DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95833 (916) 263-7400 / FAX (916) 263-7417 www.hcd.ca.gov



September 11, 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

Non-entitlement areas throughout California

FROM: Doug McCauley, Acting Director

Department of Housing and Community Development

SUBJECT: Substantial Amendment to the State of

California's 2018-19 Annual Action Plan

This memorandum serves as the substantial amendment to the State of California's 2018-19 Annual Action Plan (AP). Required by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Annual Action Plan is an annual update of California's Consolidated Plan that examines the housing and community development needs and lays out how federal funds will be used to address these needs. This substantial amendment includes required Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program local program income (PI) information for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018.

Pursuant to 24 CFR 91.320(k)(1)(iv), APs must include the following information: a description of each of the local accounts including the name of the local entity administering the funds, contact information for the entity administering the funds, the amounts expected to be available during the program year, the eligible activity type(s) expected to be carried out with the program income, and the national objective(s) served with the funds. To meet this requirement, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) modified annual PI report forms and sent them to CDBG grantees in June 2018.

Please note: This document includes the excerpts from HCD's 2018-19 AP. The PI information for this substantial amendment is listed in Ap-15 and Appendix D. Additionally, sections AP-05 and AP-12 have been updated to include the new public comment period. The changes are highlighted in red font.

This substantial amendment to the 2018-19 AP will be available for comment from all interested parties for a 30-day period from September 11 through

October 11, 2019. A public hearing will be held in Sacramento on September 24, 2019.

If you have any questions regarding this substantial amendment, please contact Janice Waddell, Grants Management Section Chief, Division of Financial Assistance, at (916) 263-6448 or send questions and comments to caper@hcd.ca.gov.

AP-05 Executive Summary - 24 CFR 91.200(c), 91.220(b)

A. INTRODUCTION

This Annual Action Plan (AP) is the fourth of five annual updates to the 2015-20 State of California Consolidated Plan (ConPlan). The AP outlines the State of California's (state) current priorities and strategies to address housing and community development goals using 2018 federal community development funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and from other federal and state sources.

This AP describes projected HUD 2018 funding levels, state and other resources expected for the year, program operation schedules, goals, objectives, and planned operations for the following five programs:

- 1. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG);
- 2. Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME);
- 3. National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF);
- 4. Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG); and
- 5. Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA).

(Note: The Lead Hazard Control Program Grant ended in 2017, and therefore, will not be reflected in this AP).

Below, in summary #2, is an outline of the goals and objectives addressed in this AP. The NHTF and ESG programs are available statewide. CDBG and HOME are available only to nonurban and rural cities and counties ("non-entitlement" jurisdictions) that do not receive federal funds for these programs directly from HUD. HOPWA provides funds to HIV housing and homeless service providers that HUD does not directly fund. The jurisdictions that are eligible for these state-administered funds are identified in *Appendix A*, *Eligible Jurisdictions*.

B. SUMMARIZE THE OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES IDENTIFIED IN THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN

This could be a restatement of items or a table listed elsewhere in the plan or a reference to another location. It may also contain any essential items from the housing and homeless needs assessment, the housing market analysis or the strategic plan.

Statewide goals from the California 2015-20 Consolidated Plan:

- Increase the supply of affordable rental housing;
- Expand homeownership opportunities and improve existing housing;
- Provide homeless assistance and prevention services;
- Increase economic development opportunities;
- Maintain or increase public services; and
- Maintain or increase public facilities.

In addition to the statewide goals set in the 2015-20 ConPlan, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (hereafter referred to as HCD) has been focusing its efforts on addressing three key housing and community development priorities: 1) Homelessness; 2) Access to Opportunity; and 3) Climate Change. HCD will be providing state objective points towards furthering these priorities in our CDBG, HOME and NHTF programs. For more information, refer to AP-30 Method of Distribution.

C. EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE

This is an evaluation of past performance that helped lead the grantee to choose its goals or projects.

CDBG

HCD's CDBG program made available \$37,488,950 and expended \$28,595,499. The highest expenditures were approximately \$31 million for public facilities/public infrastructure projects, \$7 million for housing, \$5 million for public services, \$2 million for economic development, and \$689 thousand for planning-only grants. As reflected in the 2017-18 CAPER, a total of 10,687 extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons were served.

HOME

As reflected in the 2017-18 CAPER, HCD's HOME program made available \$31,670,254 and expended \$20,090,968. The HOME program served a total of 558 extremely low, low, and moderate-income persons with the largest share of funds used for rental housing production.

ESG

HCD's ESG program made available \$13,037,906 and expended \$12,925,874 during the program year. The total match amount was \$19,028,358. The ESG program assisted 14,254 homeless persons with emergency shelter programs that provide short-term shelter and supportive services. ESG also served 2,319 households with Rapid Rehousing and homeless prevention programs. Program funds for ESG Street Outreach activities assisted 5,290 persons.

HOPWA

A total of \$3,491,182 was made available and \$3,330,170 expended during the program year. A total of 794 households were served, with 646 persons living with HIV/AIDS (PLWH) assisted with short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU), 55 assisted with tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA), and 93 assisted with units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds. Project sponsors provided case management with other supportive services, and two project sponsors provided TBRA.

LHCP

The 2014-2017 grant made available \$3,400,000. In FY 2017-18, a total of \$1,957,493 was made available and \$550,345 was expended during the program year, including \$65,063 in Healthy-Homes services for 35 households. A total of 45 households were provided assistance with direct lead hazard control services. Funding ended in December 2017.

NHTF

A total of \$30,000,000 was made available to increase the supply of affordable, low-income rental housing. Funds were released through a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) on June 5, 2018, with applications due August 28, 2018, and awards anticipated in December 2018. Therefore, no data is available for reporting purposes in FY 2017-18.

The 2017-18 CAPER report can be viewed at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/docs/Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report FY 2017 18.pdf.

D. SUMMARY OF CITIZEN PARTICIPATION PROCESS AND CONSULTATION PROCESS Summary from citizen participation section of plan.

This AP is subject to federal Citizen Participation requirements at: http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/docs/Citizen-Participation-Requirements-amended-032012.pdf. To meet these requirements, HCD solicited input from public, private, and nonprofit organizations and other state agencies in the preparation of the ConPlan and AP updates.

Public notices describing the draft documents, inviting comments, and announcing public hearings are routinely emailed to local governments, other interested parties and depository libraries, published in legal newspapers of record, and placed on HCD's website at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/index.shtml. Paper copies of notices and draft documents are available by written request.

The AP was available for a public comment period of 30 days per federal regulations. The draft AP was available for comment from all interested parties from **Friday**, **July 13**, **through Sunday**, **August 12**, **2018**. Two public hearings were held: Friday, July 27, in Riverside from 12:00 – 3:00 pm and Friday, July 27, in Sacramento at HCD Headquarters from 11:00 – 2:00. Public and legal notices were provided on HCD's website and disseminated in a listserv message.

For questions regarding this document, please send them to caper@hcd.ca.gov or to The Department of Housing and Community Development, Division of Financial Assistance, 2020 West El Camino Ave, Sacramento, CA 95833, Attention 2018-19 Annual Action Plan.

2019 Substantial Amendment – CDBG Program Income

The substantial amendment to this Annual Action Plan will be available for comment from all interested parties for a 30-day period from September 11, 2019 through October 11, 2019. A public hearing will be held in Sacramento on September 24, 2019.

E. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

This could be a brief narrative summary or reference an attached document from the Citizen Participation section of the Con Plan.

HCD received several comments from the California Center for Cooperative Development (CCCD) during the public comment period. CCCD was asking to remove language disallowing resale controls for non-entitlement areas since disallowing them "shuts the door to a valuable strategy for home ownership-Limited Equity Housing Cooperatives." HCD responded by stating that HCD does not have the resources to evaluate compliance with these types of investments at this time, therefore no changes are being made. Two other comments were also made by CCCD, speaking only to the value of Limited Equity Housing Cooperatives in conjunction with the HOME program.

To read the full comments and HCD's responses, please see the summary of comments and responses in Section F. Summary of Comments or Views Not Accepted and the Reason for Not Accepting them, beginning on page three of the attached Final Draft of the 2018-19 Annual Action Plan.

2019 Substantial Amendment - CDBG Program Income

To be completed at the end of the public comment period.

F. SUMMARY OF COMMENTS OR VIEWS NOT ACCEPTED AND THE REASONS FOR NOT ACCEPTING THEM Regarding CCCD's comments as noted in number 5 above, HCD does not have the resources to evaluate compliance with the types of investments CCCD was promoting, therefore HCD did not make any changes.

To read the full comments and HCD's responses, please see the summary of comments and responses in Section F. Summary of Comments or Views Not Accepted and the Reason for Not Accepting them, beginning on page three of the attached Final Draft of the Annual Action Plan.

30-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (July 13 through August 12, 2018)

FY 2018 Annual Action Plan (AP)

This document represents actual comments the Department of Housing and Community Development (Department) received from stakeholders and responses to those comments. The Department received comments at the hearing held in Sacramento on July 27, 2018. No other comments were received during the 30-day public comment period. Thank you to all stakeholders for their comments, suggestions, and participation. A summary of those comments and responses are as follows:

Stakeholder Comments	Department Response
HOME Investment Partnerships Program: Reference 24 CFR Section 91.320 (k)(2)	
California Center for Cooperative Development:	
Please remove guideline disallowing resale controls for non-entitlement areas. It shuts the door to a valuable strategy for home ownership – Limited Equity Housing Cooperatives.	HCD does not have resources and staff to evaluate HOME regulation compliance for these types of investments. Therefore, no change was made to the Annual Action Plan.
California Center for Cooperative Development:	
Does the following language in the Annual Action Plan eliminate the possibility of securing HOME funds for the development of a limited equity housing cooperative for the coming	The language in the Annual Action Plan eliminates the possibility of using 2018 HOME funding for the development of limited equity

The second secon	
year, or are there other sources of funding within HOME that can be allocated to limited	housing cooperatives. There are no
equity forms of ownership:	other HOME funds that can be
Pursuant to 24 CFR §92.254(a)(5) and state HOME regulations §8206.1, the state HOME	allocated to this type of limited
Program will utilize the recapture option in its homeownership programs and projects, but	equity developments.
may utilize the resale option for limited equity forms of ownership, such as cooperatives	
and community land trusts. Due to reductions in HOME funding and staffing levels, HOME	
will be unable to administer First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) activities proposing use of resale	
controls; therefore, no activities proposing use of resale controls will be approved in the	
coming fiscal year.	
California Center for Cooperative Development:	
	Thank you for your comments.
-HOME funds should be used for developments which utilize resale controls!	
-Resale controls = THE specific mechanism that makes Limited Equity Housing Cooperatives so effective.	
-Extend some of the benefits of homeownership (build equity/personal wealth) at a below	
market cost.	
-Alternative housing model: target low and middle income households who need an	
intermediate step between affordable rental housing and market-rate homeownership.	
California Center for Cooperative Development:	
	Thank you for your comments.
- I am a recent college grad - California's housing market can present some challenges.	

- Rental housing can be expensive, landlord vulnerability, no housing security, not building equity on a home investment.
- Homeownership? Not enough savings to put a down payment on a market-rate home, not to mention I just graduated and do not want to commit to home investment and what if I want to move.
- There must be a middle ground! This middle ground can be met with LEHCs.
 - Shorter term, still gain access to some benefits of homeownership, can make some money on a smaller investment and pay lower monthly housing costs.
 - O Hybrid take the good aspects of both rental and home.
- LEHCs are just one example of potential developments with resale controls, but they can be so important for someone in my position who cannot purchase a market-rate home but wants to avoid the pitfalls of renting.
- The reasons given for this policy change: reduced staffing and funding, no interest in non-entitlement areas in developments with resale controls anyway.
 - LEHCs are self-governed, run by the people in the cooperative and with the help of a non-profit partner, to say that developments with resale controls would be an immense burden on HOME funding or staffing seems unconvincing. Furthermore, if the latter is true and there has been no interest in putting forth these projects, then why is it costing HCD to keep them eligible?
 - A perceived lack of interest is no reason to take something off the table. Instead
 of turning our back to resale controls, we should educate people on their
 effectiveness and advocate for widespread implementation.

- Why are LEHCs so special?
 - Inherently designed to maintain their own affordability over time, capping member appreciation recycles equity back into the house, allows multiple generations of residents access to an affordable unit.
 - Allow residents to build modest equity on a home investment with a reasonable "down payment", no chance of building equity while rented
- Why are HOME funds so important for the development of LEHCs?
 - Exemption from Subdivided Lands Act comes if an LEHC is funded 50% from a certain group of sources – HUD, HCD, NCB, CalHFA, STRS, Federal Home Loan Bank System – avoid bureaucratic impediments that significantly prolong the development process
 - There are already a scarcity of funds for LEHCs and cooperative developments, turning our back on another source of funding for questionable reasons

California Center for Cooperative Development:

- As a life-long Californian and recent college grad, the housing crisis is a serious impediment to staying in the state!
- Rental housing near jobs: prohibitively expensive, subject to further rent hikes and displacement; & homeownership (HO) unlikely: ever-increasing cost of living (despite gains in income and working full time) prevents us from saving, buying a home, and earning equity!

Thank you for your comments.

- Representing these challenges, the 2015-20 Consolidated Plan goals include: Expand HO opportunities & improve existing housing; provide homeless assistance & prevention services; increase econ dev opportunities; and HCD highlights "access to opportunity" as a key priority!
- LEHCs are an innovative solution that has been proven to work in achieving these goals through affordable costs & resale control
- LEHCs are a much more achievable housing solution for residents like me who are locked out of the housing market, and for HH facing displacement
- The allow co-op members to gain equity w/out the down payment & mortgage qualif that remain out of reach for many hard working HH!
- Additionally, LEHCs bridge the affordability gap between HH in subsidized housing & HH that can afford market rate options by giving member the security & affordability to save & earn equity, w/out individually subsidizing homes for that "missing middle"!
- The resale controls are what makes this especially effective: the resale price is capped so the same benefits are available to subsequent members!
- W/ 1 co-op, more HH can be helped & earn equity, than if the same dollar amount was spent on direct HO subsidies!
- By giving low & mod. Income household the opportunity to save, gain equity, & become financially stable, LEHCs open up space in impacted AH & homelessness assistance & prevention programs!

- By increasing their members financial security and stability so that they no longer need government funds, LEHCs directly improve California's capacity to provide homeless assistance & prevention services!
- In both of these ways, LEHCs fulfill a key priority of HCD: access to opportunity!
- LEHCs expand HO and econ dev opportunities, per 2015-20 goals, & must be included & funded by 2018-19 policies!
- Resale conditions, which are the key to LEHCs longevity and social value, absolutely must be protected in 2018-19 & every year!

2019 Substantial Amendment - CDBG Program Income

To be completed at the end of the public comment period.

7. SUMMARY

HCD's Annual Action Plan (AP) reports yearly outcomes and objectives for CDBG, HOME, NHTF, ESG, and HOPWA, as set forth in the 2015-2020 Consolidated Plan. The state also uses the AP to report on its key housing and community development priorities. To review the state's progress through 2017-18, CAPERs are available online at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/index.shtml. As with the previous APs, the FY 17/18 AP was available for a 30-day public comment period. Stakeholders made minimal comments, and a summary of comments and responses is available in the AP document, which can be viewed at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports/docs/Annual_Action_Plan_2018_19.pdf.

2019 Substantial Amendment – CDBG Program Income

To be completed at the end of the public comment period.

AP-12 Participation - 91.115, 91.300(c)

1. Summary of citizen participation process/Efforts made to broaden citizen participation Summarize citizen participation process and how it impacted goal-setting

CDBG (Community Development Block Grant) – Starting in 2017, California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), in collaboration with stakeholders, began redesigning the program to improve expenditure rates, utilize program income, improve monitoring, and achieve other operating efficiencies. HCD developed an outreach plan that includes participation through surveys, public comment periods, and in-person meetings throughout the state. HCD's goal is for stakeholder collaboration in the program redesign, as well as keeping stakeholders informed of proposed program changes. For changes to the annual NOFA, the CDBG Advisory Committee is consulted on proposed changes.

ESG (Emergency Solutions Grant) - First, HCD held stakeholder conference calls with the Administrative Entities (AE) and Continuums of Care (CoC) to get feedback on the 2017 processes and review the planned changes to the L\local CoC allocation for the 2018 funding round. Second, HCD designed an ESG program Stakeholder Survey, in which the AEs and CoC could individually provide their feedback and experiences with the 2017 process, as well as recommendations to improve the 2018 funding round. No changes were made that impacted goal setting, as HCD goals for the ESG program are broad and enable local communities to provide a variety of ESG-eligible activities.

HOME (Home Investment Partnerships Program) - Prior to the release of the HOME NOFA, HCD held a conference call in May 2018 with the Program Advisory Committee (comprised of HOME-eligible cities and counties, Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO), Native American Entities, consultants, and other developers doing HOME projects), which included discussions about: (1) changes to the HOME state objective points for project and programs; (2) recapture requirements established in 24 CFR 92.254(a)(5)(ii); (3) commitment and expenditure deadlines; (4) program income requirements pursuant to 24 CFR 92.503; (5) NOFA timing; and (6) potential changes to state HOME regulations including, but not limited to, allowing Native American Entities to apply for HOME program activities.

NHTF (National Housing Trust Fund) - 2017 Assembly Bill (AB) 74 requires HCD to establish a Housing for Healthy California Program (HHC) with NHTF federal funds that provides supportive housing opportunities to persons experiencing homelessness. In addition, HCD received authority to use a portion of SB 2 year one funding for this program. HCD is in the process of gathering feedback on how to best utilize these state funds for HHC. HCD will draft

guidelines for this new program that aligns with federal NHTF requirements, but also includes activities supported with state funds. HCD will seek stakeholder feedback via a public comment period and workshops in the fall of 2018. If needed, HCD may make a substantial amendment to AP for NHTF.

HOPWA (Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS Program) - California Department of Public Health, Office of AIDS (CDPH/OA), HOPWA conducts the statewide Comprehensive Statement of Need and Prevention, and Care Plan (the Plan) in collaboration with multiple state and local agencies, and consumer/citizen participation. CDPH/OA convenes the Community Planning Group (CPG) that serves as advisory to CDPH/OA in this process, and CPG monitors the implementation of the Plan, which has several housing-related activities. CPG is comprised of HIV care and prevention stakeholders, including county health department staff, local HIV service organizations, and consumers with various expertise in HIV/AIDS care and prevention treatment. The CPG subcommittee would identify best approaches to addressing unmet housing needs for People Living With HIV (PLWH), encourage local collaboration in the planning process, and develop methods for collaborative funding and service delivery.

2. Summary citizen participation process and efforts made to broaden citizen participation in Colonias

Public Participation is Encouraged by the State

All public notices were released in both Spanish and English and translators are made available at public hearings and meetings, if requested. The draft AP was available for public comment for a 30-day period, July 13, 2018 through August 12, 2018. The State encourages the involvement of individuals of low incomes and persons with disabilities in the allocation of funds and planning process through working group meetings, councils and Advisory Committee meetings. All public hearings are announced and made accessible through-out the state, to those who wish to attend.

Currently, the State notifies residents in areas where CDBG funds are proposed by Advisory Committee meetings, working group meetings and e-blasts. Information on public hearings, working group meetings, and Advisory Committee meetings are provided through e-blasts. Members are encouraged to participate through teleconference or webinars in order to make the meetings more accessible to our residents of rural areas.

Citizen Participation Outreach

All of HCD's public hearing notices are published in the San Francisco, Los Angeles Daily Journal, and Sacramento Daily Recorder in both English and Spanish. The public hearings are also advertised in an e-blast that directs the reader to HCD's website at http://www.hcd.ca.gov. During the public comment period, printed copies of draft plans are available, and electronic copies are available for download from HCD's website. Stakeholders are encouraged to provide comments and input regarding all programs.

Two public hearings for the draft AP were held: Friday, July 27, in Riverside from 12:00 -3:00 pm and Friday, July 27, in Sacramento at HCD Headquarters from 11:00-2:00. Public and legal notices were posted on HCDs website, as well as disseminated in a listserv message on July 13, 2018. A summary of comments and responses received on the draft AP can be viewed in the attached final AP, beginning on page 3, Section F.

2019 Substantial Amendment – CDBG Program Income

The substantial amendment to this Annual Action Plan will be available for comment from all interested parties for a 30-day period from September 11, 2019 through October 11, 2019. A public hearing will be held in Sacramento on September 24, 2019.

AP-15 Expected Resources

AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.320(c) (1,2)

A. INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth year of the 2015-20 Consolidated Plan (ConPlan) cycle. The annual allocation estimates below are based on the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) formula allocation for federal fiscal year 2018 (October 2017-September 2018). The annual allocation amounts for Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) include the allocation for the entitlement jurisdictions of Gardena, Lancaster, and Torrance that have returned these funds to HUD for allocation to the state in order for those jurisdictions to participate in the state HOME Program.

B. ANTICIPATED RESOURCES

The spreadsheet below shows the anticipated resources. These figures are estimates and may be subject to change based on the allocation the state receives from HUD.

			Table 3 – Exp	ected Resou	ırces – Priori	ty Table		
Program	Source of Funds	Uses of Funds	Jses of Funds Annual Allocation: \$ Income		Prior Year Resources:	ar 4 Total:	Expected Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$	Narrative Description
CDBG	•	Grants to non- entitlement cities and counties for acquisition, admin and planning, economic development, housing, public facilities, and improvements, and public services	\$30,610,333	Estimated \$5,000,000 in annual receipts \$29,478,382 currently reported as available, see explanation below.*		\$41,800,333		2018 HUD allocation plus an estimate on prior year CDBG resources. \$5 million may be set aside for Disaster Assistance.

ESG	public - federal	Conversion and rehab for transitional housing, financial assistance, overnight shelter, rapid re- housing (rental assistance), rental assistance, services, transitional housing	\$11,776,926	\$0	\$0	\$11,776,926	\$12,000,000	Additional prior year resources may become available from 2016 contracts expiring later in 2018.
HOME	public - federal	Acquisition, homebuyer assistance homeowner rehab, multifamily rental new construction, multifamily rental rehab, new construction for ownership, TBRA	\$45,447,167	\$822,000	\$4,430,817	\$50,699,984	\$45,000,000	2018 HUD allocation plus an estimate on prior year HOME resources.

HOPWA	public- federal	Permanent housing placement, short-term or transitional housing facilities, STRMU supportive services, TBRA	\$3,355,813	\$0	\$917,670		HOPWA allocation is \$3,355,813. Prior year resources include \$567,399 from Fresno for FY 2014. Any unspent funds as of June 30, 2017 from all other project sponsors will be carried forward and re-allocated in FY 2018-19.
Other - NHTF	public - federal	Multifamily, rental new construction	\$36,616,277	\$0	\$0	\$36,616,277	 2018 HUD allocation

^{*} Program income is held locally (see Appendix D). Funds are used either as supplement to new grants or as funding for stand-alone projects. The state expect to have about \$5,000,000 in new receipts annually. The existing balance is mostly obligated to current grant funded projects or is encumbered in PI funded eligible activities and is not included as available resources.

Appendix D Program Income for CDBG Reference 2018 Annual Action Plan

The table below includes a description of each of the local accounts, including the name of the local entity administering the funds, contact information for the entity administering the funds, the estimated amounts expected to be available during the program year, the eligible activity type(s) expected to be carried out with the program income, and the national objective(s) served with the funds.

Jurisdiction	Contact Name	Contact PH #	18/19 Estimated Available	National Objective: Low Mod Job Creation or Retention Activities (LMJ) Activity: Economic	National Objective: Low Mod Housing Activities (LMH)	National Objective: Low Mod Housing Activities (LMH)	National Objective: Low Mod Area Benefit (LMA) Activity: Public	National Objective: Low Mod Limited Clientele/ Low Mod Area Benefit (LMC/ LMA) Activity: Public	National Objective: Low Mod Area Benefit (LMA)	Activity: General Admin
American		707-647-		Development	Programs	Projects	Improvements	Facilities	Enforcement	
Canyon, City of	Jason Holley	4558	\$5,262		X					
Anderson, City of	Liz Cottrell	530-378- 6626	\$12,626		X					
Arcata, City of	Jennifer Dart	707-825- 2112	\$347,587	X		X	X			X
Auburn, City of	Chuck Wolfe	530-878- 8016	\$399,429	X						
Avenal, City of	Fernando Santillan	559-386- 5766	\$335,733		X			X		X
Biggs, City of	Peter Carr	530-868- 5493	\$145,107				X			
Blue Lake, City of	Amanda Mager	707-668- 5655	\$263,150					X		

Butte, County of	Heather MacDonald	530-552- 3337	\$25,495			X				X
Calaveras, County of	Kristin Brinks	209-754- 6448	\$244,391			X				X
Calexico, City of	David Dale	760-768- 2110	\$388,439		X					
Calipatria, City of	Katherine R. Lopez	760-348- 4141	\$1,271,989		X					
Calistoga, City of	Dylan Feik	707-942- 2805	\$73,656			X				X
Chowchilla, City of	Susan Long	559-802- 1630	\$596,987					X		
Clearlake, City of	Adeline Brown	707-994- 8201 X341	\$119,363						X	X
Coachella, City of	Celina Jimenez	760-398- 3502 X119	\$175,788		X					
Colfax, City of	Laurie Van Groningen	530-346- 2313	\$204,874		X					
Colusa, City of	Toni Benson	530-458- 4941	\$69,534							X
Colusa, County of	Greg Plucker	530-458- 0483	\$795,947	X	X		X			X
Corcoran, City of	Kindon Meik	559-992- 5121	\$680,450				X			X
Corning, City of	Lisa M. Linnet	530-824- 7033	\$53,154							X
Del Norte, County of	Jay Sarina	707-464- 7214	\$117,448				X			
Dinuba, City of	George Avila	559-591- 5924	\$139,990		X		X			X
Dixon, City of	Larry Burkhardt	707-678- 7000 X1126	\$170,013	X	X					
Dorris, City of	Carol McKay	530-397- 3511	\$195,728			X	Х			X
Dunsmuir, City of	Mark Brannigan	530-235- 4822	\$98,890	Х						X
El Dorado, County of	CJ Freeland	530-621- 5159	\$133,714	Х	X					
Etna, City of	Sarah Griggs	530467- 5256	\$78,221				X			

Exeter, City of	Adam Ennis	559-592- 9244	\$206,348		X					
Farmersville, City of	Jennifer Gomez	559-747- 0458	\$111,257		X			X		X
Fort Bragg, City of	Jennifer Owen	707-961- 2827	\$115,051	X			X	X		X
Fort Jones, Town of	Linda Romaine	530-468- 2281	\$256,326		X					
Fortuna, City of	Merritt Perry	707-725- 1400	\$676,777				X	X		
Fowler, City of	Randy Uyeda	559-834- 3113	\$480,067	X	X					
Glenn, County of	Di Alabaugh	530-934- 6538	\$422,536	X	X			X		X
Gonzales, City of	Hortencia Vargas	831-675- 4208	\$486,984	X						
Grass Valley, City of	Lance E. Lowe	530-274- 4716	\$89,283	X	X					
Gridley, City of	Paul Eckert	530-846- 3631	\$500,000	X	X	X				X
Guadalupe, City of	Juana M. Escobar	805-356- 3891	\$161,258					X		X
Gustine, City of	Paul Ashby	916-449- 3944	\$353,477				X			
Hollister, City of	Jamila Saqqa	831-636- 4356 X11	\$10,000				X		X	
Holtville, City of	Kariza Preciado	760-356- 4685	\$883,267		X					
Humboldt, County of	Paula Mushrush	707-268- 3798	\$2,863	X						X
Imperial, City of	Isabel Alvarez	760-355- 3335	\$28,244	X						
Imperial, County of	Esperanza Colio	760-604- 0901	\$62,024				Х	X		Х
Jackson, City of	Lorie Ann Adams	916-449- 3944	\$271,887		X					
King City, City of	Steven Adams	831-386- 5917	\$23,052							Х
Lake, County of	Janet Coppinger	707-263- 0119	\$10,000		X					Х
Lassen, County of	Nicole Madden	530-25- 18153	\$22,122	X	X		Х			

Lindsay, City of	Becky Mesedahl	559-562- 7102 X8043	\$1,733,144	X	X	X			
Live Oak, City of	Alison Schmidt	530-695- 2112	\$25,439			Х			Х
Madera, County of	Robert Mansfield	559-675- 7821	\$1,038,368		X			X	
Marina, City of	Marilyn Lidyoff	831-884- 1211	\$28,074				X		
Mariposa, County of	Tara G. Schiff	209-742- 1214	\$940,494	Х					
Merced, County of	Patty Hymiller & Mary Goulart	209-385- 7686	\$363,521	X	X			X	
Montague, City of	Sara Kleier	530-459- 3030	\$303,857	Х					Х
Mount Shasta, City of	Bruce Pope	530-926- 7510	\$391,136	Х			Х		
Nevada, County of	Rob Choate	530-265- 1645	\$104,280		X		Х		X
Orland, City of	Janet Wackerman	530-865- 1602	\$188,144	Х	X				Χ
Oroville, City of	Amy Bergstrand	530-538- 2584	\$1,156,547		X			X	Χ
Pacific Grove, City of	Terri Schaefer	831-648- 3182	\$472,482		X				X
Placer, County of	Cathy Donovan	559-745- 3170	\$208,884	X	X				X
Plumas, County of	Amanda Lanker	530-283- 2466	\$11,116						Χ
Plymouth, City of	Terry CoX	209-533- 8810	\$138,793			Х			X
Red Bluff, City of	Lorie Ann Adams	916-449- 3944	\$10,000						Х
Rio Dell, City of	Kyle Knoop	707-764- 3532	\$45,719		X		Х		
Riverbank, City of	Marisela Garcia	209-863- 7110	\$276,747					X	Х
San Juan Capistrano, City of	Laura Stokes	949-443- 6313	\$314,216		X				
Santa Cruz, County of	Julie Conway	831-454- 5162	\$2,300				X		X

Shasta Lake, City of	John Duckett	530-275- 7411	\$2,000							X
Shasta, County of	Cathy Allen	530-225- 5730	\$910,139		X					
Siskiyou, County of	Elizabeth Nielsen	530-842- 8012	\$996,496						X	
Soledad, City of	Brett Slama	831-223- 5043	\$11,856		X					
Sonora, City of	Rachelle Kellogg	209-532- 3508	\$74,538	X	X					X
South Lake Tahoe, City of	Hilary Roverud	530-542- 6024	\$558,458	X	X					
Susanville, City of	Jared Hancock	530-252- 5110	\$339,274					X		X
Sutter, County of	Bonnie Briscoe	530-822- 7127	\$1,550,947				Х			X
Taft, City of	Yvette Mayfield	661-763- 1222	\$225,991	Х						
Tehama, City of	Carolyn Steffan	530-384- 1501	\$1,542							X
Truckee, Town of	Tony Lashbrook	530-582- 7700	\$101,861	Х						X
Tulare, County of	Karen Mabry	559-624- 7076	\$121,478				Х	X		
Tulelake, City of	Jenny Coelho	530-667- 5522	\$250,528		X					X
Tuolumne, County of	Maureen Frank	209-533- 5511	\$328,791			X	X			X
Ukiah, City of	Craig Schlatter	707-463- 6219	\$69,973	X						X
Weed, City of	Kelly McKinnis	530-938- 5020	\$138,108		X		X	X		
Westmorland, City of	Larry Ritchie	760-344- 3411	\$394,873				Х			
Williams, City of	ReX Greenbaum	530-473- 2955 X102	\$524,361	Х	X					X
Willits, City of	Stephanie Garrabrant- Sierra	707-459- 4601	\$192,623		X		X			X
Winters, City of	John Donlevy	530-795- 4910	\$688,034	Х	X					

Woodlake, City of	Ramon Lara	559-564- 8055	\$152,468	X	X				
Yolo, County of	Mindi Nunes	530-666- 8150	\$283,461				X		X
Yreka, City of	Steven W. Baker	530-841- 2386	\$449,144				X	X	
Yuba, County of	Karma Ferguson	530-749- 5452	\$1,042,389	X	X				
Total			\$29,478,382						