Cover: Ivy at College Park in San Bernardino County is home to 135 families who enjoy all the stability that comes with an affordable apartment and make constant use of the swimming pool and ample community spaces. Certified to be “healthy, comfortable, durable, and resource efficient,” this GreenPoint-rated, affordable apartment complex keeps utility costs low through its solar thermal hot water system. It’s even within convenient walking distance to the local community college.

Total development cost: $34.2 million
- $13.3 million from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
- **$8 million from HCD’s Multifamily Housing Program**
- $7.2 million from the City of Chino
- $3.3 million from California Community Reinvestment Corporation
- $1.8 million in general partner equity
- $600,000 in accrued interest and deferred fee

Developer: BRIDGE Housing

Photography by Ted7, courtesy of BRIDGE Housing
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Message from the Director

A good home provides the foundation for a better life. And the programs administered by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) make the difference for millions of Californians every day in accessing quality affordable homes.

The 2015-2016 report expands beyond HCD’s past reporting, which focused just on its Division of Financial Assistance programs, to capture a broader suite of HCD regulatory and policy efforts. In its comprehensiveness, it offers a window into the remarkable accomplishments the Department has made over the course of a single year. And it speaks to the commitment and engagement I’ve seen daily in HCD staff who are dedicated to the Department’s mission of ensuring all Californians have choices for safe and affordable homes and strong, vibrant communities.

The state of California stands today as a national leader in housing. We lead the nation in our sophisticated, time-tested, public-private programs that create more affordable places to live. And we lead the nation through our integrated approach to housing that focuses not just on a roof and four walls, but on ensuring other key policy and community benefits. For example, our landmark Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities program that reduces greenhouse gases by building affordable homes near transit and our continuous expansion of CALGreen, the nation’s first mandated green-building code.

But, even with all the efforts described in this report, we know California is facing an unprecedented housing challenge that is exacerbating inequality, deepening poverty, and constraining economic growth. Concurrent to the release of this report, HCD is releasing its 2025 Statewide Housing Assessment, a report that shows the severity of the housing crisis and identifies new opportunities for policy action in the decade to come. (The report is available at www.hcd.ca.gov/statewide-housing-assessment). HCD stands poised to build upon our 2015-16 accomplishments and connect even more Californians to lives rich with opportunity, which begins with having a safe, stable place to call home.

I welcome your feedback on this report and look forward to working with HCD’s stakeholders and partners to coordinate and better leverage our investments and identify new solutions.

Ben Metcalf
Director
California Department of Housing and Community Development
About the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)

Our Mission: Provide leadership, policies, and programs to preserve and expand safe and affordable housing opportunities and promote strong communities for all Californians.

- **Grants and Funding** — By administering programs that provide grants and loans (from both state and federal housing programs), HCD creates rental and homeownership opportunities for Californians from all walks of life, including veterans, seniors, young families starting out, people with disabilities, farmworkers, and individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness. Over the last three decades, HCD has provided more than $3 billion of funding for the development of affordable housing and associated infrastructure, but HCD’s role does not end once the awards are made. Through long-term monitoring, HCD ensures the developments continue to provide safe and affordable homes, and that the homes remain well-maintained and financially sound.

- **Mobilehome Registration** — Similar to the way California’s Department of Motor Vehicles manages titling and registration for automobiles, HCD manages the titling and registration for mobilehomes. HCD also protects families and individuals who live in mobilehomes by inspecting mobilehome parks for health and safety violations in areas where the local government has not assumed enforcement. HCD further protects consumers by enforcing regulations for those who build and sell manufactured homes.

- **Building Standards** — HCD protects the health and safety of Californians by enforcing standards for housing construction, maintenance of farmworker housing and manufactured/factory-built homes. HCD also proposes amendments to California’s residential building standards for new construction to the California Building Standards Commission and helps train local government inspectors to better understand the new requirements. HCD creates specialized standards for CALGreen, the nation’s first mandated green-building code.

- **Planning and Community Development** — As a basic human need, housing is one of the most important parts of any community, and how we plan for housing has wide reaching impacts on the environment, education, health, and the economy. HCD plays a critical role in the housing-planning process, which was designed to ensure that communities plan housing that meet the needs of everyone in California’s communities. HCD works with each of the 538 regions to determine their housing needs, and then reviews every city and county’s housing plan (the housing element of the general plan) to determine whether or not the plan complies with state law.

- **Policy and Research** — HCD develops policies that support housing and community development, and conducts research and analysis of California’s housing markets and needs. HCD produces California’s Statewide Housing Plan (required by state law), California's "Consolidated Plan" (required for California to receive millions of federal dollars for housing and community development), and other special reports.
2015-16 Accomplishments At-a-Glance

HCD recognizes the tremendous need for affordable housing in California and considers these accomplishments a positive step in the right direction.

Grants and Funding

- Awarded 195 grants and loans totaling more than $287 million, projected to:
  - Preserve 3,736 homes and apartments.
  - Create 2,742 new homes and apartments.
  - Create or rehabilitate 1,880 migrant farmworker rental homes.
  - Rehabilitate 696 substandard homes and apartments.
- Provided $1 million in loan extensions to troubled developments to preserve much-needed affordable homes and apartments.
- Developed financial workout arrangements to preserve 13 affordable, rental housing developments that had fallen into financial difficulty and needed new arrangements to ensure they remained affordable.

Manufactured Homes and Mobilehomes

- Conducted 26,153 inspections and complaint investigations of mobilehome parks, mobilehomes, and manufactured homes in California.
- Registered and/or titled 45,470 mobilehomes.
- Served 23,273 walk-in customers.
- Increased public safety in 57 mobilehome parks by inspecting the conversion to public utility service.
- Created more efficient customer call center.

Building Codes and Standards

- Completed a three-year, code adoption process to amend standards for construction of new homes and apartments, as well as hotels, and motels in California.
- Completed two emergency rulemaking efforts to assist with drought mitigation.

Planning and Community Development

- Reviewed 508 city/county housing plans ("housing elements") for compliance with state housing law.
- Completed nearly a dozen listening sessions through the Housing Element Technical Advisory group, reaching consensus on several administrative improvements.

Policy and Research

- Produced the draft 2025 Statewide Housing Assessment for release in FY 2016-17.
- Served as the lead in new land-use and community-development sector of Safeguarding California, California’s climate adaptation strategy.
- Redesigned California’s Emergency Solutions Grant program to better align with the federal Homelessness Emergency Assistance and Transition to Housing Act.
- Applied for and awarded $70.3 million in HUD funds to implement the Community and Watershed Resilience Program in Tuolumne County following the devastating Rim Fire.
Home: Where You're Safe and Secure
Burlington Family Apartments

Sitting on a once vacant lot in Los Angeles, Burlington Family Apartments is now home to 30 families who make ends meet on lower incomes, including families with special needs. Not only is Burlington close to a popular park, grocery stores, and a hospital, families have access to fresh produce in its edible garden and can prepare meals with other families in the community kitchen.

Solar panels keep the families’ utility costs low, and — because people of modest means are more-frequent users of public transit — its convenient location near transit reduces greenhouse gas emissions by encouraging people to drive less.

Services available right onsite give families all the tools they need to enjoy safe and stable lives, including physical and mental health care, independent living skills, and money management. Residents can even sign up to volunteer in the broader community, creating an even stronger connection to the neighborhood.

Total development cost: $15.8 million.

- $8.9 million Low-income Housing Tax Credits
- $2.5 million HCD’s Multifamily Housing Program
- $2.5 million Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles
- $800,000 California Community Reinvestment Corporation
- $300,000 Federal Home Loan Bank's Affordable Housing Program
- Plus, operating funding from the California Department of Health Care
Introduction

Home is the foundation for life. And for a large number of Californians, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is where those foundations begin. Home is where we raise families, feel safe and secure, and rest and recharge. The place you call home touches everything else in your life — from job opportunities to physical and mental health, from children's success in school to our environmental footprint.

Previously focused on HCD's financial programs, this year's annual report has been expanded to provide a broader view of the services HCD provides and some of the outcomes of those services.

This report and more information about HCD is available at www.hcd.ca.gov.
Grants and Funding

During the fiscal year (FY) 2015-16, HCD managed and/or issued 13 notices of funding availability (NOFAs) that awarded 195 grants and loans totaling more than $287 million to city and county government agencies, for- and nonprofit developers, homelessness service providers, and small businesses. The awards are strengthening communities by providing funding to build or rehabilitate affordable homes and apartments, transitional housing, emergency shelters, and infrastructure.

The awards made in FY 2015-16 are projected to:

- Preserve 3,736 housing units, subject to affordable rent or homeownership requirements.
- Rehabilitate 696 substandard housing units.
- Create 2,742 new housing units.
- Create or rehabilitate 1,880 migrant farmworker rental housing units, which are operated during harvest season.

HCD grants and loans helped to leverage nearly two times more support for affordable housing developments with more than $850 million in additional federal, local and private funds.

---

1 Most of the housing production figures in this report are based on the time the award was made. Actual outcomes may differ because projects frequently change in scope after the award. Production numbers may take several years to become final, and production resulting from any one fiscal year’s awards may be spread across several future fiscal years. Figures on housing actually produced during the fiscal year are reported for federally funded programs, but not for state-funded programs.
In FY 2015-16, 48 of California’s 58 counties were awarded by HCD programs. The following table represents the top ten counties that were awarded HCD funds in FY 2015-16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$90,531,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>$22,614,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>$19,010,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>$14,350,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>$12,544,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>$11,328,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>$11,205,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>$9,673,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>$8,11,079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HCD awards during FY 2015-16 were drawn from the following fund sources and made through the programs listed.

### Funding Sources for HCD FY 2015-16 Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCES AND PROGRAMS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State bond funds — Proposition 1C</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing-Related Parks Program</td>
<td>$27,158,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Migrant Services</td>
<td>$6,722,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total state bond funds Proposition 1C</strong></td>
<td>$33,881,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State bond funds — Proposition 41</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program</td>
<td>$116,102,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other State funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program</td>
<td>$27,483,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought Housing Relocation Assistance Program</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Housing Program</td>
<td>$47,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Migrant Services — General Fund</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Migrant Services — Water Conservation Grant</td>
<td>$511,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other state funds</strong></td>
<td>$80,694,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Block Grant</td>
<td>$31,132,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME Investment Partnerships Program</td>
<td>$24,573,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total federal funds</strong></td>
<td>$55,706,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revolving funds (established from state General Fund)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden State Acquisition Fund — Predevelopment Loan Program</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$287,684,745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Housing Bonds

Proposition 1C Nears Conclusion
*Funds from nation's largest housing bond nearly exhausted*

California voters approved the Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act (Proposition 1C) in November 2006, which was, at that time, the nation's largest housing-bond measure.

Proposition 1C authorized $2.85 billion in General Obligation bonds to create more housing opportunities for Californians by continuing several important housing assistance programs and launching new infrastructure programs that support housing. In **FY 2015-16**, HCD awarded more than $33.9 million in Proposition 1C bond funds.

As of June 30, 2016, HCD had invested nearly $2.3 billion in Proposition 1C funds to create more affordable homes for California, administering funds to hundreds of public and private organizations to help build, rehabilitate, preserve, or contribute other incentives for approximately 100,000 affordable homes and apartments, including nearly 3,000 shelter spaces. Approximately $90.4 million in Proposition 1C funds remain available to HCD\(^2\), the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA), and the California Pollution Control Financing Authority across various programs. CalHFA has awarded $190.4 million of Proposition 1C funds to create new homeowners through down payment assistance programs.

For specific details about Proposition 1C funds, see Appendix C.

Proposition 46 Funding Winds Down
*Nearly all funds expended from Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2002*

Proposition 46 was approved by voters in November 2002, and authorized $2.1 billion in state bonds to create housing opportunities for Californians through a variety of new housing investments, $1.81 billion of which was designated for HCD programs. As of June 30, 2016, HCD had invested nearly all of these funds to build, rehabilitate, preserve, or provide incentives for approximately 91,000 affordable homes and apartments, including more than 10,000 shelter and dormitory spaces.

Approximately $10 million remains (spread across several Proposition 46 programs), a slight increase from the previous year, due to the recapture of previously awarded funds from projects that did not proceed as planned.

For specific details about Proposition 46 funds, see Appendix D.

State Funds

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program
*California's landmark Cap-and-Trade program reduces greenhouse gas emissions through affordable-home development*

The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program invests in developments that reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by supporting more compact infill development, encouraging active transportation (e.g. biking, walking) and transit use, and protecting agricultural land from sprawl development.

Funding for the AHSC program is provided from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF), which receives proceeds from California's Cap-and-Trade auctions. The Cap-and-Trade program, a key strategy for achieving

\(^2\) HCD is evaluating $79.5 million for funding in the coming year as follows: Housing-Related Parks Program ($34 million NOFA to be issued in 2016-17), Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and MHP-Supportive Housing ($14 million NOFA to be issued in 2016-17, plus $1.5 million in MHP/MHP-SH Prop 46 funds), Infill Infrastructure Grants (analyzing options to award $22 million), and CalHome (analyzing options to award $8 million).
Grants and Funding

California's GHG emission reduction goals, issues a limited number of GHG emissions permits (allowances) each year. A portion of these allowances can be purchased from the state at quarterly auctions, thereby generating auction proceeds. These state auction proceeds are then deposited in the GGRF, where they become available for appropriation by the California Legislature.

HCD implements the transportation, housing, and infrastructure component of the AHSC program, which is administered by the Strategic Growth Council (SGC). The SGC coordinates efforts with HCD, working with the California Air Resources Board to administer the broader AHSC program, including developing program guidelines, evaluating applications, preparing agreements, monitoring agreement implementation, reporting, and making amendments.

In 2015-16, the AHSC program provided 10 grants and loans totaling approximately $27.5 million to achieve GHG reductions and benefit disadvantaged communities by increasing access to affordable homes that are located near jobs and services.3

Multifamily Housing Program

More funds awarded from one of California's most-successful programs to create rental housing

The Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) is an important funding source in the creation of new apartment homes for families and individuals priced out of California's rental market. In June 2015, a $47.5 million General Fund allocation NOFA was released and all of the funds were awarded as permanent financing for affordable, multifamily rental and transitional new construction, acquisition/rehabilitation and conversion housing developments.

Multifamily Housing Program — Supportive Housing

Affordable rents with supportive services continues to stabilize households

California's Multifamily Housing Program — Supportive Housing (MHP-SH) helps fund housing that is both affordable and includes supportive services.

Sometimes it is not enough to have a roof over your head. Sometimes, people need extra support to remain stable once they have a place to live. Housing that includes supportive services ("supportive housing") is a successful model that works for people who have more difficulty remaining stable in their homes, including people who have experienced homelessness or are at-risk of homelessness and people with disabilities. California's Multifamily Housing Program — Supportive Housing (MHP-SH) helps fund housing that is both affordable and includes supportive services.

Those who use funding from the MHP-SH are required to submit reports to HCD describing the characteristics of their tenants beginning the second year after the housing is occupied. For 2015-16, HCD received reports on all 91 MHP-SH developments. These developments include 2,567 units restricted under MHP-SH and were occupied by 2,697 tenant households during some part of the reporting period.4

Prior to moving into supportive housing, 68 percent of the tenant households experienced homelessness, and 32 percent were at risk of homelessness. A person is considered "at risk of homelessness" if their income is less than 20 percent of California's median income.

Units funded by MHP-SH are required to be occupied by people who are disabled in one or more of five specific categories. Of the 2,697 tenant households described in the reports, 55.7 percent were living with mental illness;

3 This funding round was an extension of the first funding round, and thus relatively small in size. The first major funding round occurred in late FY 2014-15, and the second major funding round occurred at the beginning of FY 2016-17.

4 There are more households than there are units because some units were vacated by a tenant and re-rented to a second tenant during part of the reporting year.
18.2 percent had a long-term chronic health condition; 11.8 percent were living with chronic substance abuse; 9.6 percent had a developmental disability; and 4.7 percent were living with HIV/AIDS.

All of the households living in MHP-SH units had extremely low incomes.

### MHP-SH Household Annual Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANNUAL INCOME</th>
<th>TENANT HOUSEHOLDS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 or less</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,001 to $10,000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 to $15,000</td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,001 to $20,000</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than $20,000</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primary source of this income was public disability programs — 67.8 percent of the tenants received income from Supplemental Security Income, Social Security Disability, or Veterans Disability. Approximately 10 percent received income from employment.

### MHP-SH Household Sources of Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCES OF INCOME</th>
<th>TENANT HOUSEHOLDS(^1)</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Disability Assistance</td>
<td>1,829</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Income</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assistance</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalWORKs</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Ins.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)May include more than one source of income per tenant household.
These households largely remained stable, as 72.9 percent lived in their unit for more than two years. A total of 85.9 percent of households lived in their unit for more than one year.

### MHP-SH Occupancy Length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LENGTH OF STAY</th>
<th>TENANT HOUSEHOLDS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 months or less</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months to 1 year</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year to 2 years</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 2 years</td>
<td>1,965</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program

*Giving More Veterans Access to Affordable Apartments*

In 2014, California voters approved Proposition 41, which modified the Veteran’s Bond Act of 2008, repurposing $600 million in unused homeownership bond funds to instead help veterans access affordable apartments. HCD, in collaboration with the California Department of Veterans Affairs and the California Housing Finance Agency, developed the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention program to help veterans who are experiencing or at-risk of homelessness.

The second NOFA was released in October of 2015 and funded approximately $116.1 million for 28 developments. These developments will provide a total of 1,833 units; 972 of which will be occupied by veterans and their families, including supportive housing units for homeless veterans and veterans with disabilities.
Many of California’s seniors live on small, fixed incomes. An affordable place to live can be difficult to find even without additional challenges. For seniors who have been abused, the additional challenges can seem insurmountable.

John was hospitalized after being beaten so badly he lost sight in one eye. Now, John lives at the Sacramento Senior Safe House in a comfortable and healing environment. For seniors who have been abused or neglected, this is a safe, welcoming home where they can recover from real-life nightmares.

Without Sacramento Senior Safe House, John and other seniors who have been abused would have been placed in motels or hospitals and may have continued to be dependent upon those who abused them.

"Everything got a lot better when I came here. I didn't have to worry anymore," says John. After the physical wounds heal, vulnerable seniors are able to mend psychologically in the loving refuge of Sacramento Senior Safe House, funded in part by HCD.
Housing-Related Parks Program

HCD's Housing-Related Parks program (HRPP) improves communities by expanding the availability of parks and recreation facilities, while encouraging affordable-housing approvals and production. Voter-approved funds from the Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006 (Proposition 1C), support this program. Jurisdictions are eligible to receive these grants based on two criteria: the jurisdiction's state-mandated housing plan ("housing element") has been determined by HCD to be compliant with state law and their annual progress reports have been submitted; and the jurisdiction has issued permits for affordable housing during the designated program year (DPY).

In 2015, HCD received a total of 51 applications for this program, in response to the NOFA issued for $130 million. Ultimately, 50 applicants eligible for funding received a total of $27,158,875. These awards were based on approval of new residential housing units affordable to extremely low-, very low-, or low-income households, as well as affordable units preserved, rehabilitated, and/or acquired between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2015.

Eligible applicants had a total of 6,784 eligible units affordable to lower-income households during the 2015 DPY. Of these, approximately 5,402 (80 percent) were newly constructed units and 1,382 (20 percent) were units that were rehabilitated, converted, or preserved during the DPY. Approving these affordable homes made jurisdictions eligible for HRPP funding.

### Affordable Units Eligible for Housing-Related Parks Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OWNER UNITS</th>
<th>RENTAL UNITS</th>
<th>TOTAL UNITS</th>
<th>% OF TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low-Income</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low-Income</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>3,110</td>
<td>3,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Income</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>2,361</td>
<td>2,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotals</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>6,276</td>
<td>6,784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following is a breakdown for the $27.2 million awarded to applicants under the DPY 2015 NOFA and indicates the percentage of DPY 2015 awarded funds that will be used to create or improve a park or recreation facility within a park-deficient community, disadvantaged community, or both. Approximately 83 percent of HRPP funds awarded will be spent to create or rehabilitate parks within communities that are both park-deficient and disadvantaged.

### Park-Related Projects Funded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARK PROJECT LOCATION</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only Park-Deficient Community</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only Disadvantaged Community</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both Park-Deficient and Disadvantaged Community</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grants and Funding

At the end of FY 2015-16, approximately 80 percent ($154.6 million) of available HRPP funds had been awarded for the permitting of 46,501 housing units affordable to lower-income households statewide. The HRPP will have its final NOFA in the 2016 calendar year for approximately $45 million.

**Office of Migrant Services**
*Providing affordable homes to California’s agricultural workers*

HCD operates California’s Office of Migrant Services (OMS) in order to provide safe, stable, and affordable rental housing during the peak harvest season for migrant farmworkers and their families. The 2015-16 Budget Act provided $3.5 million in General Fund appropriation, which combined with $6.7 million of remaining Proposition 1C funds, which allowed for $10.2 million in facility improvements in a number of the 24 existing OMS housing centers consisting of 1,894 units. HCD allocated these funds to rehabilitate wastewater systems at several of the these housing centers.

**Drought Housing Relocation Assistance Program**
*Ensuring families have access to clean, drinkable water*

The Drought Housing Relocation Assistance (DHRA) program is a $6 million emergency program funded by California Assembly Bill 93 (Weber, 2015). It provides relocation and temporary rental assistance for people forced to move due to a lack of reasonable access to clean, drinkable water, resulting from California’s drought.

A "letter of interest" was issued on July 20, 2015, to all counties in the state inviting them to accept the lead role as a sponsor of the DHRA program. Through this process, HCD awarded $1.7 million in DHRA funding to five counties: Fresno, Kings, Tulare, Tuolumne and Yolo.

Each county has an ongoing outreach program for DHRA, and the following organizations were selected by their counties to administer the DHRA program: Housing Authority of Fresno County, Kings Community Action Organization, Housing Authority of Tulare County, Tuolumne County—Community Resource Agency, and Housing Authority of Yolo County.

As of June 30, 2016, eight families had been relocated to replacement housing where they now have access to clean, drinkable water.

**Golden State Acquisition Fund — Predevelopment Loan Program**

The Golden State Acquisition Fund provides short-terms loans for the acquisition of affordable housing development sites, while longer-term financing is being arranged. It was capitalized in 2011 with $23.25 million in Proposition 1C funding. The fund is operated by a consortium of community development financial institutions (CDIF) as a revolving fund; loan repayments are used for new loans. In FY 2015-16, 13 new loans were made by CDIFs, with $12,514,562 in program funds leveraging $38,906,188 in private capital.

**Loan Portfolio Restructuring Program Sees Applications Triple**
*Housing for 543 families/individuals preserved or rehabilitated*

The Loan Portfolio Restructuring (LPR) program (AB 1699) was designed to allow projects funded under any of nine HCD legacy loan programs to extend the term of their loan, compete for new tax credit equity, and subordinate their existing HCD loan to new private debt for the purpose of rehabilitating aging units. The LPR program includes allowances for above-formula rent increases, allowing project sponsors, in certain cases, to raise rents in order to qualify for new funding to finance rehabilitation projects. Restructuring loans within nine distinct programs into a more uniform portfolio, streamlines HCD’s long-term monitoring and compliance burden.
In FY 2015-16, the number of applications received and restructured tripled from the prior reporting period. Sixteen applications were received and six projects were restructured, which preserved or rehabilitated 543 assisted units. Many of the pending restructuring will complete construction in 2016-17.

**Enterprise Zone Program Ceases Activity**  
*HCD remains a resource for Franchise Tax Board*

Administered by HCD, the Enterprise Zone (EZ) program was created to target economically distressed areas throughout California, provide incentives to encourage business investment, and promote the creation of new jobs. Each enterprise zone was administered by its local jurisdiction, working with local agencies and business groups to promote economic growth through business expansion, attraction, and retention.

In late FY 2012-13, with the passage of SB 90 (Galgiani, 2013) and AB 93 (Committee on Budget, 2013), the EZ program was eliminated and HCD turned its focus to winding down the program and providing assistance to the new economic development programs administered by the Franchise Tax Board. In September 2013, AB 106 (Committee on Budget, 2013) gave enterprise zones authority to continue accepting applications for the hiring tax-credit vouchers through December 31, 2014.

Until December 31, 2014, HCD continued to oversee and administer the vouchering activities of enterprise zones. A list of former enterprise zones (including maps and street ranges for each zone) and any third-party agents which may have acted on their behalf remains available on HCD’s website. HCD continues to act as a resource for the Franchise Tax Board, responding to authentication requests for tax-return audit documents of companies that claimed hiring tax credits under the program.
Sometimes it’s not enough to have a roof over your head. Sometimes, people need extra support. Mercy Housing California’s 1180 Fourth Street in San Francisco provides exactly that — affordable apartment homes with supportive services that help very-low income, low income, and formerly homeless individuals and families live healthy, stable lives.

1180 Fourth Street provides 150 new apartments near ample public transportation with an award-winning design and services.

Residents find peace in the two garden courtyards and come together in the community room for classes, fun activities, and celebrations. Individuals stay healthy by working out in the exercise room and learn new skills in the computer center. Prominently located, 1180 Fourth Street’s on-site assets, which include two daycare facilities, serve the surrounding neighborhood while offering residents spectacular views of Mission Bay — situating it as an integral part of the community.

Total Development Cost: $73.4 million

- $32.2 million Low-Income Housing Tax Credit equity from Bank of America
- $26.6 million City and County of San Francisco
- $12.3 million HCD’s Transit-Oriented Development Program
- $1.6 million California Community Reinvestment Corporation
- $500,000 in general partner equity
- $301,726 in accrued interest

Developer: Mercy Housing California
Federal Funds

In addition to state funds, HCD also administers funds for two long-running successful federal programs: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME). In FY 2015-16, HCD distributed more than $55.7 million from these two federal programs.

### Federal Funds Awards FY 2015-16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AMOUNT AWARDED</th>
<th>UNITS ASSISTED OR REGULATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>$55,706,331</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Development Block Grant Program

*More than $31.1 million awarded to increase housing opportunities and lift up communities*

In response to applications submitted for two NOFAs, HCD awarded more than $31.1 million for community and economic development activities in FY 2015-16, including over-the-counter economic development projects.

The 2016 CDBG NOFA issued on May 17, 2016, announced approximately $27 million in federal grant funds available to rural non-entitlement jurisdictions for providing safe and sanitary housing, and creating economic opportunities and community development to improve the lives of Californians. This NOFA included two opportunities for applicants to receive state "objective points." The first was for activities that addressed or mitigated the impacts of a state or federally declared disaster that was active at the time of the application submission due date. The second was for applicants requesting Homeownership Assistance Program funding.

In August 2016 HCD released a special NOFA that provided $5 million of CDBG funds to provide lateral connections to public water systems for housing with inadequate or no water due to the continued drought.

California measures the full impact and benefit of the CDBG program within a community by examining both activities funded with CDBG grants and CDBG program income (CDBG program income is the gross amount received directly generated from the use of CDBG funds.) HCD provides progress updates to the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) by inputting CDBG program income expenditures and beneficiary data into the federal Integrated Disbursement and Information System.

CDBG’s National Disaster Resilience Competition

In 2013, the third largest fire in California’s history swept through the Stanislaus National Forest, in the Central Sierra Nevada mountains. Dubbed the “Rim Fire”, it burned more than 250,000 acres (more than 400 square miles). One year later, in the fall of 2014, HUD announced a $1 billion National Disaster Resilience Competition (NDRC), with funding to be administered through the federal CDBG – Disaster Recovery program as a new program: the CDBG – National Disaster Resilience (CDBG-NDR) program.

In June 2015, California was selected, along with 39 other jurisdictions, to participate in phase two of the competition, and in January, 2016, California was awarded $70.3 million to implement the Community and Watershed Resilience Program in Tuolumne County to help the community bounce back from the devastating Rim Fire. California was one of only 13 jurisdictions to win an award and worked tirelessly to complete all the pre-award work required for California to access the funding to help Tuolumne County recover and rebuild.
## CDBG–NDRC Designated Activities FY 2015-16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESIGNATED ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>FUNDING RECEIVED</th>
<th>ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest and Watershed Health</td>
<td>$28,598,591</td>
<td>Proposed activities include: fuel break construction, biomass removal, forest restoration and reforestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy and Wood Products Campus</td>
<td>$22,000,000</td>
<td>Phase 1: Siting, design, and environmental review for a biomass/wood products facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 2: Construction of facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Resilience Centers</td>
<td>$19,755,000</td>
<td>Phase 1: Siting, design, and environmental review for one (possibly two) community resilience centers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 2: Construction of one or both community resilience centers in Tuolumne County. Location(s) TBD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HOME Investment Partnerships Program

In May 2015 HCD issued a NOFA for federal HOME Investment Partnerships program funds, available to cities, counties and nonprofit corporations certified as Community Housing Development Organizations. In FY 2015-16 HCD awarded $24.6 million in HOME funds, assisting 333 housing units in more than 19 counties throughout California for a wide range of activities, including building, buying, and/or rehabilitating homeownership and rental affordable housing, as well as direct rental assistance.

Also in May 2015, HCD began meeting with representatives of Native American tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities to discuss tribal participation in the HOME program. In January 2016 HCD proposed regulation changes addressing tribal access to HOME funds. A public hearing and two public comment periods resulted in a proposed amendment of developers, including Native American entities being eligible for HOME project funds. Adoption of the proposed amendment is expected in January 2017.

### Technical Assistance and Outreach

**Helping applicants understand HCD’s grants and funding programs**

HCD provides significant support and assistance to those applying for state and federal housing funding. During FY 2015-16 HCD’s managers and staff conducted 30 technical assistance workshops for various grants and funding programs. HCD managers and staff also conducted numerous public hearings, stakeholder roundtable discussions, conference calls and webinars to seek input from HCD customers and advise them on how to apply for and effectively manage HCD grants and funding.
Asset Management and Compliance

Work doesn’t end after funding is awarded

HCD’s job doesn’t end once applicants are awarded funds. Once the funds are awarded the process toward construction of new affordable homes begins. HCD is responsible for ensuring that the various requirements that come along with state and federal funding are met.

The Health of HCD’s Loan Portfolio
Continues strong performance; default rate less than one percent

State and federal housing funds — both grants and loans — come with requirements, including the stipulation to remain affordable for approximately 35-55 years. HCD monitors developments to ensure they remain compliant and intervenes when they don’t, always with the goal of preserving affordable homes.

- HCD’s portfolio continues to perform well: the default rate is less than one percent. Instances of substantial noncompliance with loan contracts are comparatively rare, and typically corrected as a result of compliance activities.
- HCD currently manages approximately 1,000 affordable housing developments, consisting of 59,080 units and more than $2 billion of rental housing loans from 20 loan programs. These developments are located throughout the state, from Imperial County in the south to Humboldt County in the north.
- Ninety-six percent of the homes and apartments remained affordable from the previous year. HCD works closely with its grants and loans customers to help preserve the affordability of housing as it ages and begins to need renovation or financial restructuring.
- In FY 2015-16, HCD conducted or participated in more than 180 rental-housing monitoring visits for previously HCD-awarded housing developments.
- HCD also monitors and manages individual homeownership loans totaling approximately $57 million. This includes eight loan programs assisting more than 1,850 individual lower-income households.

Compliance Resolution

In 2015-16 HCD developed financial workout arrangements for 13 affordable rental housing developments, totaling 969 units and 41 beds that had fallen into financial difficulty and needed new management and/or financial arrangements to ensure they remained affordable. HCD also collected nearly $1.5 million from paid-off/matured loans and provided $1 million in loan extensions to troubled developments to preserve much-needed affordable homes and apartments.

Groundbreakings

HCD continues to provide support for its grants and loans customers by joining them to celebrate their successes. In 2015-16 HCD proudly stood by its customers at dozens of groundbreakings, dedications, and opening ceremonies.
Mobilehome Registration and Park Inspection

Similar to the way California’s Department of Motor Vehicles manages titling and registration for automobiles, HCD manages the titling and registration for mobile homes. HCD also protects families and individuals who live in mobile homes by inspecting mobile home parks (in areas where the local government has not assumed enforcement), and further protects consumers by enforcing regulations for those who build and sell mobile homes.

In FY 2015-16, HCD:

- Conducted 26,153 construction inspections of and complaint investigations of mobile home parks, mobile homes, and manufactured homes.
- Registered and/or titled 45,470 mobile homes.
- Served 23,273 walk-in customers.

Increased Public Safety via Access to Utilities

Gas and electricity are essential to protecting families from the summer heat and the winter cold, to take warm showers, and to keep the lights on. Unfortunately, many mobile home park residents depend on unreliable systems for their gas and electricity, putting their safety at risk. In 2015-16, HCD, in partnership with the California Public Utilities Commission, launched the Mobilehome Parks Utility Upgrade program, a pilot program converting unreliable electric and natural gas systems to a direct-service system, increasing public safety in 57 mobile home parks.

More Efficient Customer Call Center

To better serve its mobilehome customers, HCD upgraded its call distribution service to an automated system to quickly direct customers to the appropriate HCD staff and significantly reduce call wait times. Following the upgrade, call wait times were reduced from an average of 13 minutes to six minutes. The call abandonment rate also decreased from approximately 40 percent down to 22 percent.
Building Codes and Standards

HCD protects the health and safety of Californians by enforcing standards for housing construction and maintenance, including standards for farmworker housing and manufactured/factory-built homes. HCD also proposes general building standards for construction to the California Building Standards Commission and trains local government inspectors who inspect for health and safety code violations. HCD creates specialized standards for CALGreen and universal design, which ensures housing is accessible to all, including seniors and people with disabilities.

In FY 2015-16, HCD:

- Facilitated code amendments to enable the installation of electrical vehicle-charging stations in newly constructed homes and apartments.
- Completed a three-year code adoption process to amend standards for construction of new homes and apartments, as well as hotels, and motels in California, including two emergency rulemaking efforts to assist with drought mitigation.

Case Study: Factory-Built Housing (Sacramento)

Just one block from Capitol Park, Eviva Midtown features excellent proximity to mass transit and downtown Sacramento amenities such as restaurants, museums, art galleries and nightlife. This unique modular building comprises five floors of condominium-style rental units in a six-story structure with parking provided below grade and behind commercial spaces on the ground floor. HCD’s Factory-Built Housing Program provided oversight within the factory to ensure codes were followed.

The parallel path system of factory-built development saves significant time and money; while the housing units are being completed in the controlled environment of the manufacturing facility, the on-site construction, foundation, and other site improvements can be prepared and installed. Factory-built modules are often delivered to the project site with move-in-ready interiors, requiring little more than exterior finishing and utility hook-ups after being placed on-site.

Employee Housing Report

Health and Safety Code Section 50408 requires that HCD conduct an annual evaluation of the enforcement of the building standards published in the California Building Standards Code related to employee housing. HCD has met this requirement and the report is being issued as a separate document and is available on HCD’s website (www.hcd.ca.gov). (Note: HCD’s Employee Housing Report and Annual Report will be combined in 2016-17.)
As a basic human need, housing is one of the most-important parts of any community, and how we plan for housing has wide-reaching impacts on the environment, education, health, and the economy. HCD plays a critical role in the housing-planning process, which was designed to ensure that communities plan housing that meet the needs of everyone in California's communities.

HCD works with each region to determine the region's housing need, and then reviews every city and county's housing plan (the "housing element" of the general plan) to determine whether or not the plan complies with state law. For most jurisdictions housing elements are due every eight years. Each submission period is referred to as a housing-element planning cycle. California is currently in the fifth planning cycle, and all housing elements are now due.

There are 538 total cities and counties in California that are required to submit housing elements. As of the end of FY 2015-16, HCD reviewed fifth-cycle housing elements for 508 of the State's jurisdictions.

### Housing Element Compliance by California Cities and Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT COMPLIANCE STATUS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In (Jurisdiction has submitted and been found in compliance)</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>85.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out (Jurisdiction has submitted and been found out of compliance)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Submitted (Jurisdiction has not submitted)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Review (Jurisdiction has submitted, but a determination has not yet been made)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong> 538</td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Housing-Element Technical Advisory Group

In an effort to improve how local governments prepare housing elements – specifically how they engage public participation and determine feasibility of using non-vacant and small sites for housing – HCD completed nearly a dozen meetings between 2015 and 2016 through the Housing Element Technical Advisory group. A final report summarizing the group's findings will be published in 2017.
Jurisdictions are also required to submit annual updates on their progress toward implementing the policies in their housing elements. These reports are referred to as Annual Progress Reports (APRs). California’s 121 charter cities are exempted from this requirement, but many still submit APRs.
Policy and Research

HCD develops policies that support housing and community development and conducts research and analysis of California's housing markets and needs.

Creating Reports and Resources

HCD produces California's Statewide Housing Plan (required by state law), California's "Consolidated Plan" (required for California to receive millions of federal dollars for housing and community development), and other special reports. During FY 2015-16, HCD produced the draft 2025 Statewide Housing Plan for expected release in FY 2016-17.

Redesign of Emergency Solutions Grant

Following two-and-a half years of work, in FY 2015-16 HCD released a redesigned state Emergency Solutions Grant program (ESG). The updated program better aligns with the federal Homeless Emergency Assistance and Transition to Housing Act and increases coordination of state investment, federal investment, and local systems that address homelessness. HCD shared the redesigned program’s changes via roundtable meetings with regional bodies that coordinate homelessness efforts (continuums of care) and webinars. HCD prepared the 2016 ESG application and rating tool for scoring the applications. In May 2016 HCD released an ESG NOFA for approximately $20 million, and subsequently, made awards in September 2016 (FY 2016-17).

Interagency Policy Work

Land-Use and Community Development in Climate-Adaptation Strategy

HCD served as the lead in the new land-use and community-development sector of Safeguarding California, California's climate adaptation strategy, and continues to be lead in ongoing implementation.

HCD also contributed to a number of other interagency projects involving the role of housing and land use in supporting and advancing critical state policy priorities. These range from working as a member of the Climate Action Team on the update of the AB 32 Scoping Plan, Health in All Policies Task Force workgroups, the Sustainable Freight Action Plan relative to community impact, and serving on the project review teams for research projects sponsored by the Transportation Research Group of the California Air Resources Board, Caltrans, the California Energy Commission, and Office of Planning and Research.
Transforming Lives: I’m the First in My Family to Attend College
Buena Vista

Farmworkers are key contributors to California’s economy, but often struggle to find an affordable place to live. Crystal, her twin sister, and their parents live at Buena Vista, single family homes built for seasonal, migrant farmworkers.

After moving through a series of crowded motel rooms during early childhood, Crystal remembers the first morning she woke up in her own home at Buena Vista in 2004.

"The first thing my sister and I did when we woke up was we went running to the front window. We looked out and we saw kids playing outside. We got really excited."

HCD provides grants to local government agencies to operate Buena Vista and 23 other developments affordable to migrant farmworkers. The grants help keep rents affordable, so families like Crystal's can focus on the future and, in Crystal's case, college. Due in large part to their safe, stable, and affordable home, their future is looking bright.
Statutory Basis of This Report

This report is produced in response to several statutory mandates. The primary requirement is Health and Safety Code Section 50408:

(a) On or before December 31 of each year, the department shall submit an annual report to the Governor and both houses of the Legislature on the operations and accomplishments during the previous fiscal year of the housing programs administered by the department, including, but not limited to, the Emergency Housing and Assistance Program and Community Development Block Grant activity.

(b) The report shall include all of the following information:
   (1) The number of units assisted by these programs.
   (2) The number of individuals and households served and their income levels.
   (3) The distribution of units among various areas of the state.
   (4) The amount of other public and private funds leveraged by the assistance provided by these programs.
   (5) Information detailing the assistance provided to various groups of persons by programs that are targeted to assist those groups.
   (6) The information required to be reported pursuant to Section 17031.8.
   (7) An evaluation, in collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs, of any program established by the department pursuant to Article 3.2 (commencing with Section 987.001) of Chapter 6 of Division 4 of the Military and Veterans Code.
   (8) An evaluation of any program established by the Department to meet the legal requirements of the Federal Housing Trust Fund program guidelines.

Items (b)(1) through (b)(5) and (b)(7) are included in this report.

Section 17031.8, cited in subdivision (b)(6), describes information from the HCD’s Employee Housing Act regulatory program, administered, and reported separately, by HCD’s Division of Codes and Standards.

This report also includes six-month implementation reports on new state-enacted programs, as required by Government Code Section 11017.5. AB 90 of 2015 authorized the department to administer federal Housing Trust Fund monies; detailed rules for this new program are under development.

This report also includes annual information on Proposition 1C housing bond expenditures in as required by Government Code Section 16724.4:

16724.4. Any state bond measure approved by the voters on or after January 1, 2004, shall be subject to an annual reporting process, as follows:

(a) The head of the lead state agency administering the bond proceeds shall report to the Legislature and the Department of Finance no later than January 1, 2005, or the January 1 of the second year following the enactment of the bond measure, whichever is later, and at least once a year thereafter. The annual report shall contain all of the following:
   (1) A list of all projects and their geographical location that have been funded or are required or authorized to receive funds.
   (2) The amount of funds allocated on each project.
   (3) The status of any project required or authorized to be funded.
(b) Costs of the report may be included in the cost of administering the bond measure unless the measure specifically prohibits those expenses.

This report also includes information on tenants in units supported by the MHP-SH, as required by Health and Safety Code Section 50675.14(f):

(a) A borrower shall, beginning the second year after supportive housing project occupancy, include the following data in his or her annual report to the department. However, a borrower who submits an annual evaluation pursuant to subdivision (c) may, instead, include this information in the evaluation:

(1) The length of occupancy by each supportive housing resident for the period covered by the report.

(2) Changes in each supportive housing resident's employment status during the previous year.

(3) Changes in each supportive housing resident's source and amount of income during the previous year.

(b) The department shall include aggregate data with respect to the supportive housing projects described in this section in the report that it submits to the Legislature pursuant to Section 50675.12.
### Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$3,566,325</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,146,464</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,728,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$7,997,808</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,410,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDLP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$19,010,597</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,139,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amador</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$406,100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,406,100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$34,465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$803,985</td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$197,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$840,555</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td></td>
<td>$197,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,410,675</td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,016,385</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,841,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,901,087</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,340,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$11,328,147</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,182,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Norte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,831,000</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,831,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,914,950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$242,024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td>$11,729,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$104,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Senior Centers, Administration, Code Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td>$11,729,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$8,111,079</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$501,775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4,301,775</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,799,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2,599,999</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inyo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDLP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$821,175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,064,977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$14,409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,898,167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Administration, Public Services, Code Enforcement, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$4,798,728</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64,847</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$222,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$372,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Activity Delivery, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4,953,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$320,015,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$56,291,020</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>New Construction; Acq/Rehab</td>
<td>$75,585,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$12,985,440</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>Active Transportation Programs, Affordable Housing Developments, Housing-Related Infrastructure, Pre-Development Costs, Transportation Related Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,201,570</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$53,542,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDLP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$90,531,730</td>
<td>1,512</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>$449,144,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$189,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,169</td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Unit Rehabilitation, Administration, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program , State Recipient Administration</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2,689,050</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3,192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$40,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$9,081</td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Code Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$322,500</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$1,097,096</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modoc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,904</td>
<td>Child Care Center and Services, Administration, Planning, Parks &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,904</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$380,789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$380,789</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$394,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program, Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$2,894,750</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td>Sidewalks, Microenterprise Loans, Grants &amp;Technical Assistance, Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance, Planning, Parks and Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td></td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$741,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,792,198</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$2,855,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2,533,948</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,855,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,617,875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance</td>
<td>$16,580,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,617,875</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,580,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$997,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,690,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$22,997,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$511,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Single Unit Rehabilitation, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$508,400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program , State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3,707,500</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,997,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,010,651</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$14,468,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$6,091,151</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,468,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$118,424</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$118,504</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,229,175</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Acquisition and Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1,729,175</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$4,623,175</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$47,646,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,206,054</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>New Construction; Acq/Rehab</td>
<td>$7,528,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,785,564</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$55,175,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$22,614,793</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$6,500,000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>Affordable Housing Developments, Housing Related Infrastructure. Transportation Related Infrastructure</td>
<td>$51,785,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,000,000</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$48,301,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$13,500,000</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,086,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$809,066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State General)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,900,000</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wastewater System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$224,145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDLP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$3,033,211</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$282,650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$282,650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$155,775</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$155,775</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$307,450</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$6,257,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,242,930</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$6,257,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,550,380</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$916,250</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$463,009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12,971,568</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$60,127,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$14,350,827</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>$60,127,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$798,557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td>Public Facilities, Water/Sewer Improvements, Public Services, Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patient Programs, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3,874,477</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,787,170</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$3,659,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,286,322</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$8,037,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9,673,492</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$11,696,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siskiyou</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,997,625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Homeownership Assistance, Administration, Parks and Recreation Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,997,625</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$333,550</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,577,535</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$8,377,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5,111,085</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>$8,377,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,013,750</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$1,792,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,013,750</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,792,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,115,850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>251</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$548,642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State General)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wastewater System, Water Well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$3,269,334</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$410,550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$251,273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$60,838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$722,658</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$905,375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,400,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40,247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,711,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$11,205,375</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40,379</td>
<td>$7,716,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program, First-Time Homebuyer Acquisition and Rehabilitation Program, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$230,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,276,593</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,339,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,507,393</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>$1,339,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$487,725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (1C)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,970,451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHP (State)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5,405,934</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,502,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS (State DGS)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$15,782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Senior Centers and Services, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,515,080</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Activity Delivery, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,544,972</strong></td>
<td><strong>119</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
<td><strong>141</strong></td>
<td>$10,502,126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16,430</td>
<td>Public Services, Administration, Code Enforcement, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program , State Recipient Administration</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,430</strong></td>
<td>$16,430</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Totals:</strong></td>
<td><strong>195</strong></td>
<td><strong>$287,684,745</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,736</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,742</strong></td>
<td><strong>696</strong></td>
<td><strong>177,543</strong></td>
<td>$854,630,520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix B: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by Funding

#### PROGRAM AND COUNTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Bond Funds - Proposition 1C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing-Related Parks Program (HRPP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$3,566,325</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$406,100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,410,675</td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,914,950</td>
<td>382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$501,775</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$821,175</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$222,400</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4,953,700</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$189,050</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$394,750</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$741,750</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$997,400</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,229,175</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$4,623,175</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$282,650</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$115,775</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$307,450</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$916,250</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$333,550</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,115,850</td>
<td>251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$410,550</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$905,375</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$230,800</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$487,725</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incentive units; Park Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total: 50</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,158,875</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,784</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office of Migrant Services (OMS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$34,465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$242,024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,064,977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$40,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$380,789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$118,424</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$809,066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$463,099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$798,557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$548,642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$251,273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,970,451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migrant Rehab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,722,192</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposition 1C Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td><strong>$33,881,067</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,784</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Veteran Housing and Homeless Prevention Program (VHHP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,146,464</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$21,728,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,016,385</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$4,841,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$11,729,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$56,291,020</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction; Acquisition/Rehab</td>
<td>$320,015,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,792,198</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$2,855,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,650,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$22,997,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,010,651</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$14,468,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,206,054</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction; Acquisition/Rehab</td>
<td>$47,646,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12,971,568</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$60,127,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,787,170</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$3,659,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,577,535</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$8,377,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,013,750</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$1,792,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>$116,102,795</strong></td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$520,239,739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposition 41 Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>$116,102,795</strong></td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$520,239,739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Bond Funds - Proposition 41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,146,464</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$21,728,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,016,385</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$4,841,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$11,729,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$56,291,020</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction; Acquisition/Rehab</td>
<td>$320,015,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,792,198</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$2,855,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,650,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$22,997,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,010,651</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$14,468,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,206,054</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction; Acquisition/Rehab</td>
<td>$47,646,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12,971,568</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$60,127,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,787,170</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$3,659,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,577,535</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$8,377,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,013,750</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$1,792,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>$116,102,795</strong></td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$520,239,739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposition 41 Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>$116,102,795</strong></td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$520,239,739</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Funds

#### Affordable Housing Sustainable Communities Program (AHSC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$7,997,808</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affordable Housing Development, Housing Related Infrastructure, Transportation Related Infrastructure</td>
<td>$28,410,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$12,985,440</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active Transportation Programs, Affordable Housing Developments, Housing-Related Infrastructure, Pre-Development Costs, Transportation Related Infrastructure</td>
<td>$75,585,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$6,500,000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affordable Housing Developments, Housing Related Infrastructure, Transportation Related Infrastructure</td>
<td>$51,785,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,483,248</strong></td>
<td>406</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$155,781,740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Drought Housing Relocation Assistance Program (DHRA)
## Appendix B: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Relocation Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>$1,700,000</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multifamily Housing Program - General (MHP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,901,087</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$17,340,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,201,570</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$53,542,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,785,564</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$7,528,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,000,000</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$48,301,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,242,930</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$6,257,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,286,322</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$8,037,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,400,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$7,711,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,276,593</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$1,339,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5,405,934</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Construction; Acquisition/Rehab</td>
<td>$10,502,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td><strong>$47,500,000</strong></td>
<td>701</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$160,560,724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Office of Migrant Services - General Fund Appropriation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,900,000</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wastewater System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wastewater System, Water Well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>$3,500,000</strong></td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Office of Migrant Services – DGS Water Conservation Grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$104,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$14,409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$9,081</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$224,145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$75,920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$60,835</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$15,782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td>19</td>
<td><strong>$511,304</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Funds Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Funds Total:</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td><strong>$80,694,552</strong></td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$316,342,464</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal Funds
## Appendix B: Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$803,985</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance, Technical Assistance, Planning</td>
<td>$197,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Norte</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,831,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Neighborhood Facilities, Administration, Senior and Children Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Senior Centers, Administration, Code Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,799,999</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,898,167</td>
<td></td>
<td>64,660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Administration, Public Services, Code Enforcement, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,169</td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Unit Rehabilitation, Administration, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$322,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, Code Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child Care Center and Services, Administration, Planning, Parks &amp; Recreation Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sidewalks, Microenterprise Loans, Grants &amp;Technical Assistance, Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance, Planning, Parks and Recreation Facilities</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,617,875</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration, For-Profit Financial Assistance</td>
<td>$16,580,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$511,700</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Unit Rehabilitation, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Facilities, Water/Sewer Improvements, Public Services, Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patient Programs, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siskiyou</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,997,625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Homeownership Assistance, Administration, Parks and Recreation Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>40,247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water/Sewer Improvements, Public Facilities, Single Unit Rehabilitation, Homeownership Assistance, Administration, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Centers and Services, Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Services, Administration, Code Enforcement, Street Improvements, Planning</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td><strong>$31,132,851</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>170,418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$16,798,317</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Acquisition and Rehabilitation Program, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Activity Delivery, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Grants and Funding Awards and Projected Production by Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM AND COUNTY</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$725,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program, Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$508,400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, First-Time Homebuyer Acquisition and Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,600,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHDO Operating Expenses, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td>First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program, First-Time Homebuyer Acquisition and Rehabilitation Program, First-Time Homebuyer Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,515,080</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State Recipient Administration, Activity Delivery, Rental New Construction Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, State Recipient Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>23</strong></td>
<td><strong>$24,573,480</strong></td>
<td><strong>333</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>110</strong></td>
<td><strong>341</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,250,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Funds Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td><strong>$55,706,331</strong></td>
<td><strong>333</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>130</strong></td>
<td><strong>170,759</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$18,048,317</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revolving Funds (established from General Fund)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predevelopment Loan Program (PDLP)</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
<th>AWARD AMOUNTS</th>
<th>HCD ASSISTED OR REGULATED UNITS</th>
<th>NEW HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>REHAB HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>OTHER ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OTHER FUNDS LEVERAGED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inyo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>237</strong></td>
<td><strong>243</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revolving Funds Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>237</strong></td>
<td><strong>243</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| All Funding Sources Total:         | **195**     | **$287,684,745** | **3,736**                       | **2,742**         | **696**             | **177,543**     |                 | **$854,630,520**  |
### Appendix C: Proposition 1C Bond Funds Overview and Accomplishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>PROGRAMS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>¹COMMITTED AVAILABLE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF AWARDS</th>
<th>HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>SHELTER SPACES</th>
<th>INCENTIVE UNITS</th>
<th>TOTAL UNITS</th>
<th>INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Homeownership Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCD</td>
<td>CalHome General</td>
<td>$348,027,165</td>
<td>$323,614,756</td>
<td>$24,412,409</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>7,904</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,904</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building Equity and Growth in Neighborhoods (BEGIN)</td>
<td>$66,972,835</td>
<td>$62,396,088</td>
<td>$4,576,747</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3,512</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Self-Help Housing Program (CSHHP)</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$8,848,555</td>
<td>$1,151,445</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affordable Housing Innovation Program (AHIP-Catalyst)</td>
<td>$70,000,000</td>
<td>$7,400,000</td>
<td>$6,100,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHIP Golden State Acquisition Fund (GSAF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,250,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHIP Local Housing Trust Fund Program (LHTF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$33,250,000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalHFA</td>
<td>California Homebuyer's Downpayment Assistance Program (CHDAP)</td>
<td>$200,000,000</td>
<td>$190,416,339</td>
<td>$9,583,661</td>
<td>29,840</td>
<td>29,840</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multifamily Rental Housing Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCD</td>
<td>Multifamily Housing Program (MHP)</td>
<td>$383,042,415</td>
<td>$365,853,315</td>
<td>$17,189,100</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5,415</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily Housing Program - Supportive Housing (MHP-SH)</td>
<td>$204,942,459</td>
<td>$185,238,891</td>
<td>$19,703,568</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2,415</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homeless Youth Housing (HY)</td>
<td>$41,957,585</td>
<td>$39,933,409</td>
<td>$2,024,176</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>634</td>
<td></td>
<td>634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCD</td>
<td>Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program (JSJFWHG)</td>
<td>$135,000,000</td>
<td>$80,709,514</td>
<td>$44,290,486</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>5,632</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Housing Assistance Program Capital Development (EHAP-CD)</td>
<td>$40,057,541</td>
<td>$30,440,187</td>
<td>$9,617,354</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2,919</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infill Infrastructure Grant Program (IIG)</td>
<td>$790,000,000</td>
<td>$712,318,386</td>
<td>$77,681,614</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>17,869</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transit Oriented Development (TOD)</td>
<td>$300,000,000</td>
<td>$284,453,208</td>
<td>$15,546,792</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5,653</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Related Parks Program (HRPP)</td>
<td>$200,000,000</td>
<td>$154,619,946</td>
<td>$45,380,054</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPCFA</td>
<td>²CALReUSE Remediation Program</td>
<td>$60,000,000</td>
<td>$55,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6,138</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>³Statewide Costs</td>
<td>$6,859,949</td>
<td>$(6,859,949)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>⁴Administration Costs</td>
<td>$185,000,180</td>
<td>$(185,000,180)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,850,000,000</td>
<td>$2,759,602,723</td>
<td>$(90,397,277)</td>
<td>31,078</td>
<td>85,661</td>
<td>2,919</td>
<td>46,501</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>2,919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Funding has been awarded but may not have been disbursed. Legislative appropriation may be somewhat higher.
²Administered by the California Pollution Control Financing Authority (CPCFA)
³Estimated costs charged by agencies other than the administering department such as State Treasurer's Office and State Controller's Office, over the life of the bonds. These amounts are held in reserve to ensure their availability.
⁴Estimated costs incurred by HCD and CalHFA over the entire life of the bonds to provide the support to the bond programs that are expended from bond proceeds. These amounts are held in reserve to ensure their availability.

1HCD is evaluating $79.5 million for funding in the coming year as follows: Housing-Related Parks Program ($34 million NOFA to be issued in 2016-17), Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and MHP-Supportive Housing ($14 million NOFA to be issued in 2016-17, plus $1.5 million in MHP/MHP-SH Prop 46 funds), Infill Infrastructure Grants (analyzing options to award $22 million), and CalHome (analyzing options to award $8 million).
## Appendix D: Proposition 46 Bond Funds Overview and Accomplishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>PROGRAMS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>TOTAL COMMITTED</th>
<th>AVAILABLE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF AWARDS</th>
<th>HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>SHELTER SPACES</th>
<th>INCENTIVE UNITS</th>
<th>DORMITORY SPACES</th>
<th>TOTAL UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCD</strong></td>
<td><strong>Homeownership Programs</strong></td>
<td>$48,185,356</td>
<td>$39,795,804</td>
<td>$8,389,552</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building Equity and Growth in Neighborhoods (BEGIN)</td>
<td>$141,814,644</td>
<td>$127,537,030</td>
<td>$14,277,614</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>4,525</td>
<td>4,525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Self-Help Housing Program (CSHHP)</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$2,146,216</td>
<td>$2,853,784</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exterior Accessibility Grants for Renters (EAGR)</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$23,816,453</td>
<td>$1,183,547</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Housing Trust Fund (LHTF)</td>
<td>$39,297,736</td>
<td>$36,715,174</td>
<td>$2,582,562</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) - Includes Nonresidential Supportive Services &amp; Downtown Rebound</td>
<td>$835,283,804</td>
<td>$785,571,192</td>
<td>$49,712,612</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>12,056</td>
<td>12,056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multifamily Housing Program - Supportive Housing (MHP-SH)</td>
<td>$195,963,349</td>
<td>$182,968,989</td>
<td>$12,994,360</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3,365</td>
<td>3,365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Other Programs</strong></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$4,587,173</td>
<td>$412,827</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Code Enforcement Grant Program</td>
<td>$175,000,000</td>
<td>$141,198,531</td>
<td>$33,801,469</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7,095</td>
<td>7,095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program (JSJFWHG)</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$21,436,975</td>
<td>$3,563,025</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joe Serna Farmworker - Migrant Farmworker Housing</td>
<td>$194,036,651</td>
<td>$173,094,310</td>
<td>$20,942,341</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>10,271</td>
<td>10,271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Housing Assistance Program Capital Development (EHAP-CD)</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$93,913,537</td>
<td>$6,086,463</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>46,877</td>
<td>46,877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Statewide Costs</strong></td>
<td>$55,265,553</td>
<td>($55,265,553)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Administration Costs</strong></td>
<td>$92,870,654</td>
<td>($92,870,654)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>HCD Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,799,581,540</td>
<td>$1,789,769,930</td>
<td>$9,811,610</td>
<td>1,381</td>
<td>33,106</td>
<td>33,106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **CalHFA** | **Homeownership Programs** | $9,207,882 | $9,207,882 | 528 | 528 |
| | Mortgage Insurance Program | $50,000,000 | $51,688,031 | 10,660 | 10,660 |
| | School Facility Fee Down Payment Assistance Program (SFF) | $25,000,000 | $22,641,802 | 1,891 | 1,891 |
| | Extra Credit Teacher Home Purchase Program (ECTP) | $11,150,000 | $8,288,525 | 484 | 484 |
| | Homeownership in Revitalization Areas Program (HIRAP) | $148,182,097 | $147,317,081 | 18,558 | 18,558 |
| | California Homebuyer's Downpayment Assistance Program (CHDAP) | $10,418,460 | $10,933,000 | 408 | 408 |
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### Appendix D: Proposition 46 Bond Funds Overview and Accomplishments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>PROGRAMS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>COMMITTED AVAILABLE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF AWARDS</th>
<th>HOUSING UNITS</th>
<th>SHELTER SPACES</th>
<th>INCENTIVE UNITS</th>
<th>DORMITORY SPACES</th>
<th>TOTAL UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Development Loan Program (RDLP)</td>
<td>$46,460,021</td>
<td>$38,600,211</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Statewide Costs</td>
<td>$8,932,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Administration Costs</td>
<td>$13,460,843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalHFA Grand Total</td>
<td>$300,418,460</td>
<td>$311,069,375</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33,133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Funding has been awarded but may not have been disbursed. Legislative appropriation may be somewhat higher. CalHFA has committed all original Total Available funds.

2Estimated costs charged by agencies other than the administering department such as State Treasurer's Office and State Controller's Office, over the life of the bonds. These amounts are held in reserve to ensure their availability.

3Estimated costs incurred by HCD and CalHFA over the entire life of the bonds to provide the support to the bond programs that are expended from bond proceeds. These amounts are held in reserve to ensure their availability.

4CalHFA program Commitments are higher than Total Available (amounts in italics) as awards were made from repaid funds.
Appendix E: Cumulative Proposition 1C Award Amounts by County
Appendix G: HCD Programs (Active and Non-Active)

Active in FY 2015-16

Affordable Housing Innovation Fund — Golden State Acquisition Fund (bond funds): Makes loans to housing sponsors and developers through a designated nonprofit fund manager to provide quick acquisition financing for the development or preservation of affordable housing.

AHSC: Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program. Administered by the Strategic Growth Council, and implemented HCD, AHSC funds land-use, housing, transportation, and land preservation projects to support infill and compact development that reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Funding is provided Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds.

CalHome: CalHome program (General Funds and bond funds). Makes grants to local public agencies or nonprofits, which use them to fund single-family development projects, first-time homebuyer assistance and owner-occupied rehabilitation.

CDBG: The Community Development Block Grant Program (federal funds) provides benefits through HCD to non-entitlement cities and counties. Awards grants for housing, infrastructure, public improvements, public facilities and public services, as well as business development, hiring assistance and economic development planning in rural communities.

DHRA: The Drought Housing Relocation Assistance Program (General Funds) is the result of the 2014 legislation and Executive Order B-29, dated April 1, 2015 under Assembly Bill 93 that authorized $6 million for relocation, and temporary rental assistance to those persons moving from current residences which lacks reasonable access to potable water as a result of the drought.

DHRS: The Drought Housing Rental Subsidies Program (State funds) is the result of 2014 legislation under Senate Bill 104/Assembly Bill 80 that authorized $10 million for rental assistance to areas with severe economic drought impact.

ESG: The Emergency Solutions Grants program (federal funds), formerly the Federally Emergency Shelter (ESG) program. HUD restructured ESG in 2012 to incorporate features of the temporary Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) to increase emphasis on assisting people to quickly regain stability in permanent housing after experiencing a housing crisis and/or homelessness.

FESG: The Federal Emergency Shelter Grant Program (federal funds); superseded by ESG, financed emergency shelters, supportive services and transitional housing for homeless individuals and families.

HOME: Home Investment Partnerships program (federal funds). Assists cities, counties and nonprofit community housing development organizations (CHDOs) to create and retain affordable housing.

HRPP: Housing-Related Parks Program (bond funds). Creates incentives and rewards local government for building affordable housing with grant money for much needed parks in their communities, to be administered by HCD’s Division of Housing Policy Development (HPD).

IIG: Infill Infrastructure Grant Program (bond funds). Provides grants for development of public infrastructure projects that facilitate or support infill housing construction.
MHP: The Multifamily Housing Program (General Funds and bond funds) finances the new construction, rehabilitation and preservation of permanent and transitional rental housing for lower income households.

MHP-SH: The Multifamily Housing Program – Supportive Housing Component (General Funds and bond funds) provides low-interest loans to developers of permanent affordable rental housing with a minimum percentage of units with associated supportive services for persons with disabilities.

MPROP: Mobilehome Park Resident Ownership Program (state funds) finances the preservation of affordable mobilehome parks by conversion to ownership or control by resident organizations, nonprofit housing sponsors, or local public agencies.

OMS: The Office of Migrant Services (General Funds, State funds, bond funds and federal funds) finances the operation of affordable seasonal rental housing and support services for migrant farmworker families during the peak harvest season.

PDLP: The Predevelopment Loan Program (state funds) provides predevelopment capital from a revolving special fund to finance the start of low-income housing projects in rural areas.

TOD: The Transit Oriented Development Housing Program (bond funds) was created by Proposition 1C to provide funds to develop or facilitate higher density housing and mixed-use developments within one-quarter mile of transit stations and to encourage increased public transit ridership.

VHHP: Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program (bond funds). Administered by HCD in collaboration with CalVet and the California Housing Finance Agency, VHHP funds affordable rental housing for veterans and their families.

Non-Active (Legacy) Programs

AHIF: The Affordable Housing Innovation Fund, created by Proposition 1C and fleshed out by Chapter 652 of the Statutes of 2007 (SB 586). AHIF includes several programs to demonstrate innovative, cost-saving approaches to creating or preserving affordable housing, including new activities and the revival of the Local Housing Trust Fund program. The currently active programs are:

AHIF Local Housing Trust Fund Program (bond funds): Makes grants to existing and new local housing trust funds dedicated to create or preserve affordable housing.

AHIF Catalyst Community Grant Program (bond funds): Makes grants to designated local Catalyst Communities to encourage and support the development of sustainable communities with strategies to improve transportation choices, reduce environmental hazards and increase energy conservation.

BEGIN: The Building Equity and Growth in Neighborhoods program (bond funds). Offers incentives to cities and counties to reduce regulatory barriers to new affordable ownership housing, in the form of financing for local government-administered down-payment assistance loans to qualifying first-time low- and moderate-income buyers of homes in projects facilitated by local regulatory barrier reduction.

CalHome PDL: CalHome Project Development Loans (bond funds). Part of the CalHome program that makes loans directly to developers for real property acquisition, site development, predevelopment, construction period expenses of homeownership development projects, or permanent financing for mutual housing and cooperative developments.

CSHHP: The California Self-Help Housing Program (General Funds and bond funds) funds programs that advise low and moderate-income families that build their homes with their own labor.

DRI/DREF: Disaster Recovery Initiative/Disaster Recovery Enhancement Fund program (federal funds), a “last resort” resource for recovery from damages suffered in 2008 wildfires in parts of California. HCD distributed DRI
funds in 2010-12. DREF is a supplemental allocation added in 2011. Fourteen counties and two Native American tribes were eligible to apply.

**EHAPCD:** The Emergency Housing Assistance Program - Capital Development (General Funds and bond funds) funds the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation or expansion of homeless shelter facilities and transitional housing.

**EZ:** The Enterprise Zone Program (State tax incentives) offers State and local tax and regulatory incentives to encourage business investment and the hiring of disadvantaged individuals in Enterprise Zones (economically distressed areas designated by the State) throughout California.

**FTHB:** First-time homebuyer assistance, usually in the form of grants for down payment assistance or below-market-rate mortgage loans.

**FWHG:** Farmworker Housing Grant Program (General Funds and bond funds; see Serna or Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program).

**GHI:** Governor’s Homeless Initiative (bond funds) was a program that reduced homelessness by funding the development of permanent supportive housing for persons with severe mental illness who were chronically homeless.

**HPRP:** Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (federal funds) was incorporated into the ESG program in 2012 (see ARRA).

**JSJFWHG:** Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program (General Funds and bond funds; see Serna or FWHG).

**JHB:** Jobs Housing Balance (bond funds). Principle of land-use planning that seeks to reduce vehicle trips by locating housing near jobs that will employ the residents. See the Workforce Housing Reward Program (WFHP).

**LHTF:** Local Housing Trust Fund Matching Grant Program (see AHIF).

**MHP-HY:** The Multifamily Housing Program – Homeless Youth Component (bond funds) provides low-interest loans for affordable rental housing developments that contain units for homeless youth who are emancipated minors, those who are at least 18 years old, homeless or at risk of homelessness, no longer eligible for foster care on the basis of age, or who have run away from home.