Veterans Housing & Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) Program

2021 Round 6 Awards Data Summary

Based on cumulative awards to date, the VHHP Program estimates that 54% of housing units expected are reserved for veteran households. Based on Round 6 awards, VHHP funds account for 19% of total development costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VHHP Awarded</th>
<th>Total Development Cost</th>
<th>Development Cost Leverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021 Round 6 Totals</td>
<td>$125,142,219</td>
<td>$643,260,720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CALIFORNIA VETERANS HOUSING NEED

The majority of veteran households are considered economically burdened (spends more than 30% of income on housing related costs) or severely burdened (spends more than 50% of income on housing related costs), according to the National Low-Income Housing Coalition using 2017 Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) data. Note: AMI = Area Median Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA Veteran Households</th>
<th>% Burdened</th>
<th>% Severely Burdened</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low Income (0-30% AMI)</td>
<td>112,449</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income (31-50% AMI)</td>
<td>117,483</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income (51-80% AMI)</td>
<td>210,594</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2020 CA Estimate Point In Time Count\(^1\) | % Sheltered | % Unsheltered |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Experiencing Homelessness</td>
<td>11,401</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development estimated at a point in time in January 2020.

EXPECTED HOUSING UNITS BY GEOGRAPHIC REGION

The table on the left contains a count of Round 6 projects and housing units expected based on VHHP project awards granted by geographical region. The table on the right provides the cumulative information for Rounds 1 through 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Areas</th>
<th>Round 5 VHHP Units</th>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Projects</td>
<td>VHHP Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland/Orange</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VHHP FUNDS AWARDED (in millions) BY GEOGRAPHIC REGION

The table on the left contains the amount of Round 6 funds awarded for projects by geographical region. The left side table includes the program target for awards by region and the actual percent of total fund requested by region. The table on the right provides the cumulative information to date for Rounds 1 through 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Areas</th>
<th>VHHP Target %</th>
<th>Round 6 Dollars Awarded</th>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Dollars Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Actual %</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area</td>
<td>$19.2</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$73.2</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>$200.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland/Orange</td>
<td>$13.7</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$19</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>$89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$125.1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$478.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPECTED HOUSING UNITS BY INCOME RANGE

The table on the left reflects the number of veteran households expected to be served at a variety of income levels in Round 6. The table on the right provides the cumulative information for Rounds 1 through 6. Note: “% VHHP Units” refers to % of the total VHHP units at each income level (542 for Round 6 and 3,058 total for Rounds 1 through 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>VHHP Units</th>
<th>Total Project Units</th>
<th>% VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low Inc. (ELI)</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Inc. (VLI)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income (LI)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Units</th>
<th>VHHP Units</th>
<th>Total Project Units</th>
<th>% VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,026</td>
<td>2,624</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>813</td>
<td>2,064</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>219</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPECTED VHHP HOUSING UNITS DISTRIBUTION BY POPULATION

Cumulatively, 80% of VHHP units are restricted to veterans experiencing homelessness. The table on the left; the number of veteran households expected to be served by need category in Round 6. The table on the right: cumulative number for Rounds 1 through 6. Note: “% VHHP Units” refers to % of the total VHHP units at each income level (542 for Round 6 and 3,058 total Rounds 1 through 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>VHHP Units</th>
<th>%VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Homeless</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless w/ Disability</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Homeless</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+ Bedrooms (Family units)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Units</th>
<th>VHHP Units</th>
<th>%VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>577</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>811</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>579</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>391</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FUNDING SOURCES LEVERAGED FOR HOUSING UNITS OCCUPANCY

Other public sources of funds leveraged for ongoing occupancy of housing developments. The table on the left reflects Round 6, on the right is cumulative for Rounds 1 through 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units Subsidized</th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>% of Total Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PB HUD-VASH</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating Subsidies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Units</th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>% of Total Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,657</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>805</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>521</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 136 units are receiving multiple subsidies.

FUNDING SOURCES LEVERAGED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSING UNITS

Other public sources of funds leveraged for project construction. The table on the left reflects Round 6, on the right is cumulative for Rounds 1 through 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Funds</th>
<th>Round 6 VHHP Projects</th>
<th>% of Total Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4% Low Inc. Tax Credit</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9% Low Inc. Tax Credit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and Donations</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Financing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Financing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative VHHP Projects</th>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>% of Total Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>