DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE 2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833

P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

Highlights and Milestones

The California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program experienced robust demand through October, with the state-administered program obligating approximately \$2.4 billion in funds for both ERA1¹ and ERA2² since program inception. These metrics reflect that 100 percent of ERA1 funds are fully obligated and that the state-administered program pivoted to obligate and expend ERA2 funds.

The state-administered program has increased the total amount of rent and utility assistance that has been paid out from \$73 million as of the end of June to over \$994 million expended by October 31, assisting over 83,800 households across the state. In October, the state-administered program expended more than \$345 million in both rental and utility payments.

In addition to the strong performance of the state-administered Rent Relief Program, locally administered programs have cumulatively obligated more than \$752.5 million and paid out nearly \$267.2 million of state block grant funds assisting more than 37,375 households with rent and utilities through October 31.

The joint state and local effort in deploying these resources has been a critical component to keeping families housed, preventing evictions, and stabilizing housing providers as California continues its recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Key achievements

Previously, the number of applications submitted in a single month peaked at over 176,000 during September. October continued to demonstrate strong demand with more than 125,000 total applications submitted (approximately 93,000 tenant and 32,000 landlord). Though the pace of applications eased up slightly in October, the applications submitted during the month represent more than 26 percent of the total applications received since program inception, demonstrating continued interest and demand for rental assistance in California. Combined, September and October comprise 64 percent of all applications received to-date.

At the end of October, the state-administered program was approving more than 8,100 applications for payment weekly, totaling more than \$96 million per week. Cumulatively, the state-administered program has expended a total of \$994.3 million through October 31 in rental and utility assistance. Of the total, approximately \$818.9 million or 82.4 percent of funds expended provided benefits to those at or below 50 percent of the area median income.

¹ "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

² "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

Similar to the pace of applications processed by the state-administered program, many of the locally administered programs in California have also fully obligated and expended ERA1 resources and are now deploying ERA2 funds. For example, as of October 31, several jurisdictions are reflecting the obligation of a significant amount of ERA2 funds; these jurisdictions include the County of Alameda, City of Chula Vista, City of Fresno, City of Long Beach, Monterey County, City of Sacramento, and the City of San Diego. Details of obligations and payments by locality are provided in the section "Geographic Distribution of Funds" beginning on page 9.

As part of the program's continued focus on geographic equity, the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) finalized the standup of a dedicated case management team to review and process applications received from applicants located in rural areas. By the end of October, the team included 80 case managers and 30 document specialists. The goal is to significantly increase the volume of applications approved within the 23 rural areas served by the state-administered program. These efforts have resulted in the program approving 3,682 applications totaling an obligation amount of \$31.6 million in rental and utility assistance in October. This represents a 129 percent increase in approved applications and a 112 percent increase in obligated funds compared to September.

Continued Eviction Protections

Under Chapter 27, Statutes of 2021 (AB 832), a modified civil procedure for unlawful detainer actions for non-payment of rent is in place from October 1, 2021, through March 31, 2022. These eviction protections provide critical stability to eligible households that are in need of assistance. These protections against eviction for non-payment of rent are directly tied to participation in rental assistance programs statewide and require that landlords apply for assistance in either the state or corresponding local program before they can initiate an eviction for non-payment of rent.

A key component of the AB 832 eviction protections requires both the state's Rent Relief Program and locally administered programs to provide mechanisms, including, but not limited to, telephone or online access, through which landlords, tenants, legal representatives, and the courts may do both of the following:

- Verify the status of an application for rental assistance based upon the property address and a unique application number.
- Obtain copies of any determination relative to an application for rental assistance (i.e., name, address, and if the application has been approved, denied, or is pending).

California's Rent Relief Program is complying with these requirements and provides assistance regarding application status via the Call Center and an online option. During October there were more than 2,000 requests for application documentation and application status, with requests on average being resolved by the following day.

During October, legal staff from the Business, Consumer Services, Housing Agency (BCSH) and HCD held a 90-minute online training session addressing the legal requirements under AB 832. Those attending the training included legal aid attorneys, self-help attorneys, community advocates, and members of the law libraries. The training was recorded and is available to those who did not attend. Additionally, resources including tip cards, flyers,

posters, and electronic media were made available to other collaborators, including the Judicial Council, court partners, and county self-help centers.

Fund Obligations

The state program is currently responsible for administering the United States Department of the Treasury's (Treasury) ERA1 allocation to California of \$1,497,605,326.90 and the sum of \$292,167,265.10 in ERA1 federal grant awards redirected to the state-administered program from Butte County, Contra Costa County, Fontana city, Los Angeles County, the City of Oxnard, San Luis Obispo County, San Mateo County, Santa Cruz County, Tulare County, Ventura County, and Yolo County. By October 31, the state program had obligated 100 percent of the ERA1 funds under its management.

Treasury allocated just under \$2.6 billion in ERA2 funds to California jurisdictions. As of the end of October, the state-administered program covered 55 large and small localities representing approximately 64 percent of the total statewide population and administering more than 64.5 percent of the ERA2 allocated funds, for a total of approximately \$1.65 billion. At the end of October, the state program had obligated roughly \$1.57 billion against specific eligible household applications and allowable administrative expenses.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

ERA1 funds that were not obligated by the federal obligation deadline of September 30, 2021, are at risk of recapture and reallocation by Treasury to recipients that have met the federal obligation requirements. While the State program has met and exceeded the obligation requirement, HCD retains its discretion to recapture state block grant funds not in alignment with the performance and operational deadlines described in state and federal guidance. During October, HCD did not recapture any additional state block grant funds.

Pursuant to Treasury guidance, ERA grantees, including the state-administered program and corresponding local programs, will be participating in the formal reallocation process, inclusive of ERA1 and ERA2 funds.³ Pursuant to federal law, Treasury will recapture unused ERA funds from grantees and reallocate resources to grantees with ongoing demand. Treasury will initiate this process with ERA1 and will transition to ERA2 in accordance with federal statutory timelines.

Customer Support

During the month of October, over 125,000 new tenant and landlord applications were submitted, totaling more than 472,000 applications submitted since the inception of the program. October's application volume reflects sustained demand for rental assistance; however, the volume of applications increased at a slower rate than noted in September, as shown in the table below.

³ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), *https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program* (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

Cumulative Applications	August		Incremental Growth	October	Incremental Growth	
Tenant	115,846	258,430	142,584	351,660	93,230	
Landlord	54,272	88,325	34,053	120,852	32,527	
Total:	170,118	346,755	176,637	472,512	125,757	

The Call Center received over 649,000 calls in October for a total of 1,886,821 calls since the program's inception. As reflected on the schedule below, HCD consistently increased Call Center staff levels through September to handle the anticipated increases in call volume and application intake. The metrics also illustrate the augmentation of staffing to coincide with increases in call volume, application flow, significant events such as the passage of AB 832 in the last week of June, a streamlined website and application, jurisdictions transferring to the state program, increased outreach, and the strength of the Local Partner Network (LPN). The October metrics show continued strength in processing Approved Applications (46.9 percent growth), Obligating Funds (20.8 percent growth), and Funds Paid to Eligible Households (54.2 percent growth) over the previous month.

Incremental Monthly Metrics	6/30	7/31	8/31	9/30	10/31
Call Center/Case Management Staff	423	566	670	1,817	1,706
Inbound Call Volume	105,404	152,857	209,624	547,774	649,660
Approved Applications	5,302	13,273	52,738	43,526	63,920
Funds Obligated/Approved for Payment	\$115.5	\$149.5	\$428.9	\$699.3	\$845.1
Funds Paid to Eligible Households	\$40.9	\$138.1	\$214.5	\$223.7	\$345

(all dollars in millions)

Outreach

Public Relations and Communications

HCD partnered with Los Angeles radio station KJLH and the California Legislative Black Caucus to host an application drive on October 15 with Assemblymember Reggie Jones-Sawyer. Several LPN partners were onsite for this event assisting approximately 62 renters and landlords with applications and making appointments with an additional 50 attendees.

On October 21, HCD and BCSH joined the Assembly's Democratic Office of Communications and Outreach for a virtual gathering of Region 2 (Bay Area) district staff, presenting on the state-administered Rent Relief program and responding to questions.

Considering the cumulative volume of applications to the state-administered Rent Relief Program, and continued strong application flow in October, HCD paused large-scale outreach activities and media buys during the latter part of the month to assess strategy and overall resource allocation.

Local Partner Network Community Support

The community-based Local Partner Network (LPN) maintains 136 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. The following LPN outreach metrics reflect sustained activity during October:

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

- Over 12,000 appointments in October, totaling 41,975 since inception. This represents a 57 percent increase over last month.
- 18,441 inbound Appointment Center Calls in October totaling 99,180 since inception. This represents a 35 percent decrease since last month. The average handle time for calls is approximately 10 minutes in English, 12 minutes in other languages, and assistance is available in 14 languages.
- 2,539 attendees participated in 120 webinars in October.
- 7,233 phone or Zoom appointments hosted by LPN partners to support applicants, with 18,728 since inception.
- 4,655 in-person appointments by LPN partners to support applicants, with 11,204 since inception.
- In the month of October, five LPN organizations provided onsite support for three different application drives and resource events.

To support outreach in otherwise inaccessible areas, the LPN team continued the series of "Telephone Town Hall" events where individuals dial in to participate and receive information. These events are designed to reach individual households in areas showing the highest concentrations of at-risk households yet to submit an application for rental assistance. In October, 11 Telephone Town Halls were conducted for more than 30,000 participants; individual events were offered in Armenian, English, Korean, and Spanish.

Statutory Basis for Report

(1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:

(A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.

- In total, over \$3.14 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.39 billion obligated for jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 10/31/2021.
- \$752.6 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 10/31/2021.

(B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter.

- In total, \$1.26 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$994.3 million expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 10/31/2021.
- \$267.2 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 10/31/2021.

(C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.

• All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.

(D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter.

• Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.

(E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code.

• Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 9.

(F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively.

• This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A and including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. With more than 100 percent of ERA1 allocations obligated, the state program has begun to obligate against ERA2 allocations.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$2,266,092,882.09	\$22,986,949.02	\$2,289,079,831.11
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$958,351,152.50	\$4,467,677.54	\$962,818,830.04
<=30% AMI	\$550,122,977.52	\$2,507,697.26	\$552,630,674.78
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$238,990,040.75	\$804,329.64	\$239,794,370.39
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$169,238,134.23	\$1,155,650.64	\$170,393,784.87
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$97,798,067.78	\$406,251.90	\$98,204,319.68
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$31,460,645.26	\$0.00	\$31,460,645.26
<=30% AMI	\$17,878,545.08	\$0.00	\$17,878,545.08
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$8,630,681.45	\$0.00	\$8,630,681.45
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$4,951,418.73	\$0.00	\$4,951,418.73

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

Of the 24 Option B jurisdictions, 16 were obligating State Block Grant funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 12.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$453,496,554.41	\$29,968,206.45	\$483,464,760.86
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$204,916,781.12	\$12,339,354.96	\$217,256,136.08
<=30% AMI	\$148,283,417.46	\$7,333,350.86	\$155,616,768.32
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$38,134,528.86	\$2,991,393.53	\$41,125,922.39
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$18,498,834.80	\$2,014,610.57	\$20,513,445.37
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$76,196,623.12	\$5,753,189.63	\$81,949,812.75
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$46,321,575.39	\$2,182,288.79	\$48,503,864.18
<=30% AMI	\$30,883,988.90	\$761,449.66	\$31,645,438.57
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$11,246,621.30	\$806,203.25	\$12,052,824.56
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$4,190,965.18	\$614,635.87	\$4,805,601.06

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 10 were obligating State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 14. The majority of Option B jurisdictions are currently obligating their federal ERA2 funds before they begin obligating their State Block Grant funds. In addition, ERA2 Block Grants expenditures reported have just begun in October as standard agreements are currently being processed. HCD expects most of the remaining agreements to be executed in November and December depending on each locality's readiness for additional funding.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$129,071,947.98	\$7,421,558.55	\$136,493,506.53
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$1,027,464.08	\$83,484.18	\$1,110,948.26
<=30% AMI	\$664,151.00	\$48,209.56	\$712,360.56
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$186,522.68	\$12,360.74	\$198,883.42
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$176,790.40	\$22,913.88	\$199,704.28
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$50,502,235.92	\$159,731.04	\$50,661,966.96
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$243,883.00	\$7,503.66	\$251,386.66
<=30% AMI	\$143,294.47	\$5,426.11	\$148,720.58
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$58,412.73	\$1,234.46	\$59,647.19
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$42,175.80	\$843.09	\$43,018.89

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity

Values reflect applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race	Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
American Indian or Alaska Native	4,459	976	\$10,855,160.80
Asian	24,915	6,677	\$86,176,988.67
Black or African American	64,525	15,279	\$171,864,843.22
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3,187	858	\$10,856,358.89
White	127,689	29,823	\$365,386,668.79
Other Multi-Racial	53,298	13,145	\$151,829,043.22
Not Reported	30,896	7,180	\$82,943,527.88
Refuse to Answer	42,691	9,961	\$114,366,883.83
Totals	351,660	83,899	\$994,279,475.30
Ethnicity	Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Funds Expended
Hispanic or Latino	112,352	26,994	\$275,143,298.97
Non-Hispanic or Latino	176,444	42,457	\$533,104,821.73
Not Reported	32,962	7,711	\$94,473,634.70
Refuse to Answer	29,902	6,737	\$91,557,719.90
Totals	351,660	83,899	\$994,279,475.30

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions - ERA1 and ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations and state reservations on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September are now included in this table.

	Obligations			Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alpine County	\$54,750.00	\$688.56	\$11,000.00	\$-	\$30,150.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$1,999,187.10	\$10,307.90	\$19,084.60	\$-	\$321,425.32	\$-	\$3,903.20	\$-
Butte County	\$11,121,004.25	\$283,833.10	\$340,789.21	\$3,685.57	\$3,702,296.26	\$76,940.89	\$73,675.81	\$-
Calaveras County	\$2,611,580.77	\$48,691.27	\$26,611.60	\$24.00	\$846,476.60	\$5,671.39	\$19,541.47	\$-
Colusa County	\$758,509.26	\$9,559.93	\$5,972.00	\$-	\$147,130.50	\$-	\$3,600.00	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$138,260,314.33	\$2,468,853.43	\$6,819,816.36	\$45,306.34	\$70,980,157.11	\$484,583.20	\$2,911,688.22	\$-
Del Norte County	\$1,434,236.56	\$23,803.33	\$17,536.00	\$313.29	\$521,493.60	\$701.82	\$8,150.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$9,128,939.83	\$109,038.67	\$273,806.72	\$2,666.74	\$3,115,376.11	\$13,334.18	\$88,708.77	\$-
Fontana City	\$12,011,630.85	\$234,674.55	\$381,794.35	\$426.79	\$4,948,623.76	\$52,332.28	\$124,239.60	\$-
Glenn County	\$1,203,367.73	\$39,139.30	\$39,380.00	\$-	\$509,416.73	\$7,238.24	\$6,900.00	\$-
Humboldt County	\$13,628,049.99	\$200,915.23	\$369,968.31	\$3,274.08	\$4,423,642.72	\$37,911.77	\$74,487.77	\$-
Imperial County	\$7,210,381.91	\$74,717.97	\$288,270.53	\$2,287.27	\$2,612,423.29	\$13,446.10	\$13,878.60	\$-
Inyo County	\$619,962.17	\$16,441.93	\$18,035.00	\$72.00	\$210,053.93	\$2,624.85	\$1,605.00	\$-
Irvine City*	\$27,122,771.76	\$79,653.99	\$1,952,797.92	\$1,809.54	\$9,725,374.04	\$2,238.35	\$106,261.00	\$-
Kings County	\$6,153,100.97	\$192,264.98	\$230,512.28	\$4,484.81	\$1,810,551.57	\$43,777.46	\$82,687.88	\$-
Lake County	\$7,899,047.55	\$125,257.74	\$118,309.32	\$184.93	\$2,750,771.60	\$2,113.52	\$39,046.00	\$-
Lassen County	\$669,666.56	\$9,216.99	\$25,615.85	\$105.00	\$227,866.47	\$530.27	\$17,508.00	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$547,252,658.67	\$1,049,284.18	\$16,596,220.41	\$27,266.80	\$154,715,790.19	\$9,141.47	\$1,582,435.00	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$617,844,693.89	\$7,169,464.16	\$33,056,949.51	\$115,999.43	\$321,834,800.21	\$2,077,059.04	\$15,403,840.86	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	
Madera County	\$5,118,392.57	\$145,306.53	\$132,010.02	\$55.00	\$1,666,373.68	\$15,480.07	\$15,131.00	\$-	
Mariposa County	\$783,366.51	\$3,683.32	\$12,416.00	\$-	\$134,148.76	\$-	\$1,631.25	\$-	
Mendocino County	\$4,963,931.52	\$110,564.09	\$110,015.98	\$621.00	\$1,618,934.28	\$8,659.02	\$37,263.00	\$-	
Merced County*	\$10,407,262.69	\$74,934.64	\$341,622.72	\$6,121.07	\$2,213,880.92	\$13,277.02	\$16,250.00	\$-	
Modesto City*	\$8,448,056.97	\$116,496.63	\$200,324.75	\$410.00	\$1,239,056.33	\$28,853.65	\$-	\$-	
Modoc County	\$874,168.00	\$1,293.41	\$21,535.00	\$-	\$208,185.00	\$596.61	\$-	\$-	
Mono County	\$671,609.41	\$16,066.71	\$38,440.00	\$300.00	\$220,136.91	\$222.56	\$6,550.00	\$-	
Napa County	\$6,837,605.83	\$116,290.02	\$329,729.05	\$508.63	\$3,448,512.29	\$16,617.71	\$75,720.16	\$-	
Nevada County	\$5,980,871.44	\$61,820.43	\$187,481.66	\$938.00	\$1,684,682.23	\$7,109.39	\$32,388.49	\$-	
Oakland City	\$48,983,432.56	\$646,240.78	\$1,681,577.52	\$9,569.09	\$26,287,532.25	\$71,789.85	\$387,024.75	\$-	
Orange County*	\$151,024,134.87	\$1,563,264.24	\$8,536,027.93	\$25,126.76	\$75,660,022.31	\$264,069.18	\$2,097,349.91	\$-	
Oxnard City	\$18,651,993.44	\$326,093.92	\$1,097,164.97	\$6,178.87	\$7,821,729.30	\$88,430.02	\$361,457.49	\$-	
Placer County*	\$14,487,354.66	\$638,392.32	\$750,198.10	\$3,723.41	\$5,734,549.41	\$21,846.43	\$172,447.36	\$-	
Plumas County	\$325,890.24	\$9,853.71	\$7,796.00	\$-	\$128,331.24	\$-	\$-	\$-	
San Benito County	\$2,880,904.05	\$39,593.40	\$66,724.00	\$171.18	\$600,525.75	\$2,719.46	\$18,150.00	\$-	
San Bernardino County*	\$66,567,096.90	\$767,670.77	\$2,028,884.84	\$20,813.13	\$18,499,381.67	\$115,661.67	\$287,268.44	\$-	
San Francisco County*	\$96,764,230.34	\$686,836.75	\$2,422,293.96	\$14,575.47	\$46,294,269.22	\$41,265.76	\$193,516.34	\$-	
San Joaquin County*	\$16,691,004.66	\$84,261.91	\$465,192.30	\$-	\$3,746,391.59	\$15,234.49	\$16,370.00	\$-	
San Jose City*	\$60,249,837.61	\$787,851.37	\$3,428,524.78	\$21,289.40	\$31,577,370.91	\$94,383.90	\$1,358,621.54	\$-	
San Luis Obispo County	\$21,322,444.75	\$197,186.80	\$551,303.25	\$925.58	\$5,831,124.14	\$12,980.66	\$101,839.19	\$-	
San Mateo County	\$64,111,890.28	\$680,502.45	\$3,653,245.14	\$25,494.42	\$35,545,835.65	\$132,372.83	\$1,716,117.29	\$-	
Santa Ana City	\$3,897,058.92	\$85,417.14	\$251,667.18	\$3,475.18	\$2,890,650.49	\$20,457.43	\$187,286.63	\$-	
Santa Barbara County	\$14,099,410.65	\$227,137.85	\$793,996.15	\$1,063.05	\$5,788,262.38	\$23,269.86	\$75,231.52	\$-	

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

	Obligations			Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Santa Clara County*	\$33,795,804.45	\$434,951.90	\$1,910,639.51	\$13,730.70	\$18,913,526.58	\$28,812.19	\$897,007.83	\$-
Santa Clarita City*	\$19,879,806.65	\$267,935.20	\$1,095,985.65	\$8,878.89	\$10,465,079.57	\$42,726.74	\$381,434.06	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$17,469,533.51	\$339,824.59	\$802,138.24	\$2,124.74	\$7,947,294.02	\$50,779.03	\$294,479.33	\$-
Shasta County	\$12,737,865.91	\$207,256.07	\$261,042.80	\$1,045.38	\$3,511,069.68	\$49,146.99	\$41,121.25	\$-
Sierra County	\$125,280.00	\$4,318.90	\$1,400.00	\$-	\$21,040.00	\$1,828.90	\$1,060.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$2,944,815.78	\$52,994.37	\$56,791.00	\$48.00	\$635,594.18	\$5,801.13	\$10,425.00	\$-
Solano County*	\$33,062,945.93	\$143,239.81	\$1,097,856.21	\$734.85	\$8,642,563.27	\$-	\$65,551.00	\$-
Stanislaus County*	\$7,111,856.21	\$37,830.38	\$97,267.50	\$616.31	\$917,768.27	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sutter County	\$6,404,061.17	\$127,536.89	\$108,999.00	\$11.32	\$1,727,548.96	\$13,075.46	\$23,122.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$4,162,782.37	\$50,184.74	\$44,585.80	\$4,295.29	\$794,623.64	\$5,017.19	\$2,575.00	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,044,995.56	\$1,045.55	\$11,620.00	\$-	\$181,192.02	\$-	\$2,430.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$27,242,130.92	\$514,768.80	\$745,394.36	\$6,171.13	\$8,358,330.92	\$125,495.84	\$35,721.44	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$3,608,557.28	\$39,250.69	\$39,230.97	\$408.75	\$871,219.82	\$4,288.11	\$1,400.00	\$-
Ventura County	\$46,993,860.49	\$819,416.43	\$2,904,174.39	\$14,708.56	\$25,566,031.59	\$234,078.45	\$1,660,036.15	\$-
Yolo County	\$11,222,819.09	\$303,934.00	\$754,641.99	\$2,464.28	\$5,932,035.85	\$66,356.40	\$264,508.91	\$-
Yuba County	\$7,159,963.75	\$109,894.30	\$165,659.09	\$1,747.87	\$1,592,527.41	\$14,640.15	\$60,001.75	\$-
Subtotals	\$2,266,092,882.09	\$22,986,949.02	\$97,798,067.78	\$406,251.90	\$958,351,152.50	\$4,467,677.54	\$31,460,645.26	\$-

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

* New Option A Jurisdictions that transitioned from either Option B or C.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$31,228,775.00	\$1,299,490.00	\$8,898,260.81	\$-	\$2,966.60	\$878.32	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$7,000,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$3,500,000.00	\$-	\$6,536,093.70	\$147,543.49	\$2,049,360.14	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$4,042,833.66	\$2,670,024.03	\$3,803,952.69	\$1,929,190.64	\$2,176,982.04	\$1,437,752.54	\$2,048,349.59	\$1,038,829.13
Chula Vista City	\$5,997,042.10	\$72,587.66	\$3,304,410.48	\$-	\$5,997,042.10	\$72,587.66	\$3,304,410.48	\$-
Fremont City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno City	\$8,916,148.94	\$6,163,251.91	\$-	\$-	\$2,096,155.79	\$846,485.32	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$8,170,316.29	\$1,422,938.84	\$1,766,774.22	\$830,236.26	\$4,247,702.95	\$768,558.82	\$1,766,774.22	\$830,236.26
Kern County	\$5,431,111.64	\$3,586,889.73	\$5,110,200.78	\$2,591,659.85	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$11,669,832.80	\$485,722.16	\$2,729,214.12	\$104,033.00	\$9,700,859.34	\$446,705.17	\$818,238.58	\$15,153.52
Marin County	\$3,429,115.63	\$15,869.92	\$-	\$-	\$2,805,734.63	\$6,195.92	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$10,071,610.77	\$2,585,316.93	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Moreno Valley City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$10,455,352.51	\$1,991,552.81	\$10,749,367.64	\$-	\$20,844.15	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$18,481,355.25	\$730,545.71	\$-	\$-	\$15,479,355.25	\$674,184.51	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$38,580,000.00	\$1,038,448.79	\$-	\$-	\$17,180,450.75	\$532,895.25	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

	Obligations					Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Sonoma County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	
Subtotals	\$228,911,393.23	\$29,968,206.45	\$76,196,623.12	\$5,753,189.63	\$131,682,085.94	\$12,339,354.96	\$46,321,575.39	\$2,182,288.79	

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the US Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$22,520,347.40	\$1,043,358.84	\$9,198,260.81	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Anaheim City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$4,632,334.00	\$694,706.78	\$3,618,560.44	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fremont City	\$2,000,000.00	\$170,000.00	\$1,822,936.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno City	\$9,595,725.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$23,726,015.30	\$277,813.86	\$1,885,638.00	\$71,877.57	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$10,447,183.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Moreno Valley City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Oakland City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$14,325,541.43	\$507,250.80	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$39,097,085.98	\$4,550,865.01	\$32,489,391.86	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
San Diego County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – October 31, 2021

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Sonoma County	\$1,498,821.35	\$83,484.18	\$433,883.00	\$17,503.66	\$1,027,464.08	\$83,484.18	\$243,883.00	\$7,503.66
Stockton City	\$1,228,894.52	\$94,079.08	\$1,053,565.82	\$70,349.81	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Subtotals	\$129,071,947.98	\$7,421,558.55	\$50,502,235.92	\$159,731.04	\$1,027,464.08	\$83,484.18	\$243,883.00	\$7,503.66