## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



# California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – January 2022

#### **Highlights and Milestones**

January 2022 marked the one-year anniversary of the enactment of the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2021 (SB 91)). Since March 15, 2021, when the state program began accepting emergency rental assistance applications, the state has provided nearly \$1.9 billion in assistance to help more than 170,000 vulnerable households statewide. In January alone, the state-administered program expended over \$197 million in rent and utility assistance for the benefit of approximately 21,100 households.

The state program received more than 44,000 applications for rent and utility assistance in January. In total, the amount of aid requested by applicants from the state program exceeds the funds allocated to California by the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury)¹ under ERA¹² and ERA²³. During this time, the state-administered program has continued to prioritize applicants based on criteria established under federal and state law, specifically the prioritization of households based on income and risk of eviction.

The Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) submitted a second formal request to Treasury for reallocated ERA1 funds on January 21, 2022. The second request augmented HCD's first reallocation request submitted in November 2021. On January 7, 2022, Treasury informed HCD that the state-administered program would receive \$62.5 million of reallocated ERA1 funds. HCD will continue to request additional funds from Treasury to assist California households, inclusive of remaining ERA1 and ERA2 reallocations that are anticipated later this spring.

In addition to the strong performance of the state-administered program, locally administered programs have cumulatively expended approximately \$486.5 million of state block grant funds assisting more than 64,400 households with rent and utilities through January 31. HCD has disbursed ERA2 block grants to 16 of the 24 jurisdictions operating local programs and is working rapidly to distribute funds to the areas of greatest local need. Several jurisdictions running local rental assistance programs submitted reallocation requests to Treasury in November and/or January. At the time of this report, eight of the localities had received additional allocations through the first round of ERA reallocations, totaling approximately \$18.1 million in federal funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

#### California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee - January 31, 2022

The coordinated efforts of state and local emergency rental assistance programs continue to serve the needs of residents statewide by keeping families housed, preventing evictions, and stabilizing housing providers as California advances from the COVID-19 pandemic.

This report continues to reflect a revised methodology for calculating obligations to follow recent guidance from Treasury. Based on the updated federal guidance, HCD adjusted its method of calculating obligations for the December report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee. Prior to that, the state program recorded obligations upon receipt of a complete application it determined eligible for payment. Beginning in December, the program adjusted its calculations to record obligations when the program's case management team fully completes its reviews and approves an application for payment. As a result, obligation figures will track more closely to expenditures. The methodology adjustment resulted in a one-time reduction of the total obligations reported for the state-administered program. The change does not currently affect obligations reported by locally administered programs.

#### **Key Achievements**

Tenants seeking rent and utility assistance submitted over 44,000 new applications in January. The volume of applications received per month decreased between December and January but continued to demonstrate need for emergency rental assistance. The state-administered assistance program continued to prioritize the highest need applicants. By month-end, the state program was processing payments at a rate of more than 8,100 households per week.

Cumulatively, the state-administered program has expended nearly \$1.9 billion in rental and utility assistance through January 31. Of the total, approximately \$1.6 billion or 84.9 percent of funds expended provided benefits to those at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The locally administered Option B jurisdictions reported expenditures of nearly \$486.5 million in state block grant funds to assist more than 64,400 households. Approximately 90 percent of the block grant funds disbursed by local programs aided households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The programs are shown with their respective performance beginning on page 9.

#### **Continued Eviction Protections**

The eviction protections established by Chapter 27, Statutes of 2021 (AB 832) require landlords and tenants to follow specific steps in relation to potential evictions for non-payment of rent between October 1, 2021, and March 31, 2022. The eviction protections are predicated on tenants and landlords submitting complete applications to the appropriate rental assistance program (either state or locally administered). In jurisdictions where local programs face demand in excess of available funds, HCD is continuing to provide technical assistance and support to help ensure local programs can continue to deploy assistance during the protection period.

The Housing Is Key website provides information for landlords, tenants, and community organizations on the eviction protections provided under AB 832, including template letters for tenants to declare financial distress, tips on what to do if given a notice of eviction, and links to legal aid resources.

#### California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – January 31, 2022

The state's rent relief application includes an option for applicants to indicate they would like to be referred to a legal aid organization. The state program emailed notifications to all applicants who requested such referrals and provided links to help find local legal aid support. HCD will continue to notify applicants if they request information and will share applicants' contact information with legal aid organizations if the applicant allows. HCD sent separate notifications to all applicants who indicated they were experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness to provide information about the continuum of care organizations and resources available throughout California.

The provisions of AB 832 require both the state-administered program and locally administered programs to provide mechanisms by which landlords, tenants, legal representatives, and the courts may do both of the following:

- Verify the status of an application for rental assistance based upon the property address and a unique application number
- Obtain copies of any determination relative to an application for rental assistance (i.e., name, address, and if the application has been approved, denied, or is pending)

California's state program is complying with these requirements by providing information regarding application status via the Call Center and an online option. During January, there were approximately 5,800 requests for application status and documentation, with most requests being resolved within four hours.

#### **Fund Recapture and Reallocation**

Treasury allocated approximately \$2.6 billion to the State of California in each of two rounds of ERA funding for a total allocation of approximately \$5.2 billion. Combined, the state program is responsible for administering approximately \$2.53 billion of the ERA1 and ERA2 funds. Currently, the state program serves 55 large and small localities (30 non-direct allocated counties and 25 direct allocated cities and counties partnering with the state) representing roughly 64 percent of the state's population.

In mid-January 2022, Treasury announced it had reallocated approximately \$209 million of ERA1 grants nationally. The realignment of funds added approximately \$68.4 million of ERA1 funds to the federal grants for California and selected local governments in the state. Of that total, Treasury allocated more than \$18.1 million directly to eight jurisdictions running local programs. The state-administered program received approximately \$50.3 million in additional federal funds. In addition to the net new funding, two localities in California voluntarily reallocated \$12.2 million from their initial federal grants and requested their unused funds be administered by the state program. In total, the state program budget grew by \$62.5 million as a result of the initial ERA1 reallocation.

Although Treasury directed approximately 33 percent of the total funds available for reallocation to California's state and local ERA programs, the state program's share of the first reallocation was less than the \$1.9 billion California requested from Treasury in November 2021. HCD submitted a second ERA1 reallocation request to Treasury in January, with the request closely aligned to the projected need and initial requests that was submitted to Treasury in November 2021. As additional federal funds become available, HCD will

submit subsequent requests to Treasury for additional rounds of federal reallocations, inclusive of unused ERA1 and ERA2 funds.

In addition to seeking additional ERA1 funds from Treasury, HCD retains its discretion to recapture and reallocate state block grant funds in alignment with the performance and operational deadlines described in state and federal guidance. During January, HCD did not recapture any state block grant funds previously disbursed.

#### **Customer Support**

At the beginning of January (after the holiday period), HCD continued to observe lower production levels in application processing, similar to the last half of December. Through January, there were incremental improvements each week. During the first full week of January, the state program distributed approximately \$30 million in payments to assist approximately 2,800 households. By the end of January, the state program was back to its typical pace of distribution, processing over \$83 million in payments to assist more than 8,100 households. The improvements week over week led to January's results in Paid Applications nearly reaching December's performance, as reflected below.

Incremental Monthly Metrics	10/31	11/30	12/31	1/31
Call Center/Case Management Staff	1,706	1,623	1,546	1,412
Inbound Call Volume	649,660	549,420	486,883	502,085
Paid Applications	28,932	40,532	24,842	21,180
Funds Paid to Eligible Households	\$345	\$445.9	\$257.9	\$197.2

(All dollars in millions. Schedule reflects partial data, does not sum to total program expenditures.)

The January metrics reflect lower production attributable primarily to additional documentation requirements the program introduced for tenants and landlords in December. Tenants must provide proof of tenancy and landlords must provide proof of rental property ownership. The two documentation requirements further increase program integrity and introduce enhanced measures to facilitate the verification of information. This continued to result in greater workload in terms of outreach and follow-up by case management staff to ensure applications are completed.

The Call Center received over 502,000 calls in January for a total of 3,425,209 calls since the program's inception. As reflected in the schedule above, HCD sustained Call Center/Case Management staff levels through January to address the anticipated increases in call volume and to process applications. As shown above, the metrics since October reflect staffing resources at levels to align with call volume and approved applications.

In January, the state program operator prepared and distributed Form 1099s by email to all landlords (approximately 40,000) who received rental assistance payments in 2021. Prior to receiving the Form 1099, landlords received an email providing information regarding the federal requirement to issue the tax form. Additional information was posted to the Housing Is Key website and the Call Center agents received scripts to assist landlords by providing additional information regarding the issuance of Form 1099s.

#### Outreach

#### **Public Relations and Communications**

Communication activities in January continued the efforts to dispel myths regarding citizenship requirements for rental assistance eligibility and to reinforce that the state-administered program continues to accept applications. The Housing Is Key website underscores that income-eligible applicants may qualify regardless of immigration status and applicants will not be required to show proof of citizenship. This message is further reinforced through the Local Partner Network and other external communication.

Eviction protection resources and Continuum of Care resources available on the Housing Is Key website were updated in January. The Continuum of Care information lists all federal Continuum of Care grant recipients in California and provides resources for those currently experiencing homelessness.

In addition to rental assistance, the Housing Is Key website provides information and links for California's Mortgage Relief Program operated by the California Housing and Finance Agency as part of the state's overall Housing Is Key initiative.

#### Local Partner Network Community Support

The community-based Local Partner Network (LPN) maintains 118 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. In December, HCD reengaged with each partner organization to extend contracts for those interested in providing ongoing support through the end of March 2022, as the original agreements with LPN partners expired at the end of 2021. Of the 143 previously engaged partner organizations, 118 are continuing into January while 27 elected not to extend their contracts. The following metrics reflect that even with fewer local partners, coverage is consistent with December's levels:

- Over 10,400 appointments in January (including walk-in, same day, and scheduled) totaling 77,755 since inception. This represents a 3.6 percent decrease compared to last month.
- 13,939 inbound Appointment Center calls in December totaling over 141,800 since inception. This represents a 3 percent increase since last month. The average handle time for calls is just over 10 minutes in English, and approximately 13 minutes in other languages. Assistance is available in 14 languages.
- 3,984 attendees participated in 62 webinars in January. The webinars were provided in four different languages (Chinese [Mandarin], English, Korean, and Spanish).
- LPN partners hosted over 6,900 phone or Zoom scheduled appointments to support applicants, with 40,871 since inception.
- LPN partners held 3,244 in-person scheduled appointments to support applicants, with 23,868 since inception.

#### **Statutory Basis for Report**

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.
  - In total, nearly \$2.76 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
  - \$2.01 billion obligated for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 1/31/2022.
  - \$1.02 billion of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 1/31/2022.
  - \$749 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 1/31/2022.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.
  - In total, \$2.38 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
  - \$1.89 billion expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 1/31/2022.
  - \$486 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 1/31/2022.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.
  - All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.
  - Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code.
  - Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 9.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively.
  - This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award number ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

#### **Obligations, Expenditures and Eligible Uses of Funds**

#### State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Funds

Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A and including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C.

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$1,843,668,300.34	\$24,997,001.39	\$1,868,665,301.73
\$1,766,056,657.17	\$11,885,489.83	\$1,777,942,147.00
\$1,072,545,604.79	\$8,885,825.42	\$1,081,431,430.21
\$430,003,896.29	\$1,682,492.45	\$431,686,388.74
\$263,507,156.09	\$1,317,171.96	\$264,824,328.05
\$141,914,790.81	\$327,719.26	\$142,242,510.07
\$117,292,609.81	\$0.00	\$117,292,609.81
\$65,500,927.18	\$0.00	\$65,500,927.18
\$30,658,464.13	\$0.00	\$30,658,464.13
\$21,133,218.50	\$0.00	\$21,133,218.50

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 12.

Funds obligated for arrears
Funds <b>expended</b> for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI >50 and <=80% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$355,057,461.96	\$29,955,555.83	\$385,013,017.79
\$320,648,386.44	\$18,964,857.26	\$339,613,243.70
\$233,613,852.95	\$9,900,939.41	\$243,514,792.36
\$56,143,347.39	\$5,401,375.04	\$61,544,722.43
\$30,891,186.10	\$3,662,542.81	\$34,553,728.91
\$75,950,104.86	\$4,929,040.48	\$80,879,145.34
\$65,590,600.38	\$3,435,340.10	\$69,025,940.48
\$43,919,955.34	\$1,648,806.66	\$45,568,762.00
\$15,456,637.53	\$1,052,588.15	\$16,509,225.68
\$6,214,007.52	\$733,945.29	\$6,947,952.81

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 10 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 13. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

Funds **obligated** for arrears

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds obligated for prospective payments

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$198,093,087.28	\$15,555,806.80	\$213,648,894.08
\$50,408,646.78	\$3,509,786.46	\$53,918,433.24
\$34,642,597.72	\$2,351,019.11	\$36,993,616.82
\$10,765,218.61	\$745,686.50	\$11,510,905.11
\$5,000,830.45	\$413,080.85	\$5,413,911.31
\$68,078,612.65	\$1,451,263.19	\$69,529,875.84
\$23,634,097.67	\$257,887.16	\$23,891,984.83
\$16,819,557.24	\$142,749.83	\$16,962,307.07
\$5,031,605.68	\$73,957.37	\$5,105,563.05
\$1,782,934.76	\$41,179.96	\$1,824,114.72

#### Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity

Values reflect applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

#### Race

American Indian or Alaska Native

Asian

Black or African American

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

White

Other Multi-Racial

Not Reported

Refuse to Answer

**Totals** 

#### **Ethnicity**

Hispanic or Latino

Non-Hispanic or Latino

Not Reported

Refuse to Answer

**Totals** 

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
6,314	2,017	\$20,551,588.78
29,142	12,772	\$154,831,892.31
88,132	32,203	\$348,115,699.48
4,187	1,636	\$18,853,484.84
169,526	60,292	\$680,452,444.23
69,193	26,309	\$289,711,397.55
42,019	14,983	\$164,385,136.33
54,557	20,294	\$218,333,113.29
463,070	170,506	\$1,895,234,756.81

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
145,451	53,670	\$327,775,463.56
234,033	86,644	\$747,910,642.40
44,991	16,122	\$646,964,263.37
38,595	14,070	\$172,584,387.48
463,070	170,506	\$1,895,234,756.81

#### **Geographic Distribution of Funds**

#### State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions - ERA1 and ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations and state reservations on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September are now included in this table.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$57,922.00	\$688.56	\$800.00	\$-	\$57,922.00	\$688.56	\$800.00	\$-
Amador County	\$1,126,169.27	\$19,964.45	\$44,876.10	\$-	\$1,103,820.37	\$13,025.13	\$32,659.00	\$-
Butte County	\$8,602,404.40	\$321,809.70	\$549,877.83	\$2,336.96	\$7,759,451.06	\$210,648.14	\$505,875.88	\$-
Calaveras County	\$1,470,305.65	\$51,188.73	\$63,333.20	\$-	\$1,402,823.79	\$33,254.05	\$60,641.61	\$-
Colusa County	\$418,098.26	\$11,168.43	\$8,991.00	\$-	\$393,511.77	\$3,317.51	\$4,608.20	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$112,538,959.71	\$2,603,106.01	\$8,601,357.74	\$36,231.54	\$108,942,170.93	\$1,266,526.22	\$6,796,882.41	\$-
Del Norte County	\$1,048,609.78	\$30,005.78	\$30,762.00	\$357.74	\$981,689.78	\$10,302.09	\$29,650.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$6,002,978.73	\$99,525.78	\$366,680.54	\$1,384.48	\$5,694,199.50	\$31,128.86	\$317,958.82	\$-
Fontana City	\$8,384,233.49	\$234,817.73	\$536,692.31	\$426.79	\$8,158,771.51	\$115,978.86	\$481,721.51	\$-
Glenn County	\$861,025.48	\$29,967.95	\$68,808.00	\$-	\$811,862.79	\$19,255.39	\$60,108.00	\$-
Humboldt County	\$8,929,529.99	\$222,623.29	\$624,556.96	\$289.40	\$8,555,221.69	\$110,120.49	\$543,699.34	\$-
Imperial County	\$5,103,584.33	\$76,672.57	\$438,229.60	\$2,050.47	\$4,865,996.36	\$40,496.82	\$373,094.68	\$-
Inyo County	\$335,752.68	\$12,042.63	\$21,914.00	\$72.00	\$330,936.68	\$3,156.27	\$10,885.00	\$-
Irvine City*	\$22,009,643.71	\$91,346.95	\$2,790,444.11	\$1,809.54	\$21,353,369.20	\$37,898.01	\$2,580,026.37	\$-
Kings County	\$3,828,109.75	\$220,211.53	\$273,872.24	\$4,012.20	\$3,737,886.71	\$133,903.10	\$268,922.88	\$-
Lake County	\$5,132,637.42	\$126,147.20	\$203,207.21	\$184.93	\$4,867,058.48	\$64,508.58	\$176,851.09	\$-
Lassen County	\$471,307.11	\$7,320.49	\$44,536.85	\$-	\$446,297.48	\$586.93	\$29,117.48	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$433,630,663.02	\$1,660,889.65	\$31,143,968.01	\$16,743.01	\$421,517,530.53	\$729,310.58	\$27,476,281.22	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$546,744,710.87	\$7,691,437.26	\$42,455,011.31	\$104,593.73	\$516,003,352.16	\$4,185,006.11	\$33,798,716.63	\$-
Madera County	\$3,798,981.33	\$134,374.89	\$223,258.42	\$55.00	\$3,518,342.00	\$86,777.73	\$220,451.88	\$-
Mariposa County	\$395,746.51	\$3,489.45	\$36,964.00	\$-	\$382,028.51	\$2,222.02	\$34,037.00	\$-
Mendocino County	\$3,200,445.58	\$91,148.99	\$152,289.08	\$621.00	\$3,145,153.91	\$42,095.88	\$123,484.85	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Merced County	\$7,274,712.97	\$125,462.78	\$684,476.65	\$5,911.00	\$6,700,787.52	\$75,266.73	\$472,101.24	\$-
Modesto City	\$5,562,463.14	\$101,611.38	\$470,085.98	\$210.00	\$5,248,422.80	\$53,970.88	\$310,468.20	\$-
Modoc County	\$523,634.00	\$704.41	\$22,953.00	\$-	\$308,522.00	\$596.61	\$12,020.00	\$-
Mono County	\$384,360.55	\$23,498.85	\$63,989.00	\$300.00	\$357,188.05	\$7,701.06	\$52,809.50	\$-
Napa County	\$5,557,129.77	\$131,936.72	\$462,020.38	\$528.63	\$5,277,251.80	\$68,511.53	\$388,939.18	
Nevada County	\$3,768,830.76	\$70,475.94	\$282,708.30	\$504.00	\$3,633,020.32	\$42,910.01	\$278,446.94	\$-
Oakland City	\$38,563,742.87	\$676,727.86	\$2,341,158.19	\$4,557.24	\$37,476,547.02	\$275,477.60	\$2,266,518.17	\$-
Orange County	\$124,122,775.81	\$1,745,013.93	\$11,579,602.26	\$20,482.02	\$121,057,608.26	\$790,456.66	\$10,330,337.92	
Oxnard City	\$12,714,887.84	\$286,673.02	\$1,546,017.16	\$6,178.87	\$12,450,673.59	\$162,846.01	\$1,223,535.94	\$-
Placer County	\$9,896,597.54	\$642,238.47	\$1,046,691.02	\$5,571.33	\$9,732,252.27	\$84,760.75	\$860,574.88	\$-
Plumas County	\$303,229.00	\$7,283.77	\$17,357.50	\$-	\$284,064.50	\$-	\$17,022.00	\$-
San Benito County	\$1,750,145.92	\$41,024.33	\$112,082.00	\$-	\$1,595,733.26	\$20,816.45	\$70,589.30	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$48,593,744.74	\$819,525.60	\$3,762,014.56	\$19,102.87	\$47,797,707.91	\$273,372.01	\$2,850,222.69	\$-
San Francisco County	\$91,899,924.80	\$688,927.47	\$4,074,752.12	\$12,414.11	\$82,981,255.82	\$241,594.69	\$2,918,997.49	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$11,155,822.37	\$99,175.09	\$781,645.70	\$597.00	\$10,791,861.69	\$74,538.19	\$643,974.95	\$-
San Jose City	\$51,727,102.03	\$767,055.34	\$4,769,591.39	\$16,624.72	\$50,176,351.54	\$232,061.99	\$3,651,492.98	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$11,159,281.75	\$209,092.83	\$797,174.16	\$1,055.22	\$10,402,094.95	\$82,538.18	\$758,355.41	\$-
San Mateo County	\$56,470,647.06	\$775,160.99	\$4,826,161.85	\$13,669.52	\$55,081,468.41	\$338,024.05	\$3,543,688.51	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,155,059.05	\$87,385.06	\$269,331.71	\$3,475.18	\$2,977,906.32	\$23,551.35	\$176,523.05	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$9,728,216.38	\$229,643.70	\$999,665.75	\$921.28	\$9,518,397.10	\$80,481.41	\$959,056.26	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$30,043,655.33	\$458,296.32	\$2,712,053.66	\$11,514.32	\$29,030,647.83	\$140,512.16	\$2,150,437.65	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$17,452,544.42	\$297,456.52	\$1,459,857.01	\$7,458.21	\$16,959,114.49	\$124,711.61	\$1,267,820.90	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$12,783,609.23	\$278,173.33	\$1,045,882.21	\$1,248.66	\$12,213,759.63	\$130,774.04	\$874,830.97	\$-
Shasta County	\$7,161,504.44	\$183,043.18	\$399,970.86	\$1,029.38	\$6,737,985.07	\$99,833.49	\$386,197.63	\$-
Sierra County	\$65,400.00	\$3,657.80	\$-	\$-	\$49,600.00	\$1,828.90	\$-	·
Siskiyou County	\$2,054,074.51	\$47,397.43	\$112,771.35	\$48.00	\$1,824,827.88	\$16,838.90	\$92,143.07	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Solano County	\$22,610,934.71	\$220,261.84	\$2,028,833.26	\$439.25	\$21,933,982.39	\$95,186.04	\$1,557,470.65	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$4,947,798.22	\$37,939.79	\$325,349.33	\$523.70	\$4,359,879.35	\$3,953.06	\$221,758.95	\$-
Sutter County	\$3,583,642.63	\$146,430.77	\$199,383.50	\$76.32	\$3,181,025.58	\$86,510.65	\$188,505.87	\$-
Tehama County	\$2,275,343.52	\$60,138.82	\$114,876.05	\$25.41	\$2,120,705.64	\$33,905.09	\$108,075.12	\$-
Trinity County	\$617,188.89	\$1,130.55	\$21,580.00	\$-	\$543,784.89	\$85.00	\$11,134.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$16,587,200.19	\$602,032.91	\$1,084,503.49	\$3,922.74	\$15,736,085.61	\$389,340.02	\$1,069,750.28	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$2,151,779.66	\$51,536.52	\$88,940.94	\$201.41	\$2,021,006.44	\$18,145.07	\$88,377.86	\$-
Ventura County	\$39,371,085.15	\$879,069.88	\$3,498,788.98	\$13,226.54	\$38,224,215.06	\$448,517.15	\$2,649,679.52	\$-
Yolo County	\$9,151,283.58	\$346,575.59	\$974,086.24	\$3,112.19	\$8,977,449.51	\$178,908.11	\$729,097.99	\$-
Yuba County	\$4,407,128.44	\$115,294.58	\$258,004.74	\$1,621.35	\$4,264,075.49	\$46,757.05	\$185,180.89	\$-
Subtotals	\$1,843,668,300.34	\$24,997,001.39	\$141,914,790.81	\$327,719.26	\$1,766,056,657.17	\$11,885,489.83	\$117,292,609.81	\$-

<sup>\*</sup> Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	
Alameda County	\$19,052,117.79	\$1,163,580.60	\$8,861,634.61	\$-	\$16,701,747.33	\$1,070,631.73	\$7,822,637.42	\$-	
Anaheim City	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	
Bakersfield City	\$3,804,821.64	\$2,704,216.17	\$3,850,308.44	\$2,039,048.06	\$3,804,821.64	\$2,704,216.17	\$3,850,308.44	\$2,039,048.06	
Chula Vista City	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	
Fresno City	\$10,104,968.80	\$6,859,357.15	\$-	\$-	\$3,134,239.15	\$1,089,507.61	\$-	\$-	
Fresno County	\$5,079,394.58	\$567,426.18	\$697,027.66	\$196,201.50	\$122,945.00	\$12,381.32	\$29,602.00	\$685.92	
Irvine City*	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Kern County	\$6,360,906.55	\$4,150,964.12	\$4,046,415.66	\$2,161,575.67	\$1,926,628.17	\$1,257,268.02	\$1,933,886.30	\$1,033,072.70	
Long Beach City	\$12,960,000.00	\$636,809.73	\$1,374,350.00	\$17,642.44	\$12,912,736.00	\$634,138.00	\$1,373,350.00	\$17,237.00	
Los Angeles City*	\$114,146,955.11	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,146,955.11	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Marin County	\$8,293,897.65	\$103,751.55	\$2,557,833.66	\$69,959.97	\$7,135,942.96	\$27,751.11	\$889,361.87	\$2,028.34	
Monterey County	\$7,517,715.65	\$1,060,917.77	\$2,650,833.66	\$-	\$7,517,715.65	\$1,060,917.77	\$2,650,833.66	\$-	
Riverside City	\$5,970,048.31	\$880,252.64	\$3,900,725.05	\$-	\$2,278,317.77	\$70,279.97	\$94,174.85	\$-	
Riverside County	\$27,610,044.31	\$2,479,108.90	\$4,075,803.88	\$146,542.96	\$27,051,760.09	\$1,925,127.54	\$3,011,273.60	\$45,198.20	
Sacramento City	\$15,715,352.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,694,165.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	
Sacramento County	\$31,778,481.00	\$982,840.00	\$-	\$-	\$21,557,654.00	\$746,307.00	\$-	\$-	
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	
Subtotals	\$355,057,461.96	\$29,955,555.83	\$75,950,104.86	\$4,929,040.48	\$320,648,386.44	\$18,964,857.26	\$65,590,600.38	\$3,435,340.10	

<sup>\*</sup> Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$19,673,553.02	\$1,017,221.13	\$5,997,754.89	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-				
Bakersfield City	\$3,352,816.15	\$2,382,960.49	\$1,808,500.90	\$912,641.07	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,738,166.99	\$366,206.42	\$2,953,968.42	\$-	\$5,317,761.96	\$314,714.00	\$2,720,766.42	\$-
Fremont City	\$3,645,656.84	\$73,222.43	\$752,150.95	\$-	\$1,382,130.76	\$73,063.57	\$722,453.95	\$-
Fresno City	\$10,875,155.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-				
Kern County								
Long Beach City	\$23,726,015.30	\$277,813.86	\$1,885,638.00	\$71,877.57	\$5,335.00	\$997.74	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-				
Monterey County	\$3,266,095.51	\$1,046,961.54	\$853,915.27	\$-	\$2,750,569.21	\$125,697.83	\$853,915.27	\$-
Moreno Valley City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$11,867,656.00	\$494,486.00	\$-	\$-	\$2,439,144.00	\$10,980.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$23,273,709.00	\$719,805.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$3,914,511.00	\$303,569.95	\$987,881.89	\$-	\$2,029,871.39	\$-	\$141,152.22	\$-
San Diego City	\$50,247,134.25	\$5,765,344.46	\$42,439,093.38	\$-	\$15,386,936.48	\$1,109,531.88	\$13,632,748.94	\$-
San Diego County	\$28,858,582.52	\$1,991,733.77	\$5,542,814.04	\$-	\$11,560,582.52	\$974,733.77	\$722,814.04	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sonoma County	\$5,586,133.62	\$638,016.22	\$2,064,811.54	\$312,143.94	\$5,470,373.90	\$421,602.14	\$2,048,163.45	\$103,286.55
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$198,093,087.28	\$15,555,806.80	\$68,078,612.65	\$1,451,263.19	\$50,408,646.78	\$3,509,786.46	\$23,634,097.67	\$257,887.16