DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – December 2021

Highlights and Milestones

The California COVID-19 Rent Relief program continues to provide critical rent and utilities assistance to thousands of the most vulnerable residents statewide. During December, the state-administered program expended nearly \$258 million in rent and utility assistance for the benefit of more than 26,400 households. The rapid operations of the state program have cumulatively paid out nearly \$1.7 billion from March 15 through December 31 aiding over 149,000 households with rent and utility payments across the state. The state program received more than 62,000 new requests for assistance in December, continuing the trend of persistent demand for aid from residents and landlords throughout California. Due to the volume and duration of the ongoing need for rental assistance, the state Rent Relief program is sharply focused on prioritizing aid to households most at risk. Throughout December, the program continued to prioritize applicants based on criteria established by federal law including the lowest income levels, unemployment, and risk of eviction.

The high volume of applications has prompted many state and local grantees to submit formal requests for additional federal funds, as authorized by the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury). As reported last month, the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) submitted to Treasury its first formal request for reallocated ERA1² funds on November 30, 2021. On January 7, 2022, the state-administered program received notice that Treasury had reallocated an additional \$62 million of ERA1 funds to the state program. California received more than 30 percent of the total federal funds that Treasury recaptured and reallocated across the country, a strong validation of the state program's success. Still, the added funding falls short of the demonstrated need. The deadline for the next ERA1 reallocation request is January 21, 2022, and HCD will continue to request additional funds to assist households, inclusive of remaining ERA1 reallocations and as ERA2³ allocations are made available later this spring.

In addition to the strong performance of the state-administered program, locally administered programs have cumulatively obligated more than \$700 million and paid out approximately \$412 million of state block grant funds assisting more than 55,000 households with rent and utilities through December 31.

¹ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

² "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

³ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

The coordinated efforts of state and local emergency rental assistance programs continue to serve the needs of residents statewide by keeping families housed, preventing evictions, and stabilizing housing providers as California advances from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Based upon additional guidance from Treasury, HCD adjusted its method of calculating obligations in December. Previously, the COVID-19 Rent Relief program recorded obligations upon receipt of a complete application it determined eligible for payment. Beginning in December, the program adjusted its calculations to record obligations when the case management team fully completes its reviews and approves an application for payment. As a result, obligation figures will track more closely to expenditures. The methodology adjustment results in a one-time reduction of the total obligations reported for the state-administered program. The change does not currently affect obligations reported by locally administered programs.

Key achievements

Though it decreased by approximately 18 percent from the month prior, the volume of new applications in December continued to demonstrate persistent demand. December's volume of over 62,000 applications evidenced continued need for emergency rental assistance. Despite the modest application volume reduction in both November and December, just over 43 percent of total applications to the program were received in the last three months (see the table regarding Cumulative Applications on page 4 for additional metrics).

Cumulatively, the state-administered program has expended almost \$1.7 billion through December 31 in rental and utility assistance. Of the total, approximately \$1.4 billion or 84.2 percent of funds expended provided benefits to those at or below 50 percent of the area median income.

Similar to the demand observed by the state-administered program, several locally administered programs in California have fully obligated and expended ERA1 funds and are steadily obligating and expending ERA2 funds. For example, as of December 31, 12 Option B jurisdictions reported approved applications totaling greater than or equal to their ERA1 state block grant allocations. HCD disbursed ERA2 block grants to many of these localities and is working rapidly to distribute funds to the areas of greatest demand. The local programs are shown with their respective performance in the section "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" beginning on page 13.

Continued Eviction Protections

The eviction protections established by Chapter 27, Statutes of 2021 (AB 832) require landlords and tenants to follow specific steps in relation to potential evictions for non-payment of rent between October 1, 2021, and March 31, 2022. The eviction protections are predicated on tenants and landlords submitting complete applications to the appropriate rental assistance program (either state or locally administered). In jurisdictions where local programs face demand in excess of available funds, HCD is continuing to provide technical assistance and support to help ensure local programs can continue to deploy their remaining funding while maintaining access to eviction protections.

The Housing Is Key website provides information for landlords, tenants, and community organizations on the eviction protections provided under AB 832, including template letters

for tenants to declare financial distress, tips on what to do if given a notice of eviction, and links to legal aid resources.

The state's rent relief application includes an option for applicants to indicate they would like to be referred to a legal aid organization. The state program emailed notifications to all applicants who requested such referrals and provided links to help find local legal aid support. HCD will continue to notify applicants if they request information and will share applicants' contact information with legal aid organizations if the applicant allows. HCD sent separate notifications to all applicants who indicated they were experiencing homeless or at risk of homelessness to provide relevant information about the continuum of care organizations and resources available throughout California.

The provisions of AB 832 require both the state's Rent Relief program and locally administered programs to provide mechanisms by which landlords, tenants, legal representatives, and the courts may do both of the following:

- Verify the status of an application for rental assistance based upon the property address and a unique application number
- Obtain copies of any determination relative to an application for rental assistance (i.e., name, address, and if the application has been approved, denied, or is pending)

California's Rent Relief program is complying with these requirements by providing information regarding application status via the Call Center and an online option. During December, there were approximately 5,000 requests for application status and documentation, with requests on average being resolved within one business day.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

Treasury allocated approximately \$2.6 billion to the State of California in each of two rounds of ERA funding for a total allocation of approximately \$5.2 billion. Combined, the state program is responsible for administering approximately \$2.53 billion of the ERA1 and ERA2 funds. Currently, the state program serves 55 large and small localities (30 non-direct allocated counties and 25 direct allocated cities and counties partnering with the state) representing roughly 64 percent of the state's population.

By the time of this report's publication, Treasury had reported adding approximately \$62 million in reallocated ERA1 funds to California's federal grant award. The amount included reallocated federal funds and the remaining share of federal ERA1 funds from two localities in California that voluntarily requested to reallocate unused funds to the state program. The first reallocation was less than the \$1.9 billion in additional funding California requested from Treasury in November 2021. The current funding gap is, in large part, a result of the original allocation method that based funding on each grantee's total population instead of the grantee's share of low-income renters (i.e., the target population of the program). Given the size of unmet need for assistance that remains in California, HCD will submit subsequent requests for additional rounds of federal reallocations, inclusive of unused ERA1 and ERA2 funds.

In addition to seeking additional ERA1 funds from Treasury, HCD retains its discretion to recapture and reallocate state block grant funds in alignment with the performance and

operational deadlines described in state and federal guidance. During December, HCD did not recapture any state block grant funds previously disbursed.

Customer Support

During the month of December, the state program received over 62,000 new tenant and landlord applications, totaling more than 609,000 applications submitted since the program's inception. Landlord applications are matched with corresponding tenant applications to help create complete files that can result in rental assistance payments for the benefit of unique households. This process, including the eventual removal of duplicate and ineligible applications, leads to a figure that reflects unique households assisted. December's volume reflects sustained demand for rental assistance consistent with the volume received in November. In both November and December, the volume of applications increased at a slower pace than in previous months, as shown in the table below.

Cumulative Applications	September	October	Month- Over- Month Change	November	Month- Over- Month Change	December	Month- Over- Month Change
Tenant	258,430	351,660	+36%	399,202	+14%	450,832	+13%
Landlord	88,325	120,852	+37%	148,626	+23%	159,105	+7%
Total	346,755	472,512	+36%	547,828	+16%	609,937	+11%

The Call Center received over 486,000 calls in December for a total of 2,923,124 calls since the program's inception. HCD initially increased Call Center/Case Management staff levels through September to handle the increases in call volume and application intake as the statewide eviction moratorium ended. The metrics since October, as expected, reflect consistently declining staffing levels to align with the gradual decrease of call volume and applications (reflected below).

Incremental Monthly Metrics	10/31	11/30	12/31
Call Center/Case Management Staff	1,706	1,623	1,546
Inbound Call Volume	649,660	549,420	486,883
Paid Applications	28,932	40,532	24,842
Funds Paid to Eligible Households	\$345.0	\$445.9	\$257.9

(All dollars in millions. Schedule reflects partial data and does not sum to total program expenditures.)

The December metrics reflect lower production, attributable primarily to three factors: additional documentation requirements, lower tenant/landlord response rate, and additional time allowed for both tenant and landlord outreach to address incomplete applications.

 In December, additional documentation requirements were introduced for both tenants and landlords. Tenants must provide proof of tenancy and landlords must provide proof of ownership. The two documentation requirements resulted in significantly greater workload in terms of outreach and follow-up by case management staff due to incomplete applications.

- The outreach conducted to assist those submitting incomplete applications proved to be more challenging because of a lower response rate from tenants and landlords during December, likely attributable to the holiday season.
- In December, the state program adjusted its process for dealing with non-responsive tenants by increasing the maximum timeline from seven days to 20 days following requests for additional documentation or information. Additionally, because of the low landlord response rate during December, HCD temporarily provided landlords with additional time to respond and satisfy their documentation requirements as well. The extended response timeframes did have positive results overall.

Outreach

Public Relations and Communications

In recognition of the overall volume of applications received and the continued strong demand in recent months, HCD paused large-scale outreach activities and media buys during the latter part of October. This strategy was continued through the month of December.

Communication activities in December included efforts to dispel myths regarding citizenship requirements for rental assistance eligibility, and to reinforce that the state-administered program continues to accept applications. The Housing Is Key website underscores that income-eligible applicants may qualify regardless of immigration status and applicants will not be required to show proof of citizenship. This message is further reinforced through the Local Partner Network and other external communication.

In December, a revised tenant application was approved that specifies the prioritization process (lowest income levels, unemployment, and risk of eviction). A landlord application with more general language is being drafted, and both applications are set to be posted to the Housing Is Key website in early January. The website team also updated portions of the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section on the website to clarify information addressing application prioritization and utility payments. The Continuum of Care information was added to the Resources section of the website for people experiencing homelessness.

Local Partner Network Community Support

The community-based Local Partner Network (LPN) maintains 145 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. The following LPN outreach metrics reflect sustained activity during December:

- Over 10,800 appointments in December (including walk-in, same day, and scheduled) totaling 67,333 since inception. This represents a 25.7 percent decrease compared to last month.
- 13,536 inbound Appointment Center calls in December totaling over 127,900 since inception. This represents an 11 percent decrease since last month. The average handle time for calls is just over 10 minutes in English, and over 11 minutes in other languages. Assistance is available in 14 languages.
- 2,532 attendees participated in 69 webinars in December. The webinars were provided in five different languages (Chinese (Mandarin), English, Farsi, Korean, and Spanish).

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee - December 31, 2021

- LPN partners hosted 6,033 phone or Zoom scheduled appointments to support applicants, with 33,885 since inception.
- LPN partners held 4,426 in-person scheduled appointments to support applicants, with 20,624 since inception.

Statutory Basis for Report

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.
 - In total, over \$2.51 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$1.81 billion obligated for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 12/31/2021.
 - In total, approximately \$1.02 billion of the state allocation is reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 12/31/2021.
 - \$702 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 12/31/2021.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.
 - In total, \$2.1 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$1.7 billion expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 12/31/2021.
 - \$417 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 12/31/2021.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.
 - All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.
 - Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code.
 - Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 10.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively.
 - This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award number ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Funds

Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A and including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$1,656,906,734.09	\$22,449,145.13	\$1,679,355,879.22
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$1,600,356,146.79	\$8,937,025.15	\$1,609,293,171.94
<=30% AMI	\$944,438,779.39	\$6,233,461.24	\$950,672,240.63
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$406,041,712.46	\$1,536,071.01	\$407,577,783.47
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$249,875,654.94	\$1,167,492.90	\$251,043,147.84
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$129,530,395.81	\$320,609.19	\$129,851,005.00
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$88,779,352.81	\$0.00	\$88,779,352.81
<=30% AMI	\$47,927,544.15	\$0.00	\$47,927,544.15
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$23,832,543.75	\$0.00	\$23,832,543.75
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$17,019,264.91	\$0.00	\$17,019,264.91

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated obligations and expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 13.

Funds obligated for arrears
Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$338,903,907.01	\$32,987,889.02	\$371,891,796.03
\$295,199,303.74	\$19,548,024.14	\$314,747,327.88
\$219,510,938.68	\$9,297,652.22	\$228,808,590.90
\$50,828,110.96	\$6,107,133.07	\$56,935,244.03
\$24,860,254.10	\$4,143,238.86	\$29,003,492.95
\$83,824,766.45	\$4,563,598.06	\$88,388,364.51
\$60,378,276.97	\$2,845,688.70	\$63,223,965.67
\$40,453,680.96	\$1,356,372.86	\$41,810,053.82
\$14,392,750.54	\$864,769.67	\$15,257,520.21
\$5,531,845.47	\$624,546.18	\$6,156,391.65

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 13 were obligating State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 14. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

_	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$158,371,392.11	\$12,812,517.51	\$171,183,909.61
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$23,330,206.40	\$1,698,128.06	\$25,028,334.46
<=30% AMI	\$15,163,000.64	\$1,119,956.37	\$16,282,957.01
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$4,440,627.47	\$371,521.71	\$4,812,149.18
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$3,726,578.29	\$206,649.98	\$3,933,228.27
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$72,242,325.43	\$963,411.17	\$73,205,736.60
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$13,761,668.81	\$231,572.52	\$13,993,241.33
<=30% AMI	\$9,308,558.06	\$117,438.54	\$9,425,996.60
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$3,127,534.62	\$72,972.86	\$3,200,507.48
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$1,325,576.13	\$41,161.12	\$1,366,737.25

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity

Values reflect applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race
American Indian or Alaska Native
Asian
Black or African American
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
White
Other Multi-Racial
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer
Totals
Ethnicity

Hispanic or Latino
Non-Hispanic or Latino
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer
Totals

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
5,811	1,774	\$18,513,218.84
28,545	11,531	\$138,429,252.02
85,330	27,790	\$305,244,470.25
3,984	1,460	\$16,840,861.70
165,265	52,833	\$617,625,063.09
66,896	23,298	\$256,271,911.34
41,608	12,825	\$149,271,004.97
53,393	17,762	\$195,876,742.54
450,832	149,273	\$1,698,072,524.75

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
139,591	47,700	\$466,039,066.99
228,674	75,669	\$907,359,420.80
38,125	13,790	\$168,290,803.03
44,442	12,114	\$156,383,233.93
450,832	149,273	\$1,698,072,524.75

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions - ERA1 and ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations and state reservations on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September are now included in this table.

	Obligations			Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$44,150.00	\$688.56	\$3,000.00	\$-	\$30,150.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$1,085,362.56	\$15,285.00	\$39,047.10	\$-	\$1,037,008.88	\$4,679.31	\$14,835.00	\$-
Butte County	\$7,227,271.93	\$301,949.86	\$469,087.79	\$3,981.68	\$6,375,438.31	\$166,746.30	\$336,950.24	\$-
Calaveras County	\$1,478,822.70	\$51,017.40	\$51,716.20	\$-	\$1,416,401.15	\$26,975.84	\$49,241.61	\$-
Colusa County	\$377,533.27	\$11,168.43	\$8,671.00	\$-	\$364,555.97	\$-	\$3,299.00	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$107,117,472.77	\$2,476,461.24	\$8,258,783.85	\$36,351.53	\$103,653,822.33	\$994,930.01	\$5,359,768.63	\$-
Del Norte County	\$955,216.31	\$22,989.21	\$25,848.00	\$313.29	\$869,775.71	\$8,883.84	\$19,830.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$5,624,740.63	\$88,908.26	\$380,652.54	\$1,384.48	\$5,530,064.77	\$24,228.44	\$228,764.04	\$-
Fontana City	\$8,101,965.59	\$220,531.88	\$509,748.81	\$426.79	\$7,705,899.57	\$97,829.65	\$376,195.22	\$-
Glenn County	\$877,622.48	\$30,873.32	\$56,646.00	\$-	\$819,338.44	\$17,845.48	\$36,117.60	\$-
Humboldt County	\$8,773,525.49	\$213,873.05	\$484,885.36	\$289.40	\$8,333,543.37	\$78,545.16	\$401,672.15	\$-
Imperial County	\$4,945,100.79	\$64,680.48	\$418,688.65	\$287.27	\$4,909,415.27	\$30,304.39	\$311,211.30	\$-
Inyo County	\$336,647.68	\$12,042.63	\$19,074.00	\$72.00	\$331,950.67	\$3,156.27	\$7,705.00	\$-
Irvine City*	\$18,338,867.62	\$81,200.11	\$2,665,888.69	\$3.00	\$18,179,471.74	\$18,489.53	\$2,226,555.06	\$-
Kings County	\$3,528,294.76	\$190,657.96	\$304,517.92	\$1,060.20	\$3,439,646.51	\$93,776.39	\$196,180.69	\$-
Lake County	\$4,703,695.61	\$122,073.63	\$140,770.14	\$184.93	\$4,539,873.14	\$50,271.62	\$114,458.65	\$-
Lassen County	\$400,051.86	\$4,524.24	\$37,455.85	\$-	\$344,067.05	\$530.27	\$26,890.00	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$368,519,932.13	\$1,008,716.55	\$26,541,699.86	\$15,302.65	\$363,784,584.49	\$279,623.28	\$17,613,914.83	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$493,072,962.95	\$7,236,649.37	\$40,254,890.99	\$102,532.35	\$475,585,467.06	\$3,352,244.86	\$27,096,157.58	\$-
Madera County	\$3,230,055.15	\$115,319.30	\$184,954.02	\$55.00	\$2,911,825.40	\$47,541.24	\$162,938.03	\$-
Mariposa County	\$402,791.53	\$3,546.43	\$24,664.00	\$-	\$332,718.51	\$-	\$24,567.00	\$-
Mendocino County	\$3,115,233.27	\$84,721.22	\$142,699.08	\$621.00	\$3,040,241.80	\$22,782.00	\$77,680.30	\$-

	Obligations			Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Merced County	\$6,323,644.64	\$100,224.06	\$574,894.43	\$6,076.32	\$5,704,043.65	\$28,627.66	\$356,068.10	\$-
Modesto City	\$4,494,766.65	\$87,895.48	\$333,218.98	\$210.00	\$4,032,916.91	\$31,677.37	\$211,457.38	\$-
Modoc County	\$579,608.00	\$704.41	\$20,685.00	\$-	\$571,998.00	\$596.61	\$12,800.00	\$-
Mono County	\$341,981.55	\$21,849.22	\$56,719.00	\$300.00	\$292,242.41	\$7,701.06	\$46,264.00	\$-
Napa County	\$5,119,471.92	\$119,626.25	\$421,052.72	\$508.63	\$4,822,392.13	\$42,905.44	\$303,487.82	\$-
Nevada County	\$3,521,355.77	\$66,027.26	\$221,946.30	\$4.00	\$3,339,805.05	\$35,087.59	\$178,463.91	\$-
Oakland City	\$36,208,637.56	\$622,238.82	\$1,885,985.26	\$4,463.24	\$35,066,116.96	\$178,999.69	\$1,702,977.66	\$-
Orange County	\$115,220,135.16	\$1,573,395.27	\$10,941,368.36	\$22,461.62	\$111,826,734.85	\$571,569.55	\$8,315,479.63	\$-
Oxnard City	\$11,799,335.25	\$280,912.28	\$1,412,761.52	\$6,178.87	\$11,333,371.07	\$132,413.89	\$1,014,218.07	\$-
Placer County	\$9,309,484.90	\$227,565.21	\$967,885.52	\$5,711.51	\$9,230,002.61	\$67,615.38	\$715,129.95	\$-
Plumas County	\$272,076.11	\$7,283.77	\$14,037.50	\$-	\$244,340.11	\$-	\$12,302.00	\$-
San Benito County	\$1,637,168.10	\$44,185.72	\$103,531.00	\$-	\$1,515,071.78	\$13,566.03	\$51,504.00	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$41,921,235.69	\$783,747.95	\$3,271,571.49	\$17,962.48	\$41,231,962.51	\$229,297.69	\$2,076,916.56	\$-
San Francisco County	\$81,681,068.68	\$618,060.54	\$3,504,281.22	\$13,254.76	\$72,975,898.16	\$176,479.82	\$1,970,311.48	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$10,183,840.10	\$104,307.58	\$759,896.64	\$572.00	\$9,838,669.03	\$53,823.55	\$538,143.94	\$-
San Jose City	\$48,098,709.41	\$760,942.07	\$4,390,463.48	\$18,039.05	\$46,560,567.21	\$197,112.36	\$2,957,606.90	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$10,775,077.32	\$201,984.17	\$706,333.16	\$980.23	\$10,198,274.11	\$58,046.67	\$613,622.32	\$-
San Mateo County	\$52,794,804.57	\$712,390.22	\$4,483,664.66	\$13,916.15	\$50,798,820.22	\$272,641.07	\$2,948,242.88	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,104,774.56	\$87,501.82	\$270,124.44	\$3,475.18	\$3,003,194.60	\$23,551.35	\$143,867.61	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$9,248,249.26	\$210,357.85	\$939,906.31	\$874.57	\$8,818,731.81	\$62,134.30	\$831,171.62	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$27,747,979.51	\$432,729.19	\$2,506,202.12	\$11,488.99	\$26,654,296.55	\$121,624.56	\$1,732,159.24	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$16,171,505.29	\$270,185.16	\$1,390,245.28	\$7,353.85	\$15,466,650.10	\$99,334.55	\$1,053,686.08	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$12,106,998.16	\$267,368.87	\$996,212.48	\$1,304.25	\$11,427,950.35	\$107,618.61	\$743,798.97	\$-
Shasta County	\$6,363,796.90	\$170,899.30	\$372,673.10	\$1,029.38	\$6,274,859.69	\$87,399.52	\$263,925.46	\$-
Sierra County	\$64,000.00	\$3,657.80	\$1,400.00	\$-	\$40,280.00	\$1,828.90	\$-	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$1,660,363.96	\$49,424.16	\$99,640.35	\$48.00	\$1,562,656.18	\$15,863.00	\$73,001.27	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Solano County	\$20,150,151.60	\$189,690.39	\$1,753,234.99	\$439.25	\$19,505,348.42	\$60,063.32	\$1,158,251.43	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$3,378,426.80	\$27,593.50	\$214,532.09	\$50.00	\$3,121,876.64	\$1,880.99	\$121,286.58	\$-
Sutter County	\$3,494,924.16	\$136,033.96	\$185,195.00	\$76.32	\$3,053,554.48	\$58,502.42	\$135,545.55	\$-
Tehama County	\$2,003,090.99	\$48,589.45	\$80,244.35	\$25.41	\$1,861,399.80	\$24,003.12	\$70,660.54	\$-
Trinity County	\$464,458.89	\$1,045.55	\$18,230.00	\$-	\$403,623.89	\$-	\$9,730.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$16,350,822.82	\$555,038.46	\$980,055.58	\$3,614.74	\$15,656,527.94	\$290,309.21	\$817,995.82	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$1,986,139.86	\$51,222.54	\$78,480.42	\$201.41	\$1,926,708.93	\$17,831.09	\$61,905.98	\$-
Ventura County	\$37,290,505.76	\$828,840.87	\$3,356,551.13	\$12,310.25	\$35,913,831.82	\$363,229.83	\$2,110,966.05	\$-
Yolo County	\$8,688,196.59	\$322,856.41	\$947,299.24	\$2,426.38	\$8,558,340.02	\$147,915.77	\$597,758.68	\$-
Yuba County	\$4,092,630.52	\$104,891.44	\$246,482.84	\$2,386.78	\$3,987,828.66	\$35,700.74	\$143,713.40	\$-
Total	\$1,656,906,734.09	\$22,449,145.13	\$129,530,395.81	\$320,609.19	\$1,600,356,146.79	\$8,937,025.15	\$88,779,352.81	\$-

^{*} Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	
Alameda County	\$19,052,117.79	\$1,163,580.60	\$8,715,687.70	\$-	\$13,555,079.97	\$845,673.76	\$6,208,663.67	\$-	
Anaheim City	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	
Bakersfield City	\$3,960,399.54	\$2,814,790.68	\$3,682,077.83	\$1,947,638.10	\$3,284,382.04	\$2,334,322.05	\$3,323,646.97	\$1,760,138.44	
Chula Vista City	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,321,077.92	\$-	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,321,077.92	\$-	
Fremont City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		
Fresno City	\$10,104,968.80	\$6,859,357.15	\$-	\$-	\$3,134,239.15	\$1,089,507.61	\$-	\$-	
Fresno County	\$3,893,711.97	\$512,330.52	\$563,563.57	\$153,699.16	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Kern County	\$6,607,721.09	\$4,309,383.31	\$3,787,449.57	\$2,015,308.04	\$1,270,929.69	\$828,867.18	\$1,208,415.79	\$643,000.00	
Long Beach City	\$12,960,000.15	\$636,809.58	\$1,374,350.00	\$17,642.44	\$12,853,610.96	\$629,448.08	\$1,364,900.46	\$17,052.65	
Los Angeles City	\$114,440,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$112,877,864.19	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Marin County	\$8,026,438.31	\$96,406.89	\$627,754.35	\$3,812.71	\$5,526,680.63	\$24,404.37	\$487,149.46	\$-	
Monterey County	\$8,510,019.18	\$4,146,908.52	\$1,739,378.26	\$-	\$4,932,844.84	\$3,251,355.64	\$1,739,378.26	\$-	
Riverside City	\$4,037,368.27	\$738,626.06	\$5,014,532.35	\$-	\$633,223.36	\$-	\$32,734.95	\$-	
Riverside County	\$13,154,570.34	\$2,360,524.69	\$14,383,354.27	\$127,427.73	\$13,154,570.34	\$1,431,807.43	\$2,076,768.86	\$127,427.73	
Sacramento City	\$15,715,352.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,704,889.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	
Sacramento County	\$31,778,481.00	\$982,840.00	\$-	\$-	\$21,608,231.00	\$746,307.00	\$-	\$-	
San Bernardino City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	
Sonoma County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	
Subtotals	\$338,903,907.01	\$32,987,889.02	\$83,824,766.45	\$4,563,598.06	\$295,199,303.74	\$19,548,024.14	\$60,378,276.97	\$2,845,688.70	

^{*} Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

Obligations				Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$1,988,915.02	\$1,404,269.11	\$1,061,207.26	\$540,578.30	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$12,529,968.13	\$1,421,649.88	\$18,863,586.83	\$-	\$2,833,804.90	\$197,729.91	\$1,522,655.98	\$-
Fremont City	\$1,443,083.72	\$74,110.85	\$678,582.22	\$-	\$1,314,577.97	\$51,157.11	\$586,308.22	\$-
Fresno City	\$10,875,155.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$23,726,015.30	\$277,813.86	\$1,885,638.00	\$71,877.57	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$1,849,174.03	\$910,787.21	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Moreno Valley City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$11,867,656.00	\$494,486.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$23,273,709.00	\$719,805.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$1,619,204.73	\$316,766.02	\$1,624,810.30		\$986,940.54	\$-	\$98,043.00	\$-
San Diego City	\$45,630,489.76	\$5,094,137.08	\$39,068,395.15	\$-	\$7,101,704.43	\$409,257.00	\$6,340,576.86	\$-
San Diego County	\$14,317,000.00	\$1,098,000.00	\$4,319,000.00	\$-	\$1,963,500.44	\$158,674.33	\$592,361.86	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sonoma County	\$5,183,119.34	\$522,226.97	\$1,949,022.29	\$196,354.69	\$5,063,736.56	\$402,844.18	\$1,829,639.51	\$76,971.91
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$158,371,392.11	\$12,812,517.51	\$72,242,325.43	\$963,411.17	\$23,330,206.40	\$1,698,128.06	\$13,761,668.81	\$231,572.52