

Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention (HHAP) Grant Program

Submission ID NOFA-HHAP00019

Applicant Information

Eligible Applicant Name:

Eligible Applicant Name Response: Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, Tuolumne

Counties CoC

Eligible Applicant Type:

Eligible Applicant Type Response: Continuum of Care

COC Number:

COC Number Response: 526

Eligible Applicant Email:

Eligible Applicant Email Response: dcloward@atcaa.org

Eligible Applicant Phone:

Eligible Applicant Phone Response: (209) 419-3993

Administrative Entity Name and Address:

Administrative Entity Name and Address Response:

Amador Tuolumne Community Action Agency

10590 California 88 Jackson, CA 95642

<u>Is This a Government Entity?</u>

Is This a Government Entity Response: Yes

Primary Contact Information

Primary Contact Name:

Primary Contact Name Response: Denise Cloward

Primary Contact Email:

Primary Contact Email Response: dcloward@atcaa.org

Primary Contact Phone:

Primary Contact Phone Response: (209) 419-3993

Authorized Representative Contact Information

<u>Authorized Representative Name:</u>

Authorized Representative Name Response: Joseph Bors

<u>Authorized Representative Email:</u>

Authorized Representative Email Response: ibors@atcaa.org

Eligible Representative Phone:

Eligible Representative Phone Response: (209) 223-1485

Applicant Redirections?

Applicant Redirections Response:

Applicant Redirections Response: Yes

Redirection Eligible Applicant 1:

Redirection Eligible Applicant 1 Response: Amador

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 1:

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 1 Response: County

Redirection Eligible Allocation 1:

Redirection Eligible Allocation 1 Response: \$\\$106,764.00

Redirection Eligible Applicant 2:

Redirection Eligible Applicant 2 Response: Mariposa

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 2:

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 2 Response: County

Redirection Eligible Allocation 2:

Redirection Eligible Allocation 2 Response: \$\\$29,934.00

Redirection Eligible Applicant 3:

Redirection Eligible Applicant 3 Response: Calaveras

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 3:

Redirection Eligible Applicant Type 3 Response: County

Redirection Eligible Allocation 3:

Redirection Eligible Allocation 3 Response: \$92795

Total Redirection Allocation:

Total Redirection Allocation Response: \$229493

1. Homelessness Response System Gaps Assessment

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- 1. A narrative description of the most recent assessment process used to determine local gaps in housing services for persons experiencing homelessness in the applicant's community. Information should include but is not limited to:
 - a. How data collection methods were used to determine gaps (ie: HUD's homeless Point-in-Time count, Continuum of Care Housing Inventory Count, Longitudinal Systems Analysis, and Stella tools, HMIS Annual Performance Reports for Emergency Shelter (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), as well as any recently conducted local needs assessments);

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1a - Begin Answer The Central Sierra CoC faces significant challenges in its work to prevent and end homelessness across its four counties-some unique to rural communities, and others shared by CoC's across the country. These difficulties and resource gaps have been identified in an effort to inform the goals and strategies included in this plan. They have been outlined below in four categories. Crisis Response; relating to the CoC's crisis response system and capacity for assisting households at-risk of and currently experiencing homelessness. It is imperative that people understand the risk of homelessness, and the relative fragility of many households' housing situations. Households at risk of homelessness are often one paycheck, utility bill, or medical bill away from an eviction. Those with untreated behavioral health needs may be forced to leave a housing unit due to related behaviors and risks. For those households that do enter the homeless crisis response system, the availability and access to safe shelter and outreach services are essential.

- Current Resource Gaps and Challenges:
- Lack of emergency shelter beds for people in crisis
- Need for increased homeless outreach services
- Need for additional facilities for people who are experiencing homelessness- e.g. showers, laundry facilities, warming/cooling centers
- Need for additional prevention and diversion services
- Urban competition for affordable housing

Housing; relating to the availability of both public and private housing resources. Each of the four counties that make up the Central Sierra CoC are experiencing a severe lack of safe, affordable housing for households at-risk of and experiencing homelessness, particularly those extremely and very low income households. The long term success in addressing homelessness throughout the CoC will involve both increasing the affordable housing stock throughout the CoC and obtaining additional rental subsidies for eligible households.

Current Resource Gaps and Challenges:

- Lack of available housing inventory
- Lack of housing affordable for people with low or ELI incomes
- Lack of PSH for people with physical and mental health disabilities
- Short-term rental assistance for households

Supportive Services; relating to the availability and access to services and other supports that aid households in their ability to maintain housing successfully. Supportive services are often the key to ensuring that households at-risk of homelessness are able to maintain their housing and avoid entry in to the crisis response system. Similarly, supportive services also serve as the primary mech-anism for ensuring that formerly homeless households are able to successfully maintain housing.

Current Resource Gaps and Challenges:

• Need for improved and increased employment services System-level; relating to challenges experienced at the systems or community level that likely ex-pand beyond the homeless services system. These challenges may involve systems of care other than the homeless crisis response system, and are likely bigger than any one project or resource.

Current Resource Gaps and Challenges:

- Need for increased participation in HMIS among community partners
- Need for increased and improved communication around resource access
- Stigma and discrimination hamper increased activities and services in some neighborhoods
- Lack of engagement with Tribal communities

- Need for more affordable public transportation options
- Lack of sufficient funding and staffing resources to support housing and services needs in the CoC.

Strategy 6: Use data to lead planning and programming priorities.

Action Steps:

- A. Ensure that HMIS is able to generate reports to help the CoC and counties monitor progress on systems performance measures, and other reports as requested to allow the CoC to make meaningful planning decisions. Reports should be available on the program level and systems level.
- B. Create a mechanism to collect data on potential "previous homelessness" in the absence of a statewide HMIS data warehouse, and incorporate this information into SPM calculations.

 C. Continue to work with other California CoCs to develop the
- D. Target one or two SPMs to improve in the next year. Reducing first-time homelessness should be prioritized as one of the measures to target.
- E. Pilot an expansion of data collection to Health and Human Services agencies and hospitals.

Upon review of the pilot's effectiveness, consider further expansion to other medical settings as

a means to collect data on high utilizers of emergency services.

F. Continue to encourage participation in HMIS for all homeless service providers- not just limited to those receiving CoC or ESG funding.

(Please see attachment) CA-526 Strategic Plan (page 9-11, 16) for additional information.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1a - End Answer

b. How people with lived experience of homelessness had ongoing meaningful and purposeful opportunities to participate in and inform the most recent gaps assessment and how they have meaningful opportunities to inform all levels of system planning over time:

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1b - Begin Answer CENTRAL SIERRA CONTINUUM OF CARE:

GOVERNANCE CHARTER: ATTACHMENT A

Stakeholder Categories for CSCoC General Membership

- 1. Domestic Violence Advocates
- *2. Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons
- 3. Local Government Staff/Officials
- 4. Mental Health Service Organizations
- 5. Mental Illness Advocates

data warehouse.

Affordable Housing Developers

- 7. Agencies that serve Survivors of Human Trafficking
- 8. Other homeless subpopulation advocates
- Street Outreach Teams
- 10. Substance Abuse Advocates
- 11. Substance Abuse Service Organizations
- 12. Local Jail
- 13. LGBTQ+ Service Organizations
- 14. EMS/Crisis Response Teams
- 15. Nonprofit Homeless Assistance Providers
- 16. Faith-Based Organizations
- 17. Businesses
- 18. Public Housing Agencies
- 19. School Districts
- 20. Social Service Providers
- 21. Mental Health Agencies
- 22. Hospitals
- 23. Universities
- 24. Law Enforcement
- 25. Organizations that Serve Veterans
- 26. CSCoC Funded Victim Service Providers*
- CSCoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations*

All counties have Homeless task forces developed to engage previously or current homeless populations.

CA-526 has voices and contributing members of formerly homeless participating on both General Membership and Sub Committee work.

Task Force Committees in all 4 Counties have voices of those with lived experience participating in ongoing planning. CA-526 has those voices as well in multiple areas sharing peer support efforts and skils.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1b - End Answer

c. How organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC and may not be a part of the homelessness provider community had meaningful and purposeful opportunities to participate in and inform the most recent gaps assessment and how they will be engaged in system planning over time;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1c - Begin Answer: Racial disparities continue to be minimal and outreach programs and materials are created

to reach all populations across the foothill rural regions. How we will use our DATA: • Continue to use demographic

data from the most recent census to map the racial profile of our communities and, compare it to HMIS data to

understand who is experiencing homelessness at disproportionate rates in our community. • Identify system wide goals to drive progress toward equity and identify data points to measure progress toward those goals. • Map the points of entry and precipitating causes of homelessness and assess whether there are significant differences among people of color. • Continue to use data to increases outreach in rural areas. • Regularly review data, assess impact, and refine and strengthen strategies and activities. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT • We will continue engage local stakeholders, leaders, culturally specific organizations, faith based groups, social service agencies, landlords, housing providers, the school systems, and people of color with lived experiences of homelessness to discuss racial disparity in their rural communities. • Share successful strategies and insights with community partners. Create outreach materials, fair housing trainings and other appropriate materials to match local trends and needs. CA-526 advertises annually as mandated by HUD to invite new members and advertise to gain additional interested parties and service providers, centralsierracoco.org CA-526 welcomes all service providers of homeless and housing to join and participate in the Central Sierra CoC. Advertisements ongoing and annual efforts are included in each PIT count across the region. Local Housing Authority participates in CA-526 Additional outreach efforts in FY 20/21 to include new Native American tribal council members not part of the current Governing Board. All funded providers in each County understand CES, CA-526 Written Standard Guidelines, Housing First Mandates, and CoC participation protocols must be met or established before funding is awarded. RFP process if fair and

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1c - End Answer

standards and delivering funding dedicated to the appropriate

contracts are awarded to those meeting these

eligible activities.

d. How gaps were assessed for special populations such as families, youth, victims of domestic violence, seniors, persons who have been convicted of a crime, persons with a disability, persons who are chronically homeless, persons with HIV/AIDS, persons who are LGBTQ, veterans, persons with limited English proficiency, and persons who are undocumented;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1d - Begin Answer All PIT/HIC/CES data analyzed by sub committee (minimally bi annually) Modified version of VISPADAT in CES identify Cultural and Linguistic Competency

All service providers administering assessment shall use culturally and linguistically competent practices. Assessments shall include trauma-informed culturally and linguistically competent questions for special subpopulations, including immigrants, refugees, and other first-generation subpopulations; youth; households fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; and LGBTQ households.

Marketing materials are written to be sensitive to minority racial and ethnic groups in the community. Where possible, materials shall be translated by someone who is local and fluent in the language, as culture and language can differ across communities within the same racial or ethnic group.

Fair and Equal Access

Accessibility to Local Subpopulations

The following adaptations to the assessment process may be utilized to address negative impacts experienced by some subpopulations:

- Progressive and phased assessment Some subpopulations might benefit from being assessed in phases, as engagement could be difficult because such households are reluctant to share information. Their reluctance could be a result of trauma and building their trust can take time.
- Trauma-informed assessment protocols A trauma-informed assessment approach is a best practice that is used universally with all subpopulations regardless of the household's history.
- Trauma-informed training for service providers All service providers are trained in how to conduct assessments with victims of domestic violence or sexual assault to reduce the chance of retraumatization.
- Safety planning Service providers are trained on safety planning and other next-step procedures if the assessment uncovers safety issues in situations such as domestic violence, sexual assault, child abuse or neglect, stalking, and trafficking.
- Private space for assessments The assessment space and experience is designed to allow households to safely reveal sensitive information or safety issues. The space allows for both visual and auditory privacy, and the CSCoC's policies and procedures allow service providers to gather information from each adult in the household in separate interviews, where appropriate. Sensitive information might include the disclosure of mental illness, physical disabilities, gender identity, or abuse.

- Skip-logic for unnecessary or irrelevant assessment questions –
 Assessment questions may be adjusted to be appropriate for specific subpopulations; for example:
- o For unaccompanied youth aged 17 or younger, questions for veterans can be eliminated.
- o For men, questions regarding pregnancy and prenatal care can be eliminated.
- Accessible language Assessment instructions and questions for children and youth shall reflect their level of development and be administered in a culturally competent manner.
- Translation services Multiple language options are available.
 Physical Accessibility

All households in the CSCoC's geographic area have fair and equal access to the coordinated entry process, regardless of where or how they present for services. Fair and equal access means that households can easily access the coordinated entry process, whether in person, by phone, or some other method, and that the process for accessing help is well known.

To ensure accessibility to households in need, the CES provides access to projects from multiple, convenient physical locations. Households may initiate a request for services in person through any of the designated HUB Stations or through additional housing community service providers. All HUB Stations are ADA compliant, can assist with disabilities and language barriers that may be present, and have personnel trained in HMIS and the CES. Some HUB Stations are specifically designated for veterans, but households with veterans may utilize any HUB Station available. Households requiring additional communication services such as braille, hearing assistance, sign language interpretation, etc. may be assisted through:

- Amador County Health and Human Services Agency
- Calaveras County Health and Human Services Agency
- Mariposa County Health and Human Services Agency
- Tuolumne County Health and Human Services Agency Please see CA-526 CES Polices attached.

Gaps for services are also reviewed via financial and ongoing funding plans to ensure no gaps in services to those prioritized for projects.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1d - End Answer

e. How racial or ethnic disparities in the delivery of homeless services were assessed;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1e - Begin Answer Outcomes by race and ethnicity attached.

Racial disparities continue to be minimal and outreach programs and materials are created to reach all populations across the foothill rural regions. How we will use our DATA: • Continue to use demographic data from the most recent census to map the racial profile of our communities and, compare it to HMIS data to understand who is experiencing homelessness at disproportionate rates in our community. • Identify system wide goals to drive progress toward equity and identify data points to measure progress toward those goals. • Map the points of entry and precipitating causes of homelessness and assess whether there are significant differences among people of color. • Continue to use data to increases outreach in rural areas. • Regularly review data, assess impact, and refine and strengthen strategies and activities. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT • We will continue engage local stakeholders, leaders, culturally specific organizations, faith based groups, social service agencies, landlords, housing providers, the school systems, and people of color with lived experiences of homelessness to discuss racial disparity in their rural communities. • Share successful strategies and insights with community partners. Create outreach materials, fair housing trainings and other appropriate materials to match local trends and needs. Educating tenants through financial classes and other methods of printed materials. Verifying all housing programs have housing first and other written materials. All needs are assessed during HMIS/CES/Planning Meetings including open marketing and ongoing review of CES Main HUB stations for intake/access issues or complaints. CA-526 has current participation from LGBTQ Veterans, and all HUB stations are ADA, language line assessable.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1e - End Answer

f. How frequently gaps assessments are conducted;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1f - Begin Answer Gaps analysis are conducted annually, bi- annually at minimum in Gov Charter rules. CES Sub Committee also review all areas of Intake/assessment and waiting lists to review full demographics and barriers. Funding charters both as a CoC and each Community are reviewed as well to prioritize certain projects for ongoing funding. Crisis response systems in each community have identified gaps, they are similar across the rivers, affordable units, low barrier, transportation, and ongoing purchase or enticing large building projects to the region.

g. How findings are used to make informed decisions for funding projects within the community; and

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1g - Begin Answer RFP Process for funding projects through CoC are rating and ranked according to current community needs and scored accordingly. Making sure the minimal funding in rural areas is dedicated to those projects serving a gap or population not currently served. Using the CES and waiting lists are also used to understand each community and timely placements and service gaps. As a rural region we continue to have strong collaborative efforts in streamlining services across the rivers (CA-526 written Standards) this ensures we utilize funds collaboratively. Monday CES Housing Determination Committee calls also connect all Counties for services and ongoing communication of funding and projects open or coming up in need.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1g - End Answer

h. How the applicant will conduct ongoing system performance evaluation to ensure the impact of HHAP-2 funds throughout the spending period and determine if adjustments are needed to address gaps in the homelessness response system.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1h - Begin Answer Funded projects that are selected through the RFP process are held to both financial time lines and outcome measures detailed in the application submission process. HMIS and financial reporting is submitted to the Administrative Entity as draw downs occur to ensure expenditures and time lines are on target. Excel financial information on all CoC/State funds are then shared with the CA-526 Governing Board for ongoing review. If projects will not be spending out, the funding is reallocate back through the RFP process to ensure all community allocations are utilized.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1h - End Answer

- 2. The most recent gaps assessment that was conducted and the date in which it was completed. Reports can be attached, but all applicants must summarize main findings within this section. Reports submitted without a summary will not be accepted. Summaries must include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Current number of people experiencing homelessness in the community including demographic information, and the existing

programs and funding which address homelessness within the jurisdiction;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2a - Begin Answer CA-526 Current PIT attached for review including demographics broken out by County. Amador currently has funding for these existing projects. ES, PSH, HSP, FSP, ESG-HP/RR, DV/ES, HHS HA, Non Congregate ES, Veteran VASH PSH. Amador continues to need single shelter beds, additional street outreach, and PSH units. Calaveras funding includes: HSP, RR, ESG/CESH HP, HEAP, HHAP, FSP, COC PSH. Calaveras needs an emergency shelter for singles, a street outreach program, and PSH units. Mariposa, Bringing families home, ESG RR/HP, CESH HP, HSP, FSP, COC PSH, ES, Whole person care. Mariposa needs additional units for PSH and families w children. Tuolumne County, HDAP, ESG RR/HP, CDBG HHS, ES, FSP, Whole Person Care, CESH HP. Tuolumne needs additional single beds for homeless, PSH units, and all Counties need affordable housing growth of any kind. 2019 was CA-526 last sheltered/unsheltered count. CA-526 Sheltered and unsheltered by County below Amador sheltered Households = 19 HH, 34 people Unsheltered = 130 HH 180 People Calaveras shelted Households=5 HH ,10 People Unshelter =121 HH 176 People Mariposa sheltered Households = 20 HH, 30 People Unsheltered= 21 HH ,30 People Tuolumne County Households = 36 HH,84 People Unsheltered= 255 HH 301 People total CA-526 80 Households 158 people 527 HH 687 People Race White 642 Black African American 17 Asian 6 American Indian 34 Hawaiian 5 Multiple races 37 Race unknown 104 unaccompaniedHomeless youth = (18-24 years) 47 Parenting youth

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2a - End Answer

b. Data and qualitative information showing any gaps or disparities in access to services, delivery of services, and housing placement and housing retention outcomes for special populations such as families, victims of domestic violence, seniors, youth and young adults, persons who have been convicted of a crime, persons with a disability, persons who are chronically homeless, persons with HIV/AIDS, persons who are LGBTQ, veterans, persons with limited English proficiency, and persons who are undocumented;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2b - Begin Answer CES access open to all populations. CES showing longer placement periods, single individuals needing RR as units are scarce and families are prioritized by both service providers and landlords. Retention outcomes show seniors and families with better outcomes due to stronger wrap around efforts and services in community. Permanent coc/whole person care showing increasing positive outcomes with singles and those with BH addiction issues. All CES HUBS have language lines to continue the minimal need for service calls coming into foothill region. More units of all kinds needed to place those struggling to find affordable housing. Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2b - End Answer

c. Data and qualitative information showing any racial or ethnic disparities in access to services, delivery of services and housing placement and housing retention outcomes of homeless services;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2c - Begin Answer Racial disparities minimal as region shows on outcomes worksheet. All CES HUBs in each of the 4 Counties, Amador/Tuolumne County ATCAA- Calaveras/ Mariposa Health and Human Services fair, equal transparent access points of service. Data shows no retention or placement differences due to minimal diversity issues. Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2c - End Answer

d. Any other disparities that were found in the delivery of homelessness services including rates of successful permanent housing placements, and housing retention rates;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2d - Begin Answer HSP families with more than two case workers from multiple agencies have better retention rates as barriers and triggers are met. Local hotels and motels with overnight security function more smoothly for both singles and families during emergency placements.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2d - End Answer

e. Using the Service Gap Analysis Chart below, identify which areas of the local homelessness response system (e.g. shelter, rental subsidies, supportive housing) have gaps in resources based on the needs of people experiencing homelessness in the community.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2e - Begin Answer Shelter beds needed for singles in all counties as current HIC shows lack of beds available. Ongoing RR needed for those literally homeless. PSH needed for those with ongoing supportive services required. Clients prioritized through BH and connected to multiple agencies for these services. Street outreach efforts would serve all counties better as homeless populations and encampments grow. Prevention efforts needed currently and expected to rise in coming year due to COVID related matters.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2e - End Answer

Table: SERVICE GAP ANALYSIS

| | Total # of Clients Currently Needing This Service | Total # of Clients Currently Receiving This Service | Remaining Need |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|
| Interim Housing/Shelter Beds | 847 | 133 | 714 |
| Rental Assistance | 847 | 447 | 400 |
| Supportive Housing (Permanent) | 125 | 15 | 110 |
| Outreach | 847 | 260 | 587 |
| Prevention/Diversion | 480 | 105 | 375 |

2. Regional Resources Planning

When working within the homelessness system, it is critical to assess the current resources available within the community to ensure any new resources are most effectively and efficiently targeted to best serve people experiencing homelessness within the community. Given the high number of people experiencing homelessness in California and the unprecedented amount of federal and state funds available to address homelessness, HCFC expects applicants to coordinate all available funding to safely shelter and permanently house as many people experiencing homelessness in the applicant's community as possible, with a particular focus on rehousing individuals currently living in Project Roomkey (PRK) sites.

HCFC requires all HHAP-2 applicants to complete a <u>Homelessness Response</u> <u>Local Investment Plan</u> (Appendix A) that lists all regional resources used to address homelessness. The document includes different interventions within the homelessness system. For each intervention, please tell us:

- The funding source(s) used to assist in the delivery of the intervention. If several funding sources are used, please list them in order of highest amount of funding used for the intervention to the lowest;
- If the funding source is covered by more than one applicant (i.e. County and Continuum of Care) please list separately. Do not combine allocations; and
- When referencing units of measurement, please reference service basis unit of measurement. Example: \$500,000 in funding provided RRH to approximately 20 households over XX period of time.

In addition to filling out the <u>Homelessness Response Local Investment Plan</u> document, applicants must answer the following narrative question that will support the information provided:

1. What efforts are made to coordinate all available local, state and federal funds that can address homelessness in the applicant's community?

Regional Resources Planning Question 1 - Begin Answer Each county utilizes many local rural funding sources to leverage all projects and keep a functioning crisis response system. CA-526 financial committee and current Administrative entity share funding excel sheets and projects with all CoC members. This is both for educational purposes and to make sure outcomes, expense timelines, and reporting is on track. Homeless task forces in each county also meet and explore new project ideas and funding gaps. This brings in new leadership to each county on homeless issues and educates citizens on current projects delivered. HHS/Community action agencies, all local housing providers discuss

funding opportunities and State HEAP/HHAP are competitively distributed effectively to ensure prioritization goals are met.

Regional Resources Planning Question 1 - End Answer

3. HHAP-2 Funding Plans

When planning how to target new HHAP-2 resources, it is essential to do so in direct response to the gaps assessment and current regional investment planning described in Sections 1 and 2. HHAP-2 funding plans must state the specific gaps that will be addressed with these new funds and provide sufficient detail to ensure that any selected projects will effectively meet identified gaps. HCFC expects applicants to clearly understand and identify the intervention types requiring funding prior to initiating their local project selection processes.

Applicants must identify and describe each intervention type they intend to fund with their HHAP-2 grant and how much of their HHAP-2 funding they intend to focus on that intervention type. Applicants must also describe how investing in the requested interventions will meet the previously identified needs of their community.

Intervention Types are broken into six categories: (1) Outreach; (2) Interim Housing; (3) Rental Assistance; (4) Permanent Supportive and Service-Enriched Housing; (5) Diversion and Homelessness Prevention, and (6) Services.

Applicants should utilize the HHAP-2 <u>Application Guidance</u> document for detailed information on how these interventions can be implemented, how they work together, and how HCFC recommends prioritization and utilization of these interventions.

In addition to providing information on how the applicant intends to utilize their HHAP-2 funds on specific interventions, applicants must also outline the amounts they intend to allocate to the eligible uses that will support the interventions throughout the duration of the grant period.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- Using the <u>Funding Plan Template</u> (Appendix B) and <u>Expenditure Plan Template</u> (Appendix C), provide detailed information for each of the intervention types and eligible uses being proposed for HHAP-2 funding. (NOTE: Specific project information for the intervention types identified will be requested at a later date once the local selection process has been completed.)
- 2. Describe how the applicant intends to prioritize funding towards local Project Roomkey permanent housing pathways. If an applicant does not intend to prioritize funding in this way, they must explain what other resources have been identified to meet this need locally to ensure that households staying at Project Roomkey sites move to permanent housing and do not return to unsheltered locations or congregate settings.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 2 - Begin Answer

Mariposa: HHAP-R2

Mariposa County continues to partner with the local non-profit, Alliance for Community Transformations, in the management & operations of an emergency shelter-first model. This low-barrier Navigation

Center/Homeless Shelter, Connections, needs continued support in order to provide essential services and programming operations to their clients. With functions such as safe support for pets, possession storage, and onsite intake & case management services, this emergency shelter continues to meet the needs of the street homeless population in Mariposa County year-round.

While other models are continuously being explored, such as scatter site housing, permanent supportive housing, transitional housing, and landlord engagement, the lack of available housing in Mariposa County continues to be a significant challenge in providing stable housing solutions. Mariposa roomkey funds awarded but not in play in the community at this time.

Calaveras: Using a Progressive Engagement model, all households will be served with necessary housing needs kept in mind. This model encourages case manager engagement with the household to ensure housing success. This model also encourages the household to stay connected with available resources in the community to ensure gaps are filled for their needs. Progressive engagement encourages the household to become self-sufficient in a shorter period that other case management approaches. The two case managers engage each of the households and completes assessments to determine the level of need the household has. Assistance in form of rental assistance, utility assistance, and/or deposits are then paid for on behalf of the household. In some events, funding for a few as two months can be provided. Calaveras will use RR/HP/ Shelter division, and HMIS funding. Collaborative efforts with Sierra Hope to CoC /ESG/ HOPWA funding and services. (MOU with ATCAA and HHS)

Amador County: Has current ES and DV ES projects funded for service. Local Hospital funded non-congregate project due to COVID Response requested and very limited State COVID funding. Amador to continue newly developed street outreach services, and build on tiny cabin project funded in R1. RR to move those in units to permanent placements, and increasing services coordination efforts.

Tuolumne: Previous Room Key project closed, temp Vouchers used in local hotels currently in collaboration with City, County, ATCAA to place families (HSP) ESG- ESG-CV RR to permanent solutions. Local ES is CES/HMIS lead, prioritizing those currently homeless for services. Non congregate projects have good connections with hotel /motels leads to ensure best

cost deals. All ES, COVID homeless entered into CES for prioritization and need to ensure timely response.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 2 - End Answer

3. Describe the activities budgeted for grant administration. In the applicant's response, describe the overall grant administration staffing plan to accomplish the applicant's goals and activities. Provide information on roles that will be responsible for ensuring the successful execution of HHAP funded projects.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3 - Begin Answer
Grant submissions, reporting, HMIS, , CoC Lead, General oversite,
management, coordination, monitoring.
HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3 - End Answer

Descriptions should include but are not limited to:

a. The number of full-time employees (FTE) or percent of time per FTE that will be employed by the applicant dedicated to the execution of HHAP-2.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3a - Begin Answer 20% Housing Director, 20% CoC Manager, 20% Deputy Director, 10% Fiscal officers, 10% HMIS Management.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3a - End Answer

Existing staff positions that will be leveraged to fulfill this need.
 HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3b - Begin Answer
 Director, Deputy Director, CoC Lead Manager, Fiscal officer, HMIS
 Manager.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3b - End Answer

4. In what ways the applicant's jurisdiction is leveraging the adult system to serve youth and in what ways the homelessness response system has been or will be adapted to youth; and how the applicant will use HHAP-2 funding to ensure youth can access services and that targeted spending meets their needs.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 4 - Begin Answer
Youth set aside to include RR, SO, HP, ES.
CA-526 CES is modified to include homeless youth 18-24 and referrals to additional programs in their communities that can assist. Homeless liaisons and or youth services connected to task forces in all 4 Counties as of 2020.
2019 PIT count shows minimal but noticeable rise in youth. Each County works with funded partners and task force members to strengthen these

services. Tiny cabins in Amador will have a unit dedicated to youth, staff has 15 plus years experience with foster youth and IDA program through united way. Calaveras works closely w their school district on placement of youth and connections through HHS. Tuolumne has Youth service funded w HHAPr1 under HHS and foster services. Connections include very strong collaboration w robust ATCAA youth services in schools and community. Mariposa has liaison on task force for assistance and connections.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 4 - End Answer

5. Describe how the applicant will incorporate meaningful collaboration with individuals that have lived experience being homeless throughout funding planning, design, implementation, and evaluation.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 5 - Begin Answer
Those with lived experience are part of all task forces in counties and CA526 membership. All committee work and other community work is shared
with those that share a voice of previous homeless challenges.
HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 5 - End Answer

4. HHAP-2 Goals

Creating performance targets that can be clearly measured every year ensures a commitment to locally shared goals. Utilizing the local needs assessment, applicants must identify the areas of impact to be targeted by HHAP funds in Section 3: HHAP-2 Funding Plans, and in this section must present specific and measurable goals for those investment areas. These goals should inform how applicants design HHAP programming and should be stated in the local selection process funding announcements and subcontracts so that local applicants and the selected subrecipients understand program expectations.

HHAP Programmatic Goals

HHAP statute mandates that applicants set goals related to the total number of individuals served and, of those served, the number who will be successfully placed in permanent housing due to HHAP-2 investments.

For interventions funded with HHAP, applicants must show how their jurisdiction plans to use their HHAP investment to meet statutorily-required goals by providing the following in the table below: (1) number of individuals that currently need this intervention; (2) number of households expected to be served, annually and over the entire grant period; and (3) number of households expected to be placed into permanent housing, annually and over entire grant period.

Note: identified need below should be the same as the numbers indicated in Section 1.

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Permanent Supportive and Service-Enriched Housing

| | FY 21/22 | FY 22/23 | FY 23/24 | FY 24/25 | FY 25/26 | Total # |
|--|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention | 0 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | Total # |
| # of individuals expected to be served by HHAP-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| # of individuals expected to be placed into permanent housing through HHAP-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Rental Assistance

| | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | Total # |
| Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention | 1210 | | | | | |
| # of individuals to be served | 35 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 105 |

| # of individuals to be placed into | 35 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 105 |
|------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| permanent housing | | | | | | |

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Interim Housing

| | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 | 24/25 | 25/26 | Total # |
| Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention | 487 | | | | | |
| # of individuals to be served | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 320 |
| # of individuals to be placed into permanent housing | 40 | 32 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 112 |

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Diversion and Homelessness Prevention

| | FY 21/22 | FY 22/23 | FY 23/24 | FY 24/25 | FY 25/26 | Total # |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total # of individuals that currently need equity this intervention | 520 | | | | | |
| # of individuals to be served | 11 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 38 |
| # of individuals to be placed into permanent housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Outreach

| | FY 21/22 | FY 22/23 | FY 23/24 | FY 24/25 | FY 25/26 | Total # |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention | 847 | | | | | |
| # of individuals to be served | 85 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 255 |
| # of individuals to be placed into permanent housing | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Services

| | FY 21/22 | FY 22/23 | FY 23/24 | FY 24/25 | FY 25/26 | Total # |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| # of individuals to be served | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| # of individuals to be placed into permanent housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In addition to setting clear goals on numbers served and numbers moved into permanent housing, applicants must also provide the following:

1. Any additional systemwide goals the applicant's jurisdiction and/or region has identified and the metrics used to evaluate progress towards those goals.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

Strengthening Data Collection and obtaining new trained entry providers

– this will be measured by the number of persons/households entered in

HMIS and data quality reviews.

Services – brining together all county departments under HHS, more training wrap around services connections.

Development partnerships for housing development (ADU) – Although HHAP will not be funding this goal.

Permanent Supportive Housing – continuing to develop partnerships with housing developers to venture into a partnership to develop permanent supportive housing.

Add additional shelter beds for single individuals 3 of 4 counties. CES/PIT shows singles highest population with limited beds. Add additional beds or units of any kid for permanent and emergency placements.

Add street outreach services through local current providers or other current transient outreach service providers to create pathways to services.

All county homeless plans attached show metrics and charts proving these needed services in each community.

Metrics include: current homeless plans in all communities showing gaps and needs, CES waiting lists for placements, HIC, PIT, expenditure deadlines for all projects funded, goals in application submitted for funding.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

2. An update on systemwide goals identified in HHAP-1 and explanation of any goal modifications made in response to changing needs.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

ESG-CV and CDBG-CV funding will be used to secure gap in upcoming rental costs and mortgages shortages and needs. HHAP R-1 has had no modifications due to just recent funded contracts completed after competitive process. All project funded were prioritized to meet local need and gaps. If projects are not spent timely or do not meet goals in applications submitted, they are re evaluated and funding is moved back through County/ Coc to ensure allocation is used in full. Goals include targeting single individuals, adding any type of additional beds in any of

the 4 rural communities, adding additional street outreach efforts and support to those literally homeless.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

3. At least one clear, measurable performance goal related to how HHAP-2 funding will address racial disparities identified in the jurisdiction's homelessness response system.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

CA-526 Committee meeting held 4/28/2021: Goal

Region will target employers to provide access to newly developing local street outreach programs to migrant farmers, laborers for construction, seasonal employees and part time service industry employees with language appropriate information (via chromebook electronic lanaguge line) or hand out materials.

These targeted measures will connected homeless /at risk needing housing services immedicate traslaton into the CA-526 Coordinated Entry System

We anticipate to serve an additional 30 homeless clients that do not consider English their first language within the contracted period. These encounters will increase responses measures leading to successful placement from street outreach.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

4. At least one clear, measurable, youth-specific performance goal related to HHAP-2 investments, including an explanation of how the applicant's jurisdiction accounts for the unique service needs of youth when determining how to set performance targets for youth set-aside funding interventions.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

One goal of rating and ranking specific to youth alone has been established within the CA-526 HHAP R2 process.

Goal established Per CA-526 Sub Committee meeting held 4-28-2021: 25% of youth and parenting youth (18-24) entered into CES will be placed into supportive housing programs for stability; of that number 10% will achieve permanent housing within the grant cycle.

We anticpate this will increase our SPM of lowering the number of persons who become homeless for the first time.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

5. Local Project Selection Process

Applicants may choose (though they are not required) to contract with local nonprofits and service providers to administer the services detailed in their HHAP-2 Funding Plan. When contracting for services, applicants shall select qualified service providers that provide services which match the needs of the local population of people experiencing homelessness and which are HHAP-eligible activities that are in line with the applicant's HHAP-2 Funding Plan.

HCFC encourages applicants to consider how these funds are accessible to smaller and non-traditional organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or been a part of the homeless provider community.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

1. An explanation of how HHAP-2 funds will be distributed and whether a local project selection process will be utilized to select subcontractors.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1 - Begin Answer
Our local selection process includes requiring applicants be members in
good standing with our Central Sierra Continuum of Care - or to invite new
non-members to apply they will agree to become members of the
CSCoC in good standing. A Review and Rank Committee of the CSCoC
developed the RFP and Scoring process. Unconflicted members of the
Review and Rank Committee are responsible for reviewing and ranking
projects and submitting recommendations to the CSCoC Governing
Board. The Governing Board will approved applicants and the
Administrative Entity will contact with approved subcontracting agencies.
Local Project Selection Process Question 1 - End Answer

Will subcontractors be utilized? Yes

- a. If the applicant is not utilizing a local selection process, please include the following in the explanation:
 - i. Description of why this is the best funding plan for the community; and
 - Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i. Begin Answer Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i. - End Answer
 - ii. Description of how applicants will ensure equitable access to services funded.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i.i. - Begin Answer Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i.i. - End Answer

- b. If the applicant is utilizing a local selection process, please include the following in the explanation:
 - i. What is the process and timeline for project selection?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i. - Begin Answer The HHAP Round 2 RFP will be available to the public by February 2, 2021. The RFP will be on the CSCoC website; distributed to all members of the CSCoC; sent to County offices for distribution. The RFP responses are due to the CSCoC Coordinator by March 1, 2021. The Review and Rank Committee will, through electronic meetings, deliberate and rank all applications. Results from the Review and Rank Committee will be submitted to the CSCoC Governing Board by March 31, 2021. The Governing Board will approve projects and the Administrative Entity will enter into contracts with successful agencies.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i. - End Answer

ii. How will the applicant encourage new partners to participate?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i. - Begin Answer Our CSCoC will allow for non-CSCoC members to respond to the HHAP Round 2 RFP by agreeing to become members of the CSCoC if funded and adhere to all CSCoC requirements regarding participation in HMIS and Coordinated Entry System. If a new agency does meet the threshold regarding audit requirements, they may be sponsored by a CSCoC member in good standing for the first year. This will allow our CSCoC to reach out to the community and engaged with new partners.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i. - End Answer

iii. How will people with lived experience of homelessness, including youth with lived experience, have meaningful and purposeful opportunities to shape the selection process and funding decisions?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i.i. - Begin Answer

Members of our CSCoC Review and Rank Committee include persons who are homeless or have experienced homelessness. Our CSCoC partners with the four County Homeless Task Forces that include participation of homeless or previously homeless adults and youth. Our CSCoC, through our Strategic Plan, include data

obtained from homeless or previously homeless adults and youth - and encourage the homeless youth be served over and above the requirement percentage with the HHAP Round 2 funding.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i.i. - End Answer

iv. How will the applicant promote equity and ensure underrepresented communities can be competitive applicants for funding?

Local Project Selection Process Question b.i.v. - Begin Answer Through stipulations for non-CSCoC members and new organizations responding to the RFP, we will seek to encourage underrepresented communities (such as the faith community; local new organizations; and organizations service underrepresented populations) to respond to the RFP. Scoring will include opportunities for non-CSCoC and/or new agencies to include letters of support from existing CSCoC members in good standing; from County offices, and from each of the county Homeless Task Forces.

Local Project Selection Process Question b.i.v. - End Answer

2. Describe how systemwide collaboration would occur among homelessness service providers and other social safety net providers. How do these collaborative efforts help minimize or avoid the duplication of service and effort?

Local Project Selection Process Question 2 - Begin Answer Using the CSCoC as the guiding clearinghouse entity for the RFP and responses, our efforts ensure we will encourage collaboration (points in the scoring process) and avoid duplication of service and effort by matching the existing services being provided in each county with a gaps analysis as reflected in our CSCoC Strategic Plan. Each County in region has funding plans reviewed and full picture of overlapping services in crisis response system. Written standards continue to streamline services and delivery across CoC.

Local Project Selection Process Question 2 - End Answer

In addition to the narrative questions above, check all box(s) that apply to the applicant's community:

Table – Local Project Selection Process Assessment

LOCAL PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS ASSESSMENT (check all that apply)

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will clearly define for potential subcontractors which types of projects will be prioritized for funding and which needs identified in the needs/gaps assessment are intended to be met by funding such projects. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will prioritize programs that address the disproportionate impacts that homelessness and COVID-19 have on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, Native and Indigenous communities. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will remove barriers to competitive participation by applicants representing marginalized communities.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will use objective criteria to evaluate projects for funding.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The objective criteria used to evaluate projects will include data on past performance related to the proposed activity (for example, an existing rapid rehousing provider applies to provide rapid rehousing services with HHAP funds and provides HMIS data to show a history of positive outcomes)

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The objective criteria used to evaluate projects will allow applicants applying to perform services not previously performed by their organization, to provide other data or outcome results to support their competency to perform the proposed activity.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will have provisions to allow for innovation, while balancing the need for data and performance-based decision-making. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will be posted publicly on a platform that is accessible to the public.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will consider the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of the proposed target population in its objective criteria – and aligns its prioritization of these needs with the needs identified through the community needs assessment process.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will avoid conflict of interest.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will only fund programs that follow a Housing First approach.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will include people with lived experience to have meaningful and purposeful opportunities to inform and shape all levels of planning and implementation.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

6. Racial Equity Efforts

HHAP-2 applicants should prioritize the advancement of racial equity at every level of the homelessness response system. Applicants must be actively involved in their homelessness response systems, facilitating partnerships among service organizations, and promoting racial equity practices. Applicants must respond to disproportionality in access to services, service provision, and outcomes. Applicants cannot simply rely on delivering a standardization of services to address equity. Applicants have the responsibility to examine their data to ensure all eligible persons receive equitable services, support, and are served with dignity, respect, and compassion regardless of circumstances, ability, or identity.

HCFC encourages applicants to consider how these funds are accessible to smaller and non-traditional organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or been a part of the homeless provider community, and how these funds would address the organizational capacity of organizations that are led by Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous people that support the goal of reducing and ending homelessness.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- Using the <u>Racial Demographic Data Worksheet</u> (<u>Appendix D</u>), please provide the Continuum of Care Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity.
- Describe how the local homelessness response system or projects the applicant is planning use policy and practices to ensure equal access and non-discrimination when serving prospective and new program participants.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 2 - Begin Answer CENTRAL SIERRA CONTINUUM OF CARE:

NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY

Approved: ___

BACKGROUND

The Central Sierra Continuum of Care (CSCoC) is required to develop and operate a coordinated entry process that permits recipients of Federal and state funds to comply with applicable civil rights and fair housing laws and requirements. Recipients and subrecipients of CSCoC Program and Emergency Services Grant (ESG) Program funded projects must comply with the nondiscrimination and equal opportunity provisions of Federal civil rights laws as specified at 24 CFR 5.105(a), including, but not limited to the following:

• Fair Housing Act prohibits discriminatory housing practices based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, or familial status;

- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance;
- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance; and
- Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits public entities, which includes state and local governments, and special purpose districts, from discriminating against individuals with disabilities in all their services, programs, and activities, which include housing, and housing-related services such as housing search and referral assistance. Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits private entities that own, lease, and operate places of public accommodation, which include shelters, social service establishments, and other public accommodations providing housing, from discriminating on the basis of disability.

In addition, HUD's Equal Access Rule at 24 CFR 5.105(a) (2) prohibits discriminatory eligibility determinations in HUD-assisted or HUD-insured housing programs based on actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status, including any project funded by the CSCoC or ESG. The CoC Program interim rule also contains a fair housing provision at 24 CFR 578.93. For ESG, see 24 CFR 576.407(a) and (b).

The CoC Program interim rule at 24 CFR 578.93(c) also requires recipients of CSCoC Program funds to affirmatively market their housing and supportive services to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, or disability whoo are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach., and maintain records of those marketing activities. Housing assisted by HUD and made available through the CSCoC must also be made available to individuals and families without regard to actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status in accordance with 24 CFR 5.105(a)(2). Nondiscrimination and affirmative outreach requirements for the ESG program are located at 24 CFR 576.407(a) and (b).

The policy of CSCoC is to provide equal opportunity and equal consideration to all peoples without regard to race, religion, ancestry, national origin, color, creed, sex, age, physical disability, marital status, sexual orientation, or public assistance status.

DELIVERY OF SERVICES

The CSCoC, its member agencies, its recipients of CSCoC or ESG funds, and its Coordinated Entry System agents and partners shall not discriminate or treat unequally or unfairly in the delivery of services any person because of race, religion, ancestry, national origin, sexual orientation, or sex; and will comply with all federal, state, and local anti-discrimination laws.

AFFIRMATIVE MARKETING & OUTREACH

The CSCoC, its member agencies, its recipients of CSCoC or ESG funds, and its Coordinated Entry System agents and partners shall affirmatively market access Coordinated Entry (and as a result to the housing and services available through Coordinated Entry System agents and partners shall affirmatively market access

Coordinated Entry (and as a result to the housing and services available through Coordinated Entry) to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, or disability who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach, and maintain records of those marketing activities.

OBLIGATION TO INFORM

The CSCoC, its member agencies, its recipients of CSCoC or ESG funds, and its Coordinated Entry System agents and partners shall inform in plain writing all persons seeking services of these policies and the process for filing a nondiscrimination complaint.

REPORTING A NON-DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT

At any time during the coordinated entry process, applicants for housing or services have the right to file a complaint, should they feel that the non-discrimination principle has been violated. All applicants, whether individuals or families, will be provided with the process for filing a complaint. All complaints will be addressed and resolved in a timely and fair manner.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 2 - End Answer

3. How does the applicant's grant making process and/or funding decisions include prioritization of programs that are addressing the disproportionate impacts that homelessness and COVID-19 have on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander and Indigenous communities?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 3 - Begin Answer

Racial disparities continue to be minimal with in foothill rural regions and outreach programs and materials are created to reach all populations across the foothill rural regions. DATA: • Continue to use demographic data from the most recent census to map the racial profile of our communities and, compare it to HMIS data to

understand who is experiencing homelessness at disproportionate rates in our community. • Identify system wide goals to drive progress toward equity and identify data points to measure progress toward those goals. • Map the points of entry and precipitating causes of homelessness and assess whether there are significant differences

among people of color. • Continue to use data to increases outreach in rural areas. • Regularly review data, assess

impact, and refine and strengthen strategies and activities. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT • We will continue

engage local stakeholders, leaders, culturally specific organizations, faith based groups, social service agencies,

landlords, housing providers, the school systems, and people of color with lived experiences of homelessness to

discuss racial disparity in their rural communities. • Share successful strategies and insights with community

partners. Create outreach materials, fair housing trainings and other appropriate materials to match local trends and

needs. Educating tenants through financial classes and other methods of printed materials. Verifying all housing

programs have housing first and other written materials. COVID emergency Funding awarded throughout CA-526

priorities COVID positive, contact traced individuals, and those elderly or those with co morbidities. All referral

come/came through local Public Health Dept to ensure collaborative measures.
Other agencies connected to

clients in non congregate settings to ensure CES entry ASAP, Food delivers if needed, and ongoing connections

into needed services in that community.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 3 - End Answer

4. How are the voices of Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander and Indigenous communities being developed as central in creating effective approaches to reducing and ending homelessness?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 4 - Begin Answer

CoC governance structure includes permanent seats for individuals with

lived experience of

homelessness.

CoC governance structure includes seats for representatives from Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific

Islander, Native and Indigenous communities.

Grantee funding applications review panel/body include permanent seats for individuals

with lived experience of homelessness. Those previously homeless participate in all CoC matters and sub-

committees of their choice. Tribal service providers present to general membership and share all information on

programs available. CES includes questions pertaining to tribal services for streamlined connections to all services.

Governance Charter includes instructions on all those who chose to participate including Governing Board and

General Membership instructions. CA-526 welcomes all people to participate in our local Housing Continuum from across the region.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 4 - End Answer

5. Does the applicant have a strategy to expand the reach of funding to underserved and marginalized communities and non-traditional providers who can reach and serve disproportionately impacted communities? If so, please describe.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 5 - Begin Answer

Central Sierra CoC welcomes all service providers of homeless and housing to join and participate in the Central Sierra CoC.

Advertisements ongoing and annual efforts are included in each PIT count across the region. Local Housing

Authority participates in CA-526 Additional outreach efforts in FY 20/21 to include new Native American tribal

council members not part of the current Governing Board. All funded providers in each County understand CES,

CA-526 Written Standard Guidelines, Housing First Mandates, and CoC participation protocols must be met or

established before funding is awarded. RFP process if fair and contracts are awarded to those meeting these

standards and delivering funding dedicated to the appropriate eligible activities.

Amador and Tuolumne Tribal Housing service providers have given

multiple presentations to CA-526 members including Board. Amador Mi wuk has representation on our ATCAA

Board. Many service providers connected within CA-526 work collaboratively to move clients needing services into

housing projects as requested. CES is offered to all clients needing assistance and main HUBs are used to bring all

clients in through a fair and equal process. Housing Service Providers and those working main CES lines are

familiar with all local service providers and offer appropriate referrals as needed either through HMIS or other

methods to ensure warm hand off is offered.

Central Sierra Collaborates with both Tribal service providers in

both Amador and Tuolumne Counties. Connections to Housing include participation in the CoC membership, Tribal

Counsel on ATCAA Board, and Housing Coordinators using CES to connect clients to best available services in

their county of need. Collaborative efforts to share income guidelines and all services provided through Tribal

Housing is updated and shared often.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 5 - End Answer

 Describe how the priority population(s) learn about and enter local homelessness programs, including marketing and communication strategies used.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 6 - Begin Answer

Central Sierra CoC (CSCoC) and recipients of CSCoC Program funding are required to affirmatively market housing and supportive services projects to eligible households who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach. This is regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, marital status, handicap, actual or perceived sexual orientation,

or gender identity. To ensure the coordinated entry process assists CSCoC and ESG Program recipients in meeting this requirement, the CSCoC developed an affirmative marketing strategy for its coordinated entry process as evidenced by written policies and procedures, centralsierracoc.org

Advertisements of CES include written flyers and documents, approved by the CSCoC, explaining the CES process, characteristics, and guidelines.

Advertisements may be used in local newspapers, on local radio stations, or on local television stations in the service area.

Resources and information about CES are provided to local businesses, hospitals, places of worship, schools, law enforcement, and other places the targeted population receives assistance or services.

CSCoC and ESG funded street outreach efforts are linked to the coordinated entry process. The coordinated entry process is linked to street outreach efforts so that households sleeping on the streets are prioritized for assistance in the same manner as any other household assessed through the coordinated entry process.

CSCoC incorporates assessment in part or whole into street outreach. The CSCoC meets HUD's requirement that coordinated entry reach the entire geographic service area by designating

outreach as a defined access point, one that can flexibly navigate to reach homeless households wherever they reside.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 6 - End Answer

7. How is the applicant making community project grants accessible to smaller organizations that have historically served communities of color, but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or as a part of the "homeless provider" community?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 7 - Begin Answer

All HHAP funded projects are made available to community service providers meeting the minimum grant requirements to include HMIS/CES and reporting data needs. Funds are shared throughout CA-526 and regional homeless task force members. Request for proposals are released for reply and FAQ are collected and shared. Rating and ranking is completed through the sub committee and final applications are delivered to CA-526 Governing Board for final approvals and awards.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 7 - End Answer

8. How does the applicant partner with organizations that are addressing racial equity in the housing and homelessness response system?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 8 - Begin Answer

Working closely with the local Community Action Agencies within the four-county region of the CSCoC we encourage and include all efforts to alleviate barrier addressing racial equity in the housing and homelessness response system. Through our HMIS system and CES (Coordinate Entry System) we

acknowledge challenges faced by those facing racial inequality and work to ensure those issues are clearly addressed and resolved in our CES Written Standards and CES Policies and Procedure. Together with our CSCoC Strategic Plan we include language to discourage racial and social inequity and promote social and racial equity and justice.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 8 - End Answer

9. How will the applicant ensure that racial disparities are addressed with this funding?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 9 - Begin Answer

Included in the RFP process is language that was developed to ensure addressing any racial disparities, especially in rural areas, and requiring a statement by applicants to do all they can to reduce racial disparities and improve racial and social equity and justice. CA-526 will agree to participate in State offered TA efforts to enhance future racial equities throughout the region.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 9 - End Answer

In addition to the narrative questions above, check all boxes that apply to the applicant's community:

Table – Racial Equity Assessment

RACIAL EQUITY ASSESSMENT (check all that apply)

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have a racial equity policy within the organization I work for.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We collect racial, ethnic and linguistic data on clients and constituents outside of HMIS.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We provide language interpreter/translator services for people who speak languages other than English.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We collect data on service-user or constituent satisfaction with our organization regarding racial equity.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have formal partnerships with organizations of color.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We allocate resources for engagement and outreach in communities of color.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Racial equity and cultural competency training are offered to employees within the applicant's organization.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We meet regularly with leaders from communities of color specifically to discuss racial equity within the homelessness system.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We analyze to assess whether equitable access to new and existing shelter facilities is being provided to people of color, especially Black, Latinx, and Indigenous populations most

impacted by homelessness, and examine data to determine if there are other disparities to be addressed, such as by age, ethnicity, disability, gender status, family composition, etc.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have convened and actively engage with a lived experience board that represents the population served.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We ensure strategies and communications efforts have broad geographic reach, including into rural areas and in support of Tribal communities.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Providers and front-line staff reflect the people they serve including the necessary language skills to serve sub-populations.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have access to data on racial/ethnic disparities to guide our planning and implementation of HHAP funding.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Our work includes performance measures to determine how well we are doing to address racial disparities.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have developed and implemented a plan to address racial

disparities in the homelessness response system.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We host or participate in trainings dedicated to improving equitable outcomes.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

7. Regional Collaboration and Partnerships

HHAP program funds are intended to support regional coordination and expand local capacity to address homelessness. Demonstration of how jurisdictions have coordinated and will continue to coordinate with other jurisdictions is a critical factor of funding. With HHAP-1 funding, applicants were required to partner with other applicants to make collective funding decisions for their communities. HHAP-2 funding should increase and improve those partnership efforts.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants must provide the following:

1. Describe the process by which neighboring HHAP-funded jurisdictions are coordinating together to address homelessness, including funding collaboration and coordination, peer learning, and data sharing.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 1 - Begin Answer CA-526 has a strong collaborative CoC that includes 4 large rural Counties. Central Sierra has a guiding document, CA-526 Strategic plan and each County in the Central Sierra has also developed their own Strategic plans. (attached for submission) Sub Committees to the CoC work diligently to streamline all forms of services and use Written Standards and CES Polices to move delivery of services in a streamlined fashion. Each County has its own political agenda regarding Housing and Homeless, however all Counties participate in the CoC and keep connections across rivers as collaborative as possible. The region is quite large in geographic nature so sharing of services is limited. CoC Sub committee members share data and peer learning which keeps all Health and Human Services leads connected and sharing information on successful projects. Some connections made through HHAP across County lines include Job Training program efforts, all Rapid re Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing placements and prioritizations through Coordinated Entry Systems, HMIS Sub committees on Veteran services and HMIS Related Housing programs, congregations successful projects, all 4 homeless task forces developed in all 4 Counties share peer learning and successful models of housing/youth projects. Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 1 - End Answer

2. Describe the ways HCFC funding plans are coordinated with regional partners that are also receiving HCFC funding. If there are changes to the funding plans, how are partners informed of these changes?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 2 - Begin Answer 3 of our 4 Counties have chosen to redirect and move all funding through rating and ranking that includes both CoC and County participation. This

ensures all funding is awarded by priority and awarded to agencies meeting certain bench marks for ongoing community housing solutions. Funding plans from each county are reviewed bi annually as a full continuum and each county is aware of their prioritized gaps and need. Small rural regions are extremely collaborative, as we lack capacity and funding. Agencies work together with all service providers in their small rural communities to share clients and wrap around support and services. Collaborations currently working include community action/HHS CoC funded PSH/FSP with behavior health/HHAP Navigation funding, follow through RR from another agency and all data entry. HHAP transitional funding connected with County RR for permanent placements. Employment services and housing assistance funds due to COVID crisis. CA-526 has strong collaborative funding efforts in each county both financial and supportive services.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 2 - End Answer

3. Using the experience with HHAP-1 planning, describe successes that have come out of regional coordination and partnering efforts. Also, describe any barriers the applicant has experienced in working with regional partners. Explain any strategies identified that have contributed to the address these barriers.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 3 - Begin Answer County and CoC allocations encouraged education at current local Board levels in all 4 Counties. RFP process successful in showing current funded projects, gaps, and prioritized need in all communities. Successes include new partnerships in Law enforcement, stronger connections with Employment training, collaborative connections and streamline efforts with Housing Authority. Barriers include rural political push back on any projects, frustration of increasing homeless and no new affordable units developed. Bringing together new local Board leadership, experienced retired housing skilled leaders, and developers (including FEMA Managers) and HHS leadership has strengthened our presentations and educated citizens and Counties on collaborating more effectively. Connecting with State on questions and assistance with technical issues has assisted with barriers. Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 3 - End Answer

4. How will HHAP-2 funding support and scale current partnerships?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 4 - Begin Answer Current HHAP funded project Amador County- Tiny Cabin project- Possible growth or additional unit.

Tuolumne: Current Navigation Center - New FEMA trailer placements, additional funding or PSH/RR connected to project to create ongoing

permanent placement and flow of clients moving through system. Tuolumne: County possible to purchase private residence for ATCAA to operate, creating 10 new beds for single homeless. Collaborative efforts with law enforcement projects and street outreach efforts. Mariposa, continue operating only Emergency shelter in community at this time, strengthen Street Outreach and PSH services and funding. Calaveras: collaborations with Calav/Mariposa Community Action agency, Sierra Hope (HOPWA) and newly funded Transitional project (HHAP R1) Each county is very collaborative with current projects and efforts to share limited funding and resources to wrap around services and prioritize those with the highest needs. Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 4 - End Answer

5. Describe applicant's share of the regional need as well as the share of the regional need from partnering jurisdictions (CoC, County, Large City).

Describe the methodology used for determining the share of the regional need.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 5 - Begin Answer Our CoC uses total population in our region and of that, total homeless population, to determine the share of regional need. Our CoC members are also active members of each of the Homeless Task Forces. The CoC Governing Board, working with the CoC Review and Rank Committee and Governance Committee, determined by consensus the share of regional need be fair and consistent with our Strategic Plan. The share of regional need was done by formula and approved by the Governing Board (which includes representatives from leadership of each of the four counties). Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 5 - End Answer

6. Describe how HHAP-2 funds will be integrated into the current regional strategic plan to address homelessness. Has the region's strategy for use of HHAP funding changed since HHAP-1?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 6 - Begin Answer
The CoC is utilizing their approved Strategic Plan and no changes were
made to need, priorities, or desired outcomes. Deeper comparison of each
county's strategic plan with the CoC strategic plan confirmed similar
strategies of Round 1 with Round 2 HHAP funding.
Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 6 - End Answer

7. When spending plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs in the community, how are collaborative partners involved in those decisions?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 7 - Begin Answer

Spending plans are reviewed on a regular basis and involve those partners associated with each of the collaborating counties. Decisions are made at the Administrative Entity level when changes to spending do not exceed \$25,000.00. If spending changes do exceed \$25,000.00 the Administrative Entity works with the funded collaborative partner to verify consistency with all plans and meets HHAP requirements. In these difficult and challenging times (COVID) changes in needs have been addressed successfully. If other events take place that warrant changing spending plans, the CoC, Administrative Entity, and collaborative partners will proceed with caution and in compliance with all regulations.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 7 - End Answer

8. Has a youth-specific strategy been identified within the applicant's region? If so, please describe. If not, why not?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 8 - Begin Answer Yes a youth-specific strategy has been identified in our regional Strategic Plan and is represented in all documents pertaining to funding availability. The CoC has determined and included in any funding process that 8% minimum will be spent on youth-specific programs/services.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 8 - End Answer

9. Describe how youth-specific local partners are involved in making regional planning or spending decisions.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 9 - Begin Answer As active members of our CoC, we have collaborative partners focusing directly on youth-specific programs/services. Local law enforcement youth programs were established and will continue to be involved in regional planning and/or spending decisions. Becoming new members of our CoC, we welcome these youth centered entities and programs.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 9 - End Answer

8. Housing First Assessment

Housing First-oriented programs are low or no barrier and client-centered, emphasizing client-choice. Housing is not viewed as a reward or incentive for achieving specific goals or participating in a specific program, but as necessary to help a family or individual stabilize and meaningfully access services, which are offered as needed on a voluntary basis. In practice, this means that programs connect participants to permanent housing as quickly as possible with few to no preconditions, behavioral contingencies, or other barriers at enrollment or throughout the program.

Health and Safety Code Section 50220.5(g) mandates that all recipients of state homelessness funding shall comply with Housing First as provided in Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 8255) of Division 8 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to assess their current policies and check all that apply:

Table – Housing First Assessment

Housing First Assessment (check all that apply)

Housing First Assessment Statement: Access to programs is not contingent on sobriety, minimum income requirements, lack of a criminal record, completion of treatment, participation in services, or other unnecessary conditions.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Programs or projects do everything possible not to reject an individual or family on the basis of poor credit or financial history, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that are interpreted as indicating a lack of "housing readiness." Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: People with disabilities are offered clear opportunities to request reasonable accommodations within applications and screening processes and during tenancy and building and apartment units include special physical features that accommodate disabilities. Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Programs or projects that cannot serve someone work through the coordinated entry process to ensure that those individuals or families have access to housing and services elsewhere.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Housing and service goals and plans are highly client centered and driven.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Supportive services emphasize engagement and problem-solving over therapeutic goals.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Participation in services or compliance with service plans are not conditions of tenancy but are reviewed with clients and regularly offered as a resource to clients. Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of some clients' lives. Clients are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Substance use in and of itself, without other lease violations, is not considered a reason for eviction.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Clients are given reasonable flexibility in paying their share of rent on time and offered special payment arrangements for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management, including representative payee arrangements as needed.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Every effort is made to provide a client the opportunity to transfer from one housing situation, program, or project to another if a tenancy is in jeopardy. Whenever possible, eviction back into homelessness is avoided.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

9. Expenditure Plan

HHAP-2 Submission Expenditure Plan - NOFA-HHAP00019

CoC / Large City / County Name:

CoC / Large City / County Name Response: Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, Tuolumne Counties CoC

<u>Administrative Entity Name:</u>

Administrative Entity Name Response: Amador Tuolumne Community Action Agency

Receiving Redirected Funds?

Receiving Redirected Funds? Response: Yes

<u>Total Redirected Funding:</u>

Total Redirected Funding Response: \$229,493.00

Table – HHAP Funding Expenditure Plan – Eligible Use Categories and Funding

| | FY 20/21 | FY 21/22 | FY 22/23 | FY 23/24 | FY 24/25 | FY 25/26 | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Rapid Rehousing | \$59,196.11 | \$47,670.11 | \$36,146.11 | \$12,146.11 | \$12,146.11 | | \$167,304.55 |
| Rapid Rehousing: Youth Set-Aside | \$7,157.60 | \$7,157.60 | \$7,157.60 | \$1,157.60 | \$1,157.60 | | \$23,788.00 |
| Operating Subsidies and Reserves | \$35,055.47 | \$17,528.24 | | | | | \$52,583.71 |

| Operating Subsidies and Reserves: Youth Set-Aside | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Street Outreach | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | | | \$30,000.00 |
| Street Outreach: Youth Set-Aside | | | | | | |
| Services Coordination | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | | | \$60,000.00 |
| Services Coordination: Youth Set-Aside Systems Support | | | | | | |
| Systems Support: Youth Set-Aside | | | | | | |
| Delivery of Permanent Housing | | | | | | |
| Delivery of Permanent Housing: Youth Set-Aside | | | | | | |
| Prevention and Shelter Diversion | \$17,799.80 | \$13,318.80 | \$8,836.80 | \$8,836.80 | \$8,836.80 | \$57,629.00 |
| Prevention and Shelter Diversion: Youth Set-Aside | \$2,550.92 | \$1,628.96 | \$707.00 | \$707.00 | \$707.00 | \$6,300.88 |
| New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters | \$155,835.13 | \$49,707.68 | \$29,219.68 | \$15,906.00 | \$15,906.00 | \$266,574.49 |
| New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters: Youth Set-Aside | \$16,658.08 | \$5,473.04 | \$1,273.00 | \$1,273.00 | \$1,273.00 | \$25,950.12 |
| Strategic Homelessness Planning, Infrastructure Development, CES and HMIS (up to 5%) | \$10,058.00 | \$6,856.00 | \$3,655.00 | | | \$20,569.00 |
| Administrative (up to 7%) | \$21,556.11 | \$11,555.67 | \$7,550.03 | \$2,582.22 | \$2,582.22 | \$45,826.25 |

TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION:

Total Funding Allocation Response: \$700,487.00

TOTAL YOUTH SET-ASIDE (at least 8%):

Total Youth Set-Aside (at least 8%) Response: \$56,039.00

EXPENDITURE PLAN COMMENTS:

Expenditure Plan Comments Response:

Continued HMIS Management funding needed beyond CESH capacity end date. Updated homeless plans 2025, all Counties training and support CES/HMIS.

Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa redirect- Tuolumne County County allocation will be submitted separately for the \$192,075.

10. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 1

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Rental Assistance

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response: \$167,304.55

HHAP Eligible Uses:

1. Rapid rehousing

Rapid rehousing response: \$167,304.55

2. Operating subsidies

Operating subsidies response:

3. Street outreach

Street outreach response:

4. Services coordination

Services coordination response:

5. Systems support

Systems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housing

Delivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion

Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters

New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins

Financial assistance

Housing search and placement

landlord incentives

supportive services

Progressive engagement model outlined in CA-526 Written Standards short/medium/long term assistance as needed.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins

Region will use Temp ESG-CV vouchers in communities not funded with other non congregate options. COVID expected to bring additional homelessness to region as shown in housing sales due to lower costs than urban areas. Navigation centers and current shelters in all communities need ongoing exit strategies and permanent placement funding. Landlord incentives needed to ensure local homeowners willing to except those struggling with poor credit. More units are needed but local housing providers are very in tune and work with local real estate providers to market current RR/PSH programs funded.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins

Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults with children

Adults without children

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)

COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID

Parenting Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

All clients needing services come through the CA-526 system and are placed by prioritization of need only.

All access points have fair and transparent access needs met at point of intake. CA-526 works collaboratively with local tribal programs, and connects those needing service to the appropriate service provider.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

Annually CA-526 SPM Committee. Outcomes include placements, financial timelines, outcomes measured in goals submitted through RFP process.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

Having homeless liaisons and youth providers on CA-526 Board/sub committee work.

Referrals at point of intake to youths services providers and local LGBTQ services.

Rural regions do not have the capacity to establish single points of Access, but have coordinators very familiar with all local service for referrals and additional connections.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

11. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 2

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Interim Housing (Operations)

<u>Total Funds Requested:</u>

Total Funds Requested Response: \$125,000.00

HHAP Eligible Uses:

Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:

Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:

Street outreachStreet outreach response:

4. Services coordination
Services coordination response:

5. Systems supportSystems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response: \$125,000.00

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response: 60

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response: 772

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response: 5

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response: 3

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response: 73

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

Amador Shelter, Calaveras Transitional, Tuol ES and Mariposa ES are all connected to CES. Clients needing services enter CES within 48 hours.

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
HHAP R1 and other funds have created Stable ES/Transitional facilities. Costs
to HHAP r 2 266,554 include operations and services. Navigation center
operations and essential services Tuolumne, Continued emergency vouchers
and Transitional housing Calaveras, Tiny cabin project services in Amador,
ongoing shelter Mariposa County, ESS, Operational costs.
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Street homeless, singles are still the highest population. 3 of the 4 counties projects will serve this much needed population in Navigation and shelters.
Tiny cabins dedicated to the elderly and homeless youth.
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults with children

Adults without children

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)
COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID

Parenting Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

All clients needing services come through the CA-526 system and are placed by prioritization of need only.

All access points have fair and transparent access needs met at point of intake. CA-526 works collaboratively with local tribal programs, and connects those needing service to the appropriate service provider.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

Annual Reporting to measure SPM and current outcomes are met as scheduled.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

Having homeless liaisons and youth providers on CA-526 Board/sub committee work.

Referrals at point of intake to youths services providers and local LGBTQ services.

Rural regions do not have the capacity to establish single points of Access, but have coordinators very familiar with all local service for referrals and additional connections.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

12. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 3

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Diversion and Homelessness Prevention

<u>Total Funds Requested:</u>

Total Funds Requested Response: \$57,629.00

HHAP Eligible Uses:

Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:

Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:

Street outreachStreet outreach response:

4. Services coordination Services coordination response:

5. Systems supportSystems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion

Prevention and diversion response: \$57,629.00

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins

CA-526 written standards include measures for deliver of all funding in Homeless prevention services.

ESG, CDBG, HHAP funded in all communities for upcoming rental assistance needs. HHAP funding more open to those in the medium to moderate as ESG guidelines very low. Standards included across the region to ensure all providers deliver services streamlined. These homeless prevention funds have been finalized as part of the crisis system response funds (County portions) from both Calaveras and Tuolumne County. Duplication of Benefits are managed through no 3.2 short/medium term assitance provided. CA-526 is revising current Written Standards to remove 3..2 guidelines.

Funded area (Calaveras/Tuolumne)County include: delivery of services to enhance diversion from shelter- case managment.

Staff and facilitate newly developed Tenant Certification Program. HP funding includes relocation and stabilization services- Financial assistance-Moving and storage fees, Application fees, security deposits, utility deposits and payments. Relocation and stabilization services- case managment. Landlord incentives, volunteer incentives, trainings.

CA-526 Written Standards include homeless definitions and catagories for reference and CA-526 guidance.

Calaveras County (Sierra Hope) has been selected as ERAP contracted partner -ATCAA (Amador/Tuolumne) ERAP contracted partner. Duplication of services checked through funded coding to each program. No agenciecs funded with ESG CV have HP funds dedicated to homeless at this time. No HHAP R1 funds dedicated to HP. All cients at risk are referred through CES to ERAP as needed. Literally homeless are documented in CES as offered services listed above.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins

Homeless prevention limited to areas with higher populations and less ESG-CV. Prevention must serve those at risk to avoid increase in literally homeless as result of COVID crisis. No addition units requires communities to carefully prioritize those needing HP with minimal assistance as possible to assist more families in need. This also creates new landlord connections with current rental properties that are struggling to make payments.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins

Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults with children

Adults without children

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)

COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID

Parenting Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

All clients needing services come through the CA-526 system and are placed by prioritization of need only.

All access points have fair and transparent access needs met at point of intake. CA-526 works collaboratively with local tribal programs, and connects those needing service to the appropriate service provider.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

Annual Reporting to measure SPM and current outcomes are met as scheduled.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

Having homeless liaisons and youth providers on CA-526 Board/sub committee work.

Referrals at point of intake to youths services providers and local LGBTQ services.

Rural regions do not have the capacity to establish single points of Access, but have coordinators very familiar with all local service for referrals and additional connections.

All families and youth connected to Financial literacy classes.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

13. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 4

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Outreach

<u>Total Funds Requested:</u>

Total Funds Requested Response: \$30,000.00

HHAP Eligible Uses:

Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:

Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:

3. Street outreach

Street outreach response: \$30,000.00

4. Services coordination
Services coordination response:

5. Systems supportSystems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Current HEAP funding connected to local law enforcement program
Amador County. Funds leveraged to staff street outreach services for
transient calls. Connections to services, task force, and local community
efforts to engage and reach street populations. Tuolumne county- local
encampment delivers connections into CES.
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Street outreach services is a gap in most rural regions due to lack of funding and needing to prioritize in other areas. Funding creates connections by both service providers and local law offices. These service also create better pathways to services and engage additional community members in our CoC and task force efforts. Street outreach bring the voices of local homeless to our COC as well and to our boards for presentations and educational opportunties.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults without children

Unaccompanied Youth (12-24yr of age per definition in HHAP statute)

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)
COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID
Parenting Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

All clients needing services come through the CA-526 system and are placed by prioritization of need only.

All access points have fair and transparent access needs met at point of intake. CA-526 works collaboratively with local tribal programs, and connec Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

Annually CA-526 SPM Committee

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

Having homeless liaisons and youth providers on CA-526 Board/sub committee work.

Referrals at point of intake to youths services providers and local LGBTQ services.

Rural regions do not have the capacity to establish single points of Access for youth, bu

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

14. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 5

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Services

<u>Total Funds Requested:</u>

Total Funds Requested Response: \$80,569.00

HHAP Eligible Uses:

Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:

2. Operating subsidies

Operating subsidies response:

Street outreachStreet outreach response:

4. Services coordination

Services coordination response: \$80,569.00

5. Systems support

Systems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion

Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins

Services coordination includes task force operations, strategic planning, systems support in all counties.

Homeless task force coordinators, service providers direct client services.
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins

All communities must ensure ongoing regional collaborative efforts to keep all services connected and financially efficient. Planning to gage upcoming needs and reallocations of projects not performing as planned.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins

<u>Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:</u>

Adults with children

Adults without children

Unaccompanied Youth (12-24yr of age per definition in HHAP statute)

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)

COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID

Parentina Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

All clients needing services come through the CA-526 system and are placed by prioritization of need only.

All access points have fair and transparent access needs met at point of intake. CA-526 works collaboratively with local tribal programs, and connects those needing service to the appropriate service provider. All service calls and those living outside are giving the same opportunities and access to services.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

Service providers dedicated to projects have collaborative communications with local providers and work with CES to ensure all needing housing are referred to the appropriate programs.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

Having homeless liaisons and youth providers on CA-526 Board/sub committee work.

Referrals at point of intake to youths services providers and local LGBTQ services.

Rural regions do not have the capacity to establish single points of Access, but have coordinators very familiar with all local service for referrals and additional connections.

All families and youth connected to Financial literacy classes.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- 2. Operating subsidies Operating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

16. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 7

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00019

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- 2. Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination
 Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

Homelessness Response Local Investment Plan

Please refer to the following for guidance and a sample plan:

Guide to Strategic Uses of Key State and Federal Funds to Reduce Homelessness During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Use the Table below to complete the Regional Resources Guide for submittal with your HHAP application. Refer to the Sample Local Investment Plan on page 11 of the Guide to Strategic Uses of Key State and Federal Funds as an example (link above).

Applicant Name:

Amador Tuolumne Community Action Agency

Part 1: Summary of Investment Plan

- 1. Keep continued operations of all current Emergency Shelters, Assist with services for new navigation center in Tuolumne County
- 2. Rapidly rehouse all shelter cients and navigation center clients as quickly as possible. ESG continue to prioratize singles, HSP families and connect them into eligible services.
- 3. Leverage HSP, CoC, Whole Person Care funding to ensure permanent placement for those needing PSH. Continue collaborative efforst to use vouchers delievered through local PHA.
- 4. Leverage all current CDBG, ESG CVr2, HOPWA, and minimal competetive ESG Homeless prevention funds to prioritize those needing assistance after moritorium lifts. Landlord Liaison efforts continue, continue staff training on legal referals.

Part 2: Priority and Order of Use of Funding Sources

| Non Congregate Shelter/Interim Housing (Capital / Operations / Services) | | Rental Assis (Short Term to P | | Permanent Supportive and S (Capital / Operation | | Diversion and Homelessness Prevention | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Funding Source: Use and Priority #1 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #1 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #1 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #1 | | | |
| Funding Source: | ESG-CV (via HCD) | Funding Source: | ESG-CV (via HUD) | Funding Source: | CalWORKs HSP (via CDSS) | Funding Source: | ESG-CV (via HUD) | | |
| If Other, List: | Round 2 | If Other, List: | , , | If Other, List: | ì | If Other, List: | R2 | | |
| Funding Amount: | \$350,000.00 | Funding Amount: | \$514,818.00 | Funding Amount: | \$360,000.00 | Funding Amount: | \$425,303.00 | | |
| Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Household | | |
| If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | | |
| Number Assisted: | 680.00 | Number Assisted: | 65.00 | Number Assisted: | 23.00 | Number Assisted: | 42.00 | | |
| Deadline for Expenditure: | 9/1/2022 | Deadline for Expenditure: | 9/1/2022 | Deadline for Expenditure: | | Deadline for Expenditure: | 9/1/2022 | | |
| Funded Activity: | Operations | Funded Activity: | Permanent | Funded Activity: | Other | Funded Activity: | Prevention | | |
| If Other, list: | Operations | If Other, list: | remanen | If Other, list: | Cirio | If Other, list: | HHAP R2 30,000 Amador | | |
| Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | <u> </u> | Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | 11174 K2 50,000 74114461 | | |
| rianante Beschphori (Opnorial). | | randive bescription (optional). | | rtanante Beschphor (ephonal). | | randive bescription (optional). | | | |
| | Current shelters- 3 Counties | | 3-9 Months | | Direct Client Services 40% | | Street outreachLandlord incentives/HP assistance | | |
| Funding Source: Use and Priority #2 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #2 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #2 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #2 | | | |
| Funding Source: | ESG-CV (via HCD) | Funding Source: | HHAP (via HCFC) | Funding Source: | CoC (via HUD) | Funding Source: | HHAP (via HCFC) | | |
| If Other, List: | Round 1 and 2 | If Other, List: | HHAP R2 | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | R1 | | |
| Funding Amount: | \$507,000.00 | Funding Amount: | \$398,376.00 | Funding Amount: | \$130,502.00 | Funding Amount: | \$55,790.00 | | |
| Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Individual | | |
| If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | | |
| Number Assisted: | 240.00 | Number Assisted: | 109.00 | Number Assisted: | 16.00 | Number Assisted: | 538.00 | | |
| Deadline for Expenditure: | 9/1/2022 | Deadline for Expenditure: | | Deadline for Expenditure: | | Deadline for Expenditure: | 6/1/2024 | | |
| Funded Activity: | | Funded Activity: | Other | Funded Activity: | | Funded Activity: | Diversion | | |
| If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | Med/long term | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | | | |
| Narrative Description (Optional): | Veneter New Comments | Narrative Description (Optional): | Falls a FEO DD William Classical and | Narrative Description (Optional): | All five dead DCU Designate | Narrative Description (Optional): | Command Booking | | |
| Funding Sources Hee and Driggity #2 | Vouchers- Non Congreate | Funding Source: Use and Priority #3 | Follows ESG RR Written Standards | Funding Source: Use and Priority #3 | All funded PSH Projects | Funding Source: Use and Priority #3 | Current Budget | | |
| Funding Source: Use and Priority #3 Funding Source: | ESG-CV (via HUD) | Funding Source: | ESG (via HUD) | Funding Source: | Other | Funding Source: use and Friority #3 Funding Source: | CDBG-CV (via HUD) | | |
| If Other, List: | 2020 Competetive | If Other, List: | ESO (VIG 110D) | If Other, List: | Whole Person Care | If Other, List: | CDBO-CV (VIG 110D) | | |
| Funding Amount: | | Funding Amount: | \$262,000,00 | Funding Amount: | | Funding Amount: | 298,800 | | |
| Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | Household | | |
| If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | | |
| Number Assisted: | 304.00 | Number Assisted: | 37.00 | Number Assisted: | | Number Assisted: | 52 | | |
| Deadline for Expenditure: | 7/1/2024 | Deadline for Expenditure: | TBD | Deadline for Expenditure: | | Deadline for Expenditure: | 2024 | | |
| Funded Activity: | Operations | Funded Activity: | | Funded Activity: | Services | Funded Activity: | Prevention | | |
| If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | | | |
| Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | | | |
| | ESG/Ops | | Shjort-medCometetive and Non Competitive | | Mariposa/Tuolumne only | | Tuolumne County Only | | |
| Funding Source: Use and Priority #4 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #4 | | | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #4 | | | |
| Funding Source: | HHAP (via HCFC) | Funding Source: | CalWORKs HSP (via CDSS) | Funding Source: | CDBG-CV (via HUD) | Funding Source: | ESG (via HUD) | | |
| If Other, List: | Rland 2 | If Other, List: | #0.40.000.00 | If Other, List: | R2 | If Other, List: | | | |
| Funding Amount: | 619,000 | Funding Amount: | \$360,000.00 | Funding Amount: | 282000 | Funding Amount: | \$46,000.00 | | |
| Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | - | Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | | | |
| If Other, List: Number Assisted: | 50 | If Other, List: Number Assisted: | - | If Other, List: | 70 | If Other, List: Number Assisted: | 13,00 | | |
| Deadline for Expenditure: | 6/2026 | Number Assisted: Deadline for Expenditure: | - | Number Assisted: Deadline for Expenditure: | 70 TBD | Number Assisted: Deadline for Expenditure: | 7/1/2023 | | |
| bedding for Experionore. | 0/2020 | реасине погларенације. | | реасплетот Ехрепаните. | טטו | Deddine for Experiations. | //1/2023 | | |

| Funded Activity: | Capital | Funded Activity: | | Funded Activity: | Other | Funded Activity: | Prevention |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | Permanent | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | |
| Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | | Narrative Description (Optional): | |
| | OPPS/ESS CapitolResiliency Village/New Navigation-Tuolumne | | | | | | 2020 Competetive |
| Funding Source: Use and Priority #5 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #5 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #5 | | Funding Source: Use and Priority #5 | |
| Funding Source: | Other | Funding Source: | CoC (via HUD) | Funding Source: | VASH (via HUD) | Funding Source: | HHAP (via HCFC) |
| If Other, List: | FSP-MHSA | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | R1 | If Other, List: | r2 |
| Funding Amount: | \$90,000.00 | Funding Amount: | \$115,000.00 | Funding Amount: | \$8,400.00 | Funding Amount: | \$57,629.00 |
| Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | Household | Unit of Measure: | Individual | Unit of Measure: | |
| If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | | If Other, List: | |
| Number Assisted: | 40.00 | Number Assisted: | 19.00 | Number Assisted: | | Number Assisted: | |
| Deadline for Expenditure: | Ongoing | Deadline for Expenditure: | ongoing | Deadline for Expenditure: | ongoing | Deadline for Expenditure: | 6/1/2026 |
| Funded Activity: | Other | Funded Activity: | Permanent | Funded Activity: | Other | Funded Activity: | Prevention |
| If Other, list: | Emergency Vouchers | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | | If Other, list: | |
| Narrative Description (Optional): | Mental Health | Narrative Description (Optional): | Calay RR | Narrative Description (Optional): | varley place amador VASH PSH | Narrative Description (Optional): | Funding as laws finalize communities send out surveys |

Continuum of Care 2019 Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity

| Applicant Name: | | | CoC Name, if d | lifferent: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Using data from y | your HMIS, please inser | t outcomes | here (using the | e period fro | ım Jan 1 2019- I | Dec 31 2019 | 9): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Experiencing Homelessness | | Accessing Emergency Shelters | | Exiting to Permanent Housing | | Length of Time Homeless | | Accessing Permanent Supportive Housing | | Length of Time to get housing (# of days to exit homelessne ss) | | Accessing Coordinated Entry | | Returns to Homelessn ess | | Other Measure : | |
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| Total | 671 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | 1 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| White | 552 | #DIV/0! | 303 | #DIV/0! | 305 | #DIV/0! | 169894 | #DIV/0! | 17 | #DIV/0! | 878 | #DIV/0! | 29 | #DIV/0! | 108 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Black | 36 | #DIV/0! | 27 | #DIV/0! | 12 | #DIV/0! | 4236 | #DIV/0! | 1 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 3 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Native American/Alas kan | 17 | #DIV/0! | 7 | #DIV/0! | 11 | #DIV/0! | 3746 | #DIV/0! | 2 | #DIV/0! | 0 | | 3 | #DIV/0! | 2 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 9 | #DIV/0! | 4 | #DIV/0! | 8 | #DIV/0! | 638 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 1 | #DIV/0! | 1 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Other/Multi- Racial | 48 | #DIV/0! | 30 | #DIV/0! | 28 | | 12244 | #DIV/0! | 1 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 3 | #DIV/0! | 9 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Ethnicity | | | L | <u> </u> | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | L | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Hispanic | 104 | #DIV/0! | 70 | #DIV/0! | 69 | #DIV/0! | 17959 | #DIV/0! | 2 | #DIV/0! | 0 | #DIV/0! | 5 | | 23 | #DIV/0! | | #DIV/0! |
| Non-Hienanic | 560 | #DIV/0I | 303 | #DIV/01 | 207 | #DIV/01 | 17/1720 | #DIV/0I | 10 | #DIV/0I | 878 | #DIV/0I | 31 | #DIV/0I | 100 | #DIV/0I | | #DIV/0I |

David Carlton:

Bell Data report does not correlate entirely with the template the state created because Bell Data included an "Asian" and "Unknown Race" and "Unknown Ethnicity" Rows, and include a "Total Client" column header for each race.

| | | | Accessi | Exiting | Length | Accessi | Length | Accessi | Return |
|---|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| | Total | ncing | ng | to | of Time | ng | of Time | ng | s to |
| | Clients | Homele | Emerge | | Homele | Perman | to get | Coordin | Homele |
| | | ssness | ncv | ent | SS | ent | Housin | ared | ssness |
| America n Indian or Alaskan Native | 149 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 3,746 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Asian | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Black or African America n | 58 | 36 | 27 | 12 | 4,236 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Native Hawaiia n or Other Pacific Islander | 18 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| White | 3,062 | 552 | 303 | 305 | 169,894 | 17 | 878 | 29 | 108 |
| Other/M ulti- Race | 221 | 48 | 30 | 28 | 12,244 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| Unknow n Race | 362 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2,219 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Race | 3,895 | 671 | 374 | 367 | 192,977 | 21 | 878 | 37 | 123 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 733 | 104 | 70 | 69 | 17,959 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| Non- Hispanic | 2,771 | 560 | 303 | 297 | 174,729 | 19 | 878 | 31 | 100 |
| Unknow n Ethnicity | 391 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Ethnicit V | 3,895 | 671 | 374 | 367 | 192,977 | 21 | 878 | 37 | 123 |