12% Rule
 - A higher ratio may be allowed in limited circumstances, to ensure 20 year positive cash flow feasibility.
- Residual Receipts and Sponsor Distributions
 - 50% to Sponsor
 - 50% to Department



Questions?



What's Next?



What's Next?

- Project Reports prepared
 - Presented to Internal Loan Committee
- Final Project Reports prepared
 - Copy will be sent to the Sponsor
- Award letters will be sent
- Standard Agreements Issued
- Hand project off to Loan Closing Section



Application Highlights



Application Highlights

- Review features of the
 - Universal Application
 - Supplemental Application
- Before completing the applications be sure to "enable content"



Development Sources tab

	SOURCES OF DEVELOPMENT FUNDS														
	Permanent Sources of Funds														
tted?	Source Name	Lien	Amount	Interest Rate		Amortiz'n Period	Repayment Terms		Required Residential	Required Commercial	Tax Exempt		Commerical		
	(in lien priority order)	No.		Rate	Туре	(yrs.)	Туре	Due in (yrs)	Debt Service	Debt Service	Amount	Amount	Amount		
_															
vestor		Ь,		Tı	pe of Tax Credits	•						\$0			
vestor	ТО	TALS	\$0		ipe of Tax Credits				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

	Construction Period Sources of Funds										
tted?	Source Name (in lien priority order)	Lien No.	Amount	Interest Rate	Required Payment	Loan Term (months)	Tax Exempt Amount	Residential Amount	Commercial Amount		



Development Budget tab

		Regulations/G	uidelines for ca	lculating the HCL	D Developer Fee	2019 MHP Guidelines
DEVELOPMENT COST	Total Project Costs	Residential Costs	Commercial Costs	30% PVC for New Const/Rehab	30% PVC for Acquisition	Comments and explanation of basis changes
LAND COST/ACQUISITION						
Land Cost or Value	\$0					
Demolition	\$0					
Legal	\$0					
Land Lease Rent Prepayment	\$0					
Total Land Cost or Value	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Existing Improvements Cost or Value	\$0					
Off-Site Improvements	\$0					
Total Acquisition Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	
Total Land Cost / Acquisition Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Predevelopment Interest/Holding Cost	\$0					
Assumed, Accrued Interest on Existing Debt (Rehab/Acq)						
Excess Purchase Price Over Appraisal	\$0					
REHABILITATION						
Site Work	\$0					
Structures	\$0					
General Requirements	\$0					
Contractor Overhead	\$0					
Contractor Profit	\$0					
Prevailing Wages	\$0					
General Liability Insurance	\$0					
Other: (Specify)	\$0					
Other: (Specify)	\$0					
Other: (Specify)	\$0					
Other: (Specify)	\$0					
Total Rehabilitation Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Relocation Expenses	\$0					



High Cost Test & Developer Fee Calculator

TCAC Threshold Basis Limit for HCD Developer Fee 2017 UMR §8312(c) & (b) and High Cost Test for HCD Limits on Development Costs 2017 UMR §8311(a) & (b)

Complete yellow shaded cells; for assistance, see cell comments (revised 6/19/19)

		Joinpiete yellow	Silaucu celis	, 101 43313141		en comm		10/13/13/	I
Project Name):				Date:		County:		Tax Credits: None
Rep Name:								HCD Phase:	Origination
Unit !	Size	TCAC Thres	hold Basis Lim	its (TBL)		Numb	per of Units		Basis x Number of Units
SRO/S	tudio						0		\$0
1 Bed	room						0		\$0
2 Bedr	ooms						0		\$0
3 Bedr	3 Bedrooms 0								\$0
4+ Bed	rooms						0		\$0
Number of Ma	anager Units	s in Project:		TOTAL UNITS:			0		
				TC	TAL UNAD	JUSTED THE	RESHOLD BASIS	LIMIT (TBL):	\$0
TBL ADJUS	TMENTS §1	10327(c)(5)(A-F): (a	a) - (e) below ca	nnot exceed 3	9% of Una	djusted TB	L	Yes/No	
prevailing workers w Project ce Public Co and Safet trades. (5) New cons through ce (c) Project wi	wages or fir who are paid entifies that on ntract Code y Code to p %) truction Propostruction there a day of	or part out of public nanced in part by a at least state or full (1) they are subject , or (2) they will use erform all onsite we ject required to pro of an on-site parkin care center is part of	labor-affiliated or deral prevailing w to a Project lab- e a skilled and tr ork within an app wide parking ben g structure of tw of the developme	rganization requirages. (20%) or agreement wained workforce renticeable occurrenticeable occurrenticeable or more levels nt. (2%)	ithin the me as define upation in units (not s. (7%)	mployment leaning of §. d by §2553 the building	of construction 2500(b)(1) of th 6.7 of the Healt and constructi	e e	\$0 \$0 \$0
. ,		rcent of the units a			. ,				\$0
(e) Project wi	here at leas	t 95% of the Projec	t's upper floor un	its are serviced	by an ele	vator. (10%)		\$0
(up to 109	6)	er §10325 or §10326	•						\$0
use. If the 50% of a available area for	ie combined annual electi e solar acce sloped roofs	e onsite renewable g l available roof area ricity use, then the Pr ssible roof area. Ava s, equipment, solar t oiect not availing itse	of the Project stru roject shall have o ilable solar acces hermal hot water	ctures, including insite renewable ssible area is de and required loc	g carports, i e generatio efined as ro cal or state	s insufficien n based on a of area less fire departm	t for provision of at least 90% of t north facing roo ent set-backs ar	ne f	

	HCD 2019 I	MHP Guidelines Dev Fee	Calculator - revised 6/19/19 (complete yellow	shaded cells)	
Project Phase:	Origination	Proposed Project Type:				
Project Name:		·				
roject's De	veloper Fee Sum	mary:		HCD Limit	Project Amt.	
Max Total	Developer Fee -	2d		\$0	\$0	
Max Deve	eloper Fee payabl	e from development funding so	ources - lesser of 1h & 2d	\$0	\$0	
Deferred	Developer Fee p	ayable on a priority basis from a	available Cash Flow	\$0	\$0	
Deferred	Developer Fee p	ayable exclusively from Sponso	or Distributions - 2h			
	Total Budge	eted or Actual Developer Fee	\$ 0			
	Deferred o	r Contributed Developer Fee				

Section 1. Developer Fee from Funding Sources				
a. Project's type of construction:	Number of Affordable Tax Credit Units			\$2,000,000
b. Project's Eligible Basis (EB) (w/o developer fee)		\$0	x 15% =	\$0
c. Basis for Non-Residential Costs (Commercial w/o developer fee)	•	\$0	x 15% =	\$0
d. Base limit: lesser of 1a or (1b+1c)	·			\$0
High Cost Tast Adjustment New Construction only			90	



Reserves

r	roject Name: Number of Project Units: 0								
		Replacement Reserve Calculator UMR §8309							
a)	0.6% of new construction co.	sts (structures excluding contractor profit, overhead, and general requirements and insurance):	\$0		\$0				
0)	\$500 per unit:		\$500		\$0				
:)	If a third-party physical needs	assessment (PNA) was performed for this Project, must attach PNA: PNA per unit amount:			\$0				
(d) Replacement Reserve amount = New construction: lesser of (a), (b) and (c) above; Rehab: lesser of (b) and (c)									
	HCD Required Replacem	ent Reserve Amount - must be included in 'Operating' budget			\$0				
		Operating Reserve Calculator UMR §8308							
1 Total Operating Expenses (including Property Taxes and excluding Service Coordinator salary):									
2 Replacement Reserve amount (from above):									
3	Mandatory Permanent Deb	t Service (enter lender name below):							
a)	1st Mortgage				\$ 0				
0)	2nd Mortgage				\$ 0				
:)	3rd Mortgage Debt Service				\$0				
J)	Misc. Financial Expenses:				\$ 0				
	Total Annual Mandatory De	bt Service:			\$0				
a	HCD Required Operating	Reserve Amount (Tax Credit Project - 3 months) - must be included in 'Dev Budget' for tax o	redit projects		\$0				
b	HCD Required Operating	Reserve Amount (Non-Tax Credit Project - 4 months) - must be included in 'Dev Budget' if n	o tax credits		\$0				
Re	eserve amounts are different t	han the required amount, enter reserve amounts and how they are calculated below:							



Permanent Sources and Uses form

	Project Name:															
	PERMANENT SOURCES AND USES															
					Res	idential Peri	nanent Sou	rces of Fur	nds					Commer	cial Sources	
USES OF FUNDS	Total Costs (Residential & Commercial)	Total Residential Cost from Dev Budget	MHP HCD Loan	Source Name:						Source Name:	Gross Tax Credit Equity	Total Residential Sources	Commercial Costs Total	Source Name:	Source Name:	Residential Cost Difference Dev Budget vs. Sources
ACQUISITION																
Lesser of Land Cost or Value	\$0`	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Demolition	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Legal & Closing Costs	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Verifiable Carrying Costs	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0		\$0
Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Existing Improvements Cost	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Other: Land Holding Costs	\$0	\$0				\$0						\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REHABILITATION																
Off-Site Improvements	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Environmental Remediation	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Site Work	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Structures	\$0	\$0										\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
General Requirements	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Contractor Overhead	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Contractor Profit	\$0	\$0										\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
General Liability Insurance	\$0	\$0										\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Other:	\$0	\$0										\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Rehabilitation Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
RELOCATION																
Temporary Relocation	\$0	\$0										\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Permanent Relocation	\$0	\$0										\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Relocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0



Cell Color Significance Supplemental Application

Yellow – Applicant input

Orange – Required attachments

Blue - Self score points awarded

General Instructions (Addition instructions and guidance are given throughout the Supplemental Application in "red" text and in cell comments.

"Yellow" cells are for Sponsor input. Failure to provide the required attachments and documentation may disqualify your application from consideration or may negatively impact your point score.

Required attachments are indicated in "Orange" throughout the Supplemental Application. Failure to provide the required attachments and documentation may disqualify your application from consideration or may negatively impact your point score. Electronically attached files must use the naming convention in the Supplemental Application. For Example: "App1 Payee Data" for Sponsor 1 Payee Data Record/ STD. 204.

Self score points awarded are indicated in "blue" cells in the 'Selection Criteria' worksheet. These are automated calculations based on the inputs provided by the Sponsor.



Project Requirements Tab Supplemental Application

- If red shaded print or cells appear
 - May require additional documentation
 - Narrative will be required

units per UMR §8302							
§7320(a)(9) Does Sponsor have site control that complies with UMR §8302? If yes, enter form of site control and most recent execution date.							
Form of site control: Most recent document execution date:							
Dravide dataile beleur for unuquel site control anacial signumentances:							

Davis-Bacon Wage & State Prevailing Wage Requirements

Sponsor certifies the Project will comply with Davis-Bacon wage requirements and State prevailing wage law, as set forth in Labor Code Section 1720 et seq., which requires the payment of prevailing wages unless the project meets one of the exceptions of Labor Code 1720 (c) as determined by the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR). Sponsors are urged to seek professional advice as to how to comply with State prevailing wage law.

No

Explanations

Provide a letter of explanation providing details for all "No" answers that are shaded red above.



Project Requirements Tab Supplemental Application

Project Types

Project Requirements								
Eligible Project §7302, §7320(a)(2)								
Project Name:				(a) Project Type:		Project Coun	ty:	
Project Address:				Project City:		Project Zip:	·	
(b) Do you certify that other development funding sources, including all tax credit equity generated by the Project, are insufficient to cover Project development costs?								
(c) Do you certify that at the time of the application due date, the construction or rehabilitation work has not commenced, except for emergency repairs to existing structures required to eliminate hazards or threats to health and safety?								
(d) Do you certify that the Project does not use federal 9 percent low income housing tax credits?								
(e) Project Type(s): Large Family Special Needs Senior Supportive Housing At High Risk								



Project Requirements Tab Supplemental Tab

 By selecting type, additional required tabs will appear:

Project Requirements								
Eligible Project §7302, §7320(a)(2)								
Project Name:			(a) Project Type:		Project County:			
Project Address:			Project City:		Project Zip:			
(b) Do you certify that other development funding sources, including all tax credit equity generated by the Project, are insufficient to cover Project development costs?								
(c) Do you certify that at the time of the application due date, the construction or rehabilitation work has not commenced, except for emergency repairs to existing structures required to eliminate hazards or threats to health and safety?								
(d) Do you certify the	at the Project does not use fede	eral 9 percent low income housi	ing tax credits?					
(e) Project Type(s)	:	☐ Large Family ☑	Special Needs	Senior - Supportive Housing	g □ AtHighRisk			
MHP Cover Page B - Project Requirments B1 - Development Team Contacts B3 - Supportive Services Plan B4 - SS Verification C - Local & Env Verification D - Max Loan & Unit Mix								



Supportive Services Verification Supplemental Application


- For projects with Special Needs Populations or Supportive Services:
 - -Verification requires signature


to the public of hon-profit fulluling age	iicy. The project sponsor named above is submitting an application to the state Department of Housing a	III
Community Development (HCD) reques	sting funding for the project named above under the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP). The application i	for MHP
funding is subject to HCD's determinati	on that the project qualifies as a Supportive Housing Project. The findings of your agency will be considere	ed in arriving at
this determination. Review the attached	I copy of the Supportive Housing Project Plan, note your findings in the chart below, and complete the sign	ature block
below the chart. Attach comments for	any "No" and as otherwise necessary. Your cooperation is appreciated.	
We have reviewed the Supportive Housi	ng Project Plan submitted for the project named above.	Yes
The services proposed in the Supportive	e Housing Project Plan are appropriate to meet the needs of the target population(s) named above.	Yes
The project primary service provider is a	known provider of support services to the target population(s) listed above.	Yes
Dated:		
Statement Completed by (please print):		
Signature:		
Title:		
Agency or Department:		
Agency or Department Address:		
Agency or Department Phone:		



Max Loan and Unit Mix Supplemental Application

TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map







Application Support

appsupport@hcd.ca.gov

	Application Development Team (ADT) Support Form									
Please complete the "yellow" cells in the form below and email a copy to: AppSupport@hcd.ca.gov. A member of the Application Development Team 58815										pment Team
			will r	espond t	to your request within ASA	.Р.				
E. II NI -						Date		Ap	plication	
Full Na	me:				Re	equested:		Versi	on Date:	
Organiz	ation:			Email:			Co	ontact Phone:		
Justifica	ation:									
Issue	Progran	Tab	Section	Cell#	Update/Cor	nment		Urgency	ADT	Status
#	Name 8	Tab	Section	Cellin	opuate/coi	mnem		orgency	Status	Date
1								High		
2										
2										
3										



Questions?



Application Submission



Organizing the Binder

- Complete original application in a four inch three-ring binder
 - electronic copies on a CD or flash drive
- Refer to the MHP Document Checklist to:
 - Label tabs to separate each section
 - Number tabs for documents
 - For items not applicable to your application, place a sheet stating "Not Applicable" behind the tab



Document Checklist

Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) Document Checklist

Rev. 6/19/19

Packaging instructions for the MHP application submission:

- (1) Use 3ring binder/binders appropriate to the size/thickness of the Universal Application and the Supplemental Application when submitting the application package to the Department.
- (2) Use labeled tabs to separate each section and document attached according to the Application checklist to separate each section and document attached in the
- (3) Use the tab file name descriptions and file structure below for the 1, binder tab numbers and 2, electronic folder and file name.

Binder Tab #	Threshold Requirement	Electronic File Name	Document Description	Included?			
1	Х	Document Checklist	Document Checklist.	Included			
2	Х	UA	Universal Application.	Included			
3	X	SA	MHP Supplemental Application.				
3a		LOE	Letters of Explanation.				
4	Rehab Only	Rehab Description	Narrative of current condition of structure(s) and description of overall scope of work. Include a discussion of any proposed modification to unit configurations, unit mix, need for seismic retrofit, or modifications in use (e.g., commercial/tourist hotel to				
			SRO or studio apartments).				
5	Rehab Only	PNA or CAN	PNA or CNA by qualified independent third party contractor.				
6	Rehab Only	Rent Roll	Current rent roll, tenant income & household size info, submit by unit.				
7	SH/SNP Only	Integration Plan	For Special Needs and Supportive Housing Projects provide and Integration Plan that demonstrates how the Sponsor will meet the requirements of §7302(g).				
	Sponsor Organizational Documents						
8a	Х	Spon1 Cert & Legal Disclosure	Certification & Legal Status.				
8b	Х	Spon1 Resolution	Resolution.				
8c	Х	Spon1 OrgDoc1, OrgDoc2,	Organizational Documents for entity type (See "Sponsor Org Docs" worksheet).				
8d	Х	Spon1 OrgChart	Organization Chart.				
8e	Х	Spon1 Signature Block	Signature Block (in Word format).				
8f	Х	Spon1 STD 204 Payee Data	Payee Data Record STD 204 (required for private AND public entities).				
8g	Х	Spon1 Fi\$CAL TIN Form	Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) (public entities ONLY).				
9h	Х	Spon1 Leg Letter	Letter to the Local Legislative Body.				
Sponsor 2 Organizational Documents (If Applicable)							
9a		Spon2 Cert & Legal Disclosure	Certification & Legal Status.				
← →	MHP Cover Pag	ge Instructions Checklist	onsor Org Docs B - Project Requirements B1 - Development Team Contacts B2 - SH Experie	ence B3 - S			



Application Submission

- COMPLETE original and electronic copies of the application must be received by HCD no later than 5:00 pm on Tuesday, August 20, 2019
- No facsimiles, late, incomplete, revised, electronically transmitted, or walk-in application packages will be accepted
- Applications must meet all eligibility requirements upon submission
- Applications with substantial internal inconsistencies may not be rated and ranked



Disclosure of Application

- Information becomes public record available for review by the public
- Use Discretion Sponsor is waiving any claim of confidentiality and consents to the disclosure of all submitted material upon request
- Remember: Once your application is received, it must stand on its own to represent your project!



Questions?



Resources

MHP Website

http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/mhp.shtml

2019 MHP NOFA Round 1

http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/mhp/docs/2019-MHP-NOFA.pdf

2019 MHP Guidelines

http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/mhp/docs/Round-1-MHP-Final-Guidelines.pdf

2017 Uniform Multifamily Regulations (UMR's)

http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/already-have-funding/uniform-multifamily-regulations/docs/Uniform-Multifamily-Regulations-2017.pdf

2019 TCAC Regulations

https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/programreg/2019/20190227/regulations-clean.pdf

Methodology for Determining Rural Status

https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/2019/methodology.pdf

TCAC Opportunity Maps

https://haasinstitute.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/mappings/TCAC/opportunity_map_2019.html

California Government Code

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov



Stay in the know: Sign up for HCD email at www.hcd.ca.gov





Stay in the know . . .

Follow HCD on social media



Like us on Facebook: /CaliforniaHCD



Follow us on Twitter: @California_HCD



Follow us on LinkedIn: /company/californiahcd



Questions?



Contact

Additional questions may be sent to MHP mailbox

mhp@hcd.ca.gov

or

Mauro.Lara@hcd.ca.gov