

Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention (HHAP) Grant Program

Submission ID NOFA-HHAP00069

Applicant Information

Eligible Applicant Name:

Eligible Applicant Name Response: Placer

Eligible Applicant Type:

Eligible Applicant Type Response: County

COC Number:

COC Number Response: 515

Eligible Applicant Email:

Eligible Applicant Email Response: JGallagh@placer.ca.gov

Eligible Applicant Phone:

Eligible Applicant Phone Response: (530) 886-2974

Administrative Entity Name and Address:

Administrative Entity Name and Address Response:

Placer County Health & Human Services

2970 Richardson Drive

Auburn, CA 95603

<u>Is This a Government Entity?</u>

Is This a Government Entity Response: Yes

Primary Contact Information

Primary Contact Name:

Primary Contact Name Response: Jamie Gallagher

Primary Contact Email:

Primary Contact Email Response: JGallagh@placer.ca.gov

Primary Contact Phone:

Primary Contact Phone Response: (530) 886-2974

Authorized Representative Contact Information

<u>Authorized Representative Name:</u>

Authorized Representative Name Response: Robert Oldham

<u>Authorized Representative Email:</u>

Authorized Representative Email Response: roldham@placer.ca.gov

Eligible Representative Phone:

Eligible Representative Phone Response: (530) 745-3191

Applicant Redirections?

<u>Applicant Redirections Response:</u>

Applicant Redirections Response: No

1. Homelessness Response System Gaps Assessment

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- 1. A narrative description of the most recent assessment process used to determine local gaps in housing services for persons experiencing homelessness in the applicant's community. Information should include but is not limited to:
 - a. How data collection methods were used to determine gaps (ie: HUD's homeless Point-in-Time count, Continuum of Care Housing Inventory Count, Longitudinal Systems Analysis, and Stella tools, HMIS Annual Performance Reports for Emergency Shelter (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), as well as any recently conducted local needs assessments);

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1a - Begin Answer The Continuum of Care (CoC) utilizes a variety of methods to identify gaps in services. This includes the HUD racial equity tool, Point-in-Time (PIT) and Housing Inventory Chart (HIC), Longitudinal Systems Analysis (LSA), Coordinated Entry By-Name List (BNL) and an Outcomes and Measurements Committee. This committee's responsibility is to plan and conduct the PIT/HIC, evaluate CoCfunded programs, and review HMIS reports.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1a - End Answer

b. How people with lived experience of homelessness had ongoing meaningful and purposeful opportunities to participate in and inform the most recent gaps assessment and how they have meaningful opportunities to inform all levels of system planning over time:

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1b - Begin Answer Additionally, the CoC and Placer County collaborated to develop

a strategic plan. This plan received input from several stakeholders, including people with lived experience of homelessness, homeless advocates, community members, local law enforcement, county and city elected officials, the business community, shelter and service providers, and Placer County staff.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1b - End Answer

c. How organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC and may not be a part of the homelessness provider community had meaningful and purposeful opportunities to participate in and inform the most recent gaps assessment and how they will be engaged in system planning over time;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1c - Begin Answer Organizations that have historically served communities of color were given the opportunity to provide meaningful and purposeful input at multiple community and outreach meetings during the development of the strategic plan.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1c - End Answer

d. How gaps were assessed for special populations such as families, youth, victims of domestic violence, seniors, persons who have been convicted of a crime, persons with a disability, persons who are chronically homeless, persons with HIV/AIDS, persons who are LGBTQ, veterans, persons with limited English proficiency, and persons who are undocumented;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1d - Begin Answer Additionally, Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) staff provide monthly reports at the local CoC meetings. Recently, staff provided a thorough racial disparity report based on the HUD racial equity analysis tool.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1d - End Answer

e. How racial or ethnic disparities in the delivery of homeless services were assessed;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1e - Begin Answer Although a formal gaps assessment has not been conducted, the Outcomes and Measurements Committee review HMIS data on each CoC-funded agency, as well as conducting the annual PIT and HIC Count.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1e - End Answer

f. How frequently gaps assessments are conducted;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1f - Begin Answer The Outcomes and Measurements Committee review HMIS data on each CoC-funded agency, as well as conducting the annual PIT and HIC Count.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1f - End Answer

g. How findings are used to make informed decisions for funding projects within the community; and

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1g - Begin Answer Aggregate data from a comparable database is assessed for victim service providers. A variety of different service providers sit on this committee and represent special populations such as families, youth, victims of domestic violence, seniors, persons convicted of a crime, persons with a disability, chronically homeless, HIV/AIDS, veterans, and LGBTQ.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1g - End Answer

h. How the applicant will conduct ongoing system performance evaluation to ensure the impact of HHAP-2 funds throughout the spending period and determine if adjustments are needed to address gaps in the homelessness response system.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1h - Begin Answer This committee reports directly to the CoC, and any findings are discussed at the CoC level. These discussions help inform funding priorities. System performance evaluation will be a collaborative effort between the Outcomes and Measurements Committee, CoC Coordinator, and HMIS staff.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 1h - End Answer

- 2. The most recent gaps assessment that was conducted and the date in which it was completed. Reports can be attached, but all applicants must summarize main findings within this section. Reports submitted without a summary will not be accepted. Summaries must include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Current number of people experiencing homelessness in the community including demographic information, and the existing programs and funding which address homelessness within the jurisdiction;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2a - Begin Answer According to the 2020 PIT Count, 744 individuals experienced homelessness on January 29, 2020. Approximately 405 were

unsheltered and 276 met the definition of chronically homeless. Placer County had 42 households with children experiencing homelessness, with 82 children under the age of 18. Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2a - End Answer

b. Data and qualitative information showing any gaps or disparities in access to services, delivery of services, and housing placement and housing retention outcomes for special populations such as families, victims of domestic violence, seniors, youth and young adults, persons who have been convicted of a crime, persons with a disability, persons who are chronically homeless, persons with HIV/AIDS, persons who are LGBTQ, veterans, persons with limited English proficiency, and persons who are undocumented;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2b - Begin Answer Additionally, 213 adults had a serious mental illness, 130 with a substance use disorder, 10 with HIV/AIDS, and 94 survivors of domestic violence.

Below are the following demographic disparities as reported on the 2020 PIT:

- 261 Female
- 478 Male
- 4 Transgender
- 1 Gender Non-Conforming
- 641 Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino
- 103 Hispanic/Latino
- 597 White
- 63 Black or African American
- 9 Asian
- 35 American Indian or Alaska Native
- 3 Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- 37 Multiple Races

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2b - End Answer

 Data and qualitative information showing any racial or ethnic disparities in access to services, delivery of services and housing placement and housing retention outcomes of homeless services;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2c - Begin Answer Upon review of the HUD Racial Equity Analysis Tool, HMIS staff identified that there was a large disparity among Black and Native American/Alaskan households experiencing homelessness (8% Black and 6% Native American/Alaskan, with only 3% Black households living in poverty and 1% Native American/Alaskan households living in poverty). Additionally, 40% Black families with

children are experiencing unsheltered homelessness compared to 22% experiencing sheltered homelessness.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2c - End Answer

d. Any other disparities that were found in the delivery of homelessness services including rates of successful permanent housing placements, and housing retention rates;

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2d - Begin Answer Furthermore, 42 unaccompanied youth were identified, 9 parenting youth households, and 73 veterans.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2d - End Answer

e. Using the Service Gap Analysis Chart below, identify which areas of the local homelessness response system (e.g. shelter, rental subsidies, supportive housing) have gaps in resources based on the needs of people experiencing homelessness in the community.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2e - Begin Answer The graph below shows the current number of clients currently needing services as recorded on the Coordinated Entry BNL, as well as the number of clients currently receiving services as recorded by HMIS.

Homelessness Response System Gaps Question 2e - End Answer

Table: SERVICE GAP ANALYSIS

	Total # of Clients Currently Needing This Service	Total # of Clients Currently Receiving This Service	Remaining Need
Interim Housing/Shelter Beds	1101	254	847
Rental Assistance	1101	26	1075
Supportive Housing (Permanent)	1101	183	918
Outreach	1101	78	1023
Prevention/Diversion	900	175	725

2. Regional Resources Planning

When working within the homelessness system, it is critical to assess the current resources available within the community to ensure any new resources are most effectively and efficiently targeted to best serve people experiencing homelessness within the community. Given the high number of people experiencing homelessness in California and the unprecedented amount of federal and state funds available to address homelessness, HCFC expects applicants to coordinate all available funding to safely shelter and permanently house as many people experiencing homelessness in the applicant's community as possible, with a particular focus on rehousing individuals currently living in Project Roomkey (PRK) sites.

HCFC requires all HHAP-2 applicants to complete a <u>Homelessness Response</u> <u>Local Investment Plan</u> (Appendix A) that lists all regional resources used to address homelessness. The document includes different interventions within the homelessness system. For each intervention, please tell us:

- The funding source(s) used to assist in the delivery of the intervention. If several funding sources are used, please list them in order of highest amount of funding used for the intervention to the lowest;
- If the funding source is covered by more than one applicant (i.e. County and Continuum of Care) please list separately. Do not combine allocations; and
- When referencing units of measurement, please reference service basis unit of measurement. Example: \$500,000 in funding provided RRH to approximately 20 households over XX period of time.

In addition to filling out the <u>Homelessness Response Local Investment Plan</u> document, applicants must answer the following narrative question that will support the information provided:

1. What efforts are made to coordinate all available local, state and federal funds that can address homelessness in the applicant's community?

Regional Resources Planning Question 1 - Begin Answer

Available Local, State, and Federal funds are made accessible to the community through a collaborative method that actively involves them through each step of the funding process. Applicants are invited to attend scheduled meetings within the CoC, where funding sources are shared and collaboratively discussed with local stakeholders. Whenever funding becomes available, a Statement of Interest (SOI) is requested from interested parties and agencies within the CoC. These SOIs have specific questions and a project description portion. Agencies who submit an SOI are invited to a "funding roundtable" to discuss each project and come to a consensus on funding. If a consensus cannot be made,

agencies will be requested to submit a formal proposal to the CoC, where the Board will decide funding allocations. Most local, state, and federal funds are disbursed in this manner, and community engagement is continually encouraged.

Regional Resources Planning Question 1 - End Answer

3. HHAP-2 Funding Plans

When planning how to target new HHAP-2 resources, it is essential to do so in direct response to the gaps assessment and current regional investment planning described in Sections 1 and 2. HHAP-2 funding plans must state the specific gaps that will be addressed with these new funds and provide sufficient detail to ensure that any selected projects will effectively meet identified gaps. HCFC expects applicants to clearly understand and identify the intervention types requiring funding prior to initiating their local project selection processes.

Applicants must identify and describe each intervention type they intend to fund with their HHAP-2 grant and how much of their HHAP-2 funding they intend to focus on that intervention type. Applicants must also describe how investing in the requested interventions will meet the previously identified needs of their community.

Intervention Types are broken into six categories: (1) Outreach; (2) Interim Housing; (3) Rental Assistance; (4) Permanent Supportive and Service-Enriched Housing; (5) Diversion and Homelessness Prevention, and (6) Services.

Applicants should utilize the HHAP-2 <u>Application Guidance</u> document for detailed information on how these interventions can be implemented, how they work together, and how HCFC recommends prioritization and utilization of these interventions.

In addition to providing information on how the applicant intends to utilize their HHAP-2 funds on specific interventions, applicants must also outline the amounts they intend to allocate to the eligible uses that will support the interventions throughout the duration of the grant period.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- Using the <u>Funding Plan Template</u> (Appendix B) and <u>Expenditure Plan Template</u> (Appendix C), provide detailed information for each of the intervention types and eligible uses being proposed for HHAP-2 funding. (NOTE: Specific project information for the intervention types identified will be requested at a later date once the local selection process has been completed.)
- 2. Describe how the applicant intends to prioritize funding towards local Project Roomkey permanent housing pathways. If an applicant does not intend to prioritize funding in this way, they must explain what other resources have been identified to meet this need locally to ensure that households staying at Project Roomkey sites move to permanent housing and do not return to unsheltered locations or congregate settings.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 2 - Begin Answer
Funding will be allocated using a Statement of Interest (SOI) and
collaborative discussion model. This model worked well during HHAP
Round 1. Placer County is willing to consider funding a project or projects
for Homekey and/or Roomkey to ensure serving all populations.
HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 2 - End Answer

3. Describe the activities budgeted for grant administration. In the applicant's response, describe the overall grant administration staffing plan to accomplish the applicant's goals and activities. Provide information on roles that will be responsible for ensuring the successful execution of HHAP funded projects.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3 - Begin Answer

When funding becomes available, such as HHAP Round 2, agencies are notified via email and at the monthly CoC meetings. The CoC meetings are open to the public, and input from individuals with lived experience is highly encouraged. Stakeholders interested in applying for funds are requested to complete a SOI, providing a narrative of agency experience, outcomes, and program description. Agencies meeting the eligibility requirements of the funding opportunity are then invited to a collaborative "funding roundtable." It is at this meeting that agencies present a brief project proposal. Other agencies are able to ask clarifying questions and provide input to projects. The intent of this meeting is to come to a consensus on how the funds should be allocated.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3 - End Answer

Descriptions should include but are not limited to:

a. The number of full-time employees (FTE) or percent of time per FTE that will be employed by the applicant dedicated to the execution of HHAP-2.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3a - Begin Answer

Agencies interested in the funding will provide these details in the narrative. If the information is not provided the committee will be able to clarify this question.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3a - End Answer

Existing staff positions that will be leveraged to fulfill this need.
 HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3b - Begin Answer

Agencies interested in the funding will provide these details in the narrative. If the information is not provided the committee will be able to clarify this question.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 3b - End Answer

4. In what ways the applicant's jurisdiction is leveraging the adult system to serve youth and in what ways the homelessness response system has been or will be adapted to youth; and how the applicant will use HHAP-2 funding to ensure youth can access services and that targeted spending meets their needs.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 4 - Begin Answer

The HHAP Round 1 youth set aside is going to the local Transition-Age Youth (TAY) Collaborative to support TAY throughout Placer County served by all agencies. The TAY Collaborative meets monthly addressing a variety of issues facing TAY including homelessness and housing barriers. This model worked well historically.

Placer County has specially designed bridge housing for Adults. We would use the Adult model as a foundation and tailor it to serve the youth at the service level with HHAP Round 2 funding.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 4 - End Answer

5. Describe how the applicant will incorporate meaningful collaboration with individuals that have lived experience being homeless throughout funding planning, design, implementation, and evaluation.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 5 - Begin Answer

Placer County and the CoC work with agencies that currently have a local presence. Respondents will be asked to describe how they employ or get input from individuals with lived experience, how the agency gets input or employs people with lived experience. Currently many of the agencies hire people with lived experienced as a policy.

HHAP-2 Funding Plans Question 5 - End Answer

4. HHAP-2 Goals

Creating performance targets that can be clearly measured every year ensures a commitment to locally shared goals. Utilizing the local needs assessment, applicants must identify the areas of impact to be targeted by HHAP funds in Section 3: HHAP-2 Funding Plans, and in this section must present specific and measurable goals for those investment areas. These goals should inform how applicants design HHAP programming and should be stated in the local selection process funding announcements and subcontracts so that local applicants and the selected subrecipients understand program expectations.

HHAP Programmatic Goals

HHAP statute mandates that applicants set goals related to the total number of individuals served and, of those served, the number who will be successfully placed in permanent housing due to HHAP-2 investments.

For interventions funded with HHAP, applicants must show how their jurisdiction plans to use their HHAP investment to meet statutorily-required goals by providing the following in the table below: (1) number of individuals that currently need this intervention; (2) number of households expected to be served, annually and over the entire grant period; and (3) number of households expected to be placed into permanent housing, annually and over entire grant period.

Note: identified need below should be the same as the numbers indicated in Section 1.

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Permanent Supportive and Service-Enriched Housing

	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	Total #
Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention	1101	22/23	23/24	24/23	23/20	TOTAL W
# of individuals expected to be served by HHAP-2	15	14	0	0	0	29
# of individuals expected to be placed into permanent housing through HHAP-2	15	14	0	0	0	29

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Rental Assistance

	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	Total #
Total # of individuals that <i>currently</i> need this intervention	0					
# of individuals to be served	0	0	0	0	0	0

# of individuals to be placed into	0	0	0	0	0	0
permanent housing						

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Interim Housing

	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	
	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Total #
Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention	1101					
# of individuals to be served	20	20	0	0	0	40
# of individuals to be placed into permanent housing	6	6	0	0	0	12

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Diversion and Homelessness Prevention

	FY 21/22	FY 22/23		FY 24/25	FY 25/26	Total #
Total # of individuals that currently need equity this intervention	0					
# of individuals to be served	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of individuals to be placed into permanent housing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Outreach

	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	Total #
Total # of individuals that currently need this intervention	0					
# of individuals to be served	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of individuals to be placed into permanent housing	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table – Statutory Goals by Intervention Type – Services

	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	Total #
# of individuals to be served	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of individuals to be placed into permanent housing	0	0	0	0	0	0

In addition to setting clear goals on numbers served and numbers moved into permanent housing, applicants must also provide the following:

1. Any additional systemwide goals the applicant's jurisdiction and/or region has identified and the metrics used to evaluate progress towards those goals.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

Not at this time.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

2. An update on systemwide goals identified in HHAP-1 and explanation of any goal modifications made in response to changing needs.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer Not at this time.
HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

3. At least one clear, measurable performance goal related to how HHAP-2 funding will address racial disparities identified in the jurisdiction's homelessness response system.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

During the HHAP round 1 review, the disparities in Placer County appears to be related to families with children in the Black community. Based on our analysis we are considering the need of additional programs and outreach to assist Black families with children to be housed. Review the unsheltered PIT count to see decrease in race for families with children.

HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

4. At least one clear, measurable, youth-specific performance goal related to HHAP-2 investments, including an explanation of how the applicant's jurisdiction accounts for the unique service needs of youth when determining how to set performance targets for youth set-aside funding interventions.

HHAP-2 Goal Question 1 - Begin Answer

Committees and collaboration between agencies to decrease youth homelessness by 5% as measured by annual unsheltered PIT count. HHAP-2 Goals Question 1 - End Answer

5. Local Project Selection Process

Applicants may choose (though they are not required) to contract with local nonprofits and service providers to administer the services detailed in their HHAP-2 Funding Plan. When contracting for services, applicants shall select qualified service providers that provide services which match the needs of the local population of people experiencing homelessness and which are HHAP-eligible activities that are in line with the applicant's HHAP-2 Funding Plan.

HCFC encourages applicants to consider how these funds are accessible to smaller and non-traditional organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or been a part of the homeless provider community.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

1. An explanation of how HHAP-2 funds will be distributed and whether a local project selection process will be utilized to select subcontractors.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1 - Begin Answer Funding will be allocated using a Statement of Interest (SOI) and collaborative discussion model. This model worked well during HHAP Round 1.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1 - End Answer

Will subcontractors be utilized? Yes

- a. If the applicant is not utilizing a local selection process, please include the following in the explanation:
 - Description of why this is the best funding plan for the community; and
 - Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i. Begin Answer Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i. - End Answer
 - ii. Description of how applicants will ensure equitable access to services funded.
 - Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i.i. Begin Answer Local Project Selection Process Question 1a.i.i. - End Answer
- b. If the applicant is utilizing a local selection process, please include the following in the explanation:
 - i. What is the process and timeline for project selection?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i. - Begin Answer When funding becomes available, such as HHAP Round 2, agencies are notified via email and at the monthly CoC meetings.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i. - End Answer

ii. How will the applicant encourage new partners to participate?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i. - Begin Answer The CoC meetings are open to the public, and input from individuals with lived experience is highly encouraged. Stakeholders interested in applying for funds are requested to complete a SOI, providing a narrative of agency experience, outcomes, and program description.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i. - End Answer

iii. How will people with lived experience of homelessness, including youth with lived experience, have meaningful and purposeful opportunities to shape the selection process and funding decisions?

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i.i. - Begin Answer

Agencies meeting the eligibility requirements of the funding opportunity are then invited to a collaborative "funding roundtable." It is at this meeting that agencies present a brief project proposal.

Local Project Selection Process Question 1b.i.i.i. - End Answer

iv. How will the applicant promote equity and ensure underrepresented communities can be competitive applicants for funding?

Local Project Selection Process Question b.i.v. - Begin Answer Other agencies are able to ask clarifying questions and provide input to projects. The intent of this meeting is to come to a consensus on how the funds should be allocated.

Local Project Selection Process Question b.i.v. - End Answer

2. Describe how systemwide collaboration would occur among homelessness service providers and other social safety net providers. How do these collaborative efforts help minimize or avoid the duplication of service and effort?

Local Project Selection Process Question 2 - Begin Answer

When agencies have been involved in deciding how funds should be prioritized, inter-agency collaboration increases and duplication of services decreases. If a consensus cannot be made, agencies will be asked to submit a formal proposal to the CoC Board. The Board, or an adhoc committee, will review the proposals and decide the final project amounts.

Local Project Selection Process Question 2 - End Answer

In addition to the narrative questions above, check all box(s) that apply to the applicant's community:

Table – Local Project Selection Process Assessment

LOCAL PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS ASSESSMENT (check all that apply)

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will clearly define for potential subcontractors which types of projects will be prioritized for funding and which needs identified in the needs/gaps assessment are intended to be met by funding such projects. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will prioritize programs that address the disproportionate impacts that homelessness and COVID-19 have on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, Native and Indigenous communities. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will remove barriers to competitive participation by applicants representing marginalized communities.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will use objective criteria to evaluate projects for funding.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The objective criteria used to evaluate projects will include data on past performance related to the proposed activity (for example, an existing rapid rehousing provider applies to provide rapid rehousing services with HHAP funds and provides HMIS data to show a history of positive outcomes)

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The objective criteria used to evaluate projects will allow applicants applying to perform services not previously performed by their organization, to provide other data or outcome results to support their competency to perform the proposed activity.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will have provisions to allow for innovation, while balancing the need for data and performance-based decision-making. Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will be posted publicly on a platform that is accessible to the public.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will consider the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of the proposed target population in its objective criteria – and aligns its prioritization of these needs with the needs identified through the community needs assessment process.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will avoid conflict of interest.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will only fund programs that follow a Housing First approach.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

Local Project Selection Assessment Statement: The process will include people with lived experience to have meaningful and purposeful opportunities to inform and shape all levels of planning and implementation.

Local Project Selection Assessment Response: Yes

6. Racial Equity Efforts

HHAP-2 applicants should prioritize the advancement of racial equity at every level of the homelessness response system. Applicants must be actively involved in their homelessness response systems, facilitating partnerships among service organizations, and promoting racial equity practices. Applicants must respond to disproportionality in access to services, service provision, and outcomes. Applicants cannot simply rely on delivering a standardization of services to address equity. Applicants have the responsibility to examine their data to ensure all eligible persons receive equitable services, support, and are served with dignity, respect, and compassion regardless of circumstances, ability, or identity.

HCFC encourages applicants to consider how these funds are accessible to smaller and non-traditional organizations that have historically served communities of color but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or been a part of the homeless provider community, and how these funds would address the organizational capacity of organizations that are led by Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous people that support the goal of reducing and ending homelessness.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to provide the following:

- Using the <u>Racial Demographic Data Worksheet</u> (<u>Appendix D</u>), please provide the Continuum of Care Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity.
- Describe how the local homelessness response system or projects the applicant is planning use policy and practices to ensure equal access and non-discrimination when serving prospective and new program participants.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 2 - Begin Answer

The CoC manages the policies and procedures for Coordinated Entry (CE), the Outcomes and Measurements committee evaluates its effectiveness. The CoC ensures all required programs funded through HUD, ESG, HEAP, Home Safe, CESH, Bringing Families Home, Housing for Healthy CA are supported in HMIS and CE. All homeless service providers in Placer County have access and are encourage to participant in HMIS and the CoC. No agency has ever been denied a license to participate and in most cases the county pays the licensing fee to the CoC on behalf of the agency. Each person signs a participation agreement which ensure equal access. To ensure prioritization criteria the county utilizes the Vulnerability Assessment Tool, points are given based on answers to 16 areas and additional points are given to individuals who are felt to be at higher risk. People under 25 and over 59 are given a point, unaccompanied youth under 18 are given 2 points. Females or transgender or

gender non-confirming are given a point. If a household member is pregnant or has children under 18 a point is given. Also, up to an additional 3 points can be given by a case manager working with the individual.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 2 - End Answer

3. How does the applicant's grant making process and/or funding decisions include prioritization of programs that are addressing the disproportionate impacts that homelessness and COVID-19 have on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander and Indigenous communities?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 3 - Begin Answer HHAP funding projects have not been overly competitive. Racial Equity Efforts Question 3 - End Answer

4. How are the voices of Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander and Indigenous communities being developed as central in creating effective approaches to reducing and ending homelessness?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 4 - Begin Answer

The County homeless and COVID response teams are made of staff from Latinx, Black, Asia, Peers from mental health, and previously homeless. Placer County Adult System of Care, ASOC has a large peer program embedded and employed. The ASOC has a Consumer Council who provides input into new process and ongoing system improvements to our mental health and substance use services. Our Wellness Centers are staffed with peers who provide direct services to guests and support groups. Many groups and educational programs such as Seeking Safety and Ready to Rent are offered by peers and employees who have been previously homeless. An example of how all groups have a voice in funding is our Campaign for Community Wellness, community stakeholders who came together for MHSA ten years ago who meet and is made of organizations who serve Latinx, Native Americans, TAY, Older Adults, schools, mental health, substance use, homeless, and many more special populations.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 4 - End Answer

5. Does the applicant have a strategy to expand the reach of funding to underserved and marginalized communities and non-traditional providers who can reach and serve disproportionately impacted communities? If so, please describe.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 5 - Begin Answer

Overall, the community and jurisdictions see homelessness as a county-wide issue without boundaries and with every jurisdiction having to share the responsibility. Pooling resources both in funding and staff has showed good outcomes, as demonstrated with the development of new housing, outreach

teams, and more people getting housed. Housing is often developed where there is opportunity versus a more planned effort, i.e. housing comes about where there is a house or building to purchase. Currently, through the Placer Collaborative Network, Placer Consortium on Homelessness, Coordinated Entry and the Campaign for Community Wellness- information is distribution includes our Native, and Latinx, youth service providers who use Promotors, youth and Native peers.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 5 - End Answer

 Describe how the priority population(s) learn about and enter local homelessness programs, including marketing and communication strategies used.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 6 - Begin Answer

The Coordinated Entry (CE) process is publicized through county media-Facebook and the county webpage. Flyers are posted in many of the county offices and with various community agencies. Local jurisdictions post the flyers on their webpages. Business cards are printed in English and Spanish with the call-in details, these cards are supplied to homeless service providers throughout the county. Additionally, the cards are handed out during the annual homeless count. CE is advertised on the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierra's webpage. Large community groups- the Campaign for Community Wellness, Placer Collaborative Network and Placer's Consortium on Homelessness are educated on the CE process and call in details throughout the year. Placer County has several outreach efforts, and these workers assist the homeless to make the call to CE. Placer County Health and Human Services provides behavioral outreach workers to County Probation and Sheriff's Department. The Dewitt Wellness Center has two outreach workers to outreach to the homeless at the Dewitt Government Center. The Tahoe Truckee area has an outreach worker who specifically serves in that area. In the past, the County partnered with the City of Roseville to provide one part-time behavioral health outreach worker as a pilot. Based on the success, the partnership is expanding to two part-time outreach workers to work alongside law enforcement. Additionally, outreach for people in need of mental health services is provided by our MHSA Full-Service Partnership programs.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 6 - End Answer

7. How is the applicant making community project grants accessible to smaller organizations that have historically served communities of color, but may not have previously participated formally in the CoC or as a part of the "homeless provider" community?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 7 - Begin Answer

The Placer community is very collaborative- nonprofits, for profit service agencies, homeless individuals, and governments work together to address and present solutions to resolve homelessness for its residents. Placer County Health

and Human Services (PC HHS) sits on the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierras (HRCS) Board, the governing body of the CoC. Other active participants from Health and Human Services include Whole Person Care, Human Services (Housing Authority), and Adult Protective Services Home Safe. PC HHS participates in the monthly HRCS meetings and additionally several committees including Outcomes and Measurements. There is a close working relationship with the City of Roseville addressing the needs of the homeless in south county and the city limits, including partnership with the City of Roseville Police Department, monthly case management meetings, and quarterly leadership meetings. Included in the quarterly leadership meetings are City officials from Rocklin and Lincoln. Discussions include current issues related to homelessness, resources addressing homeless issues, and how a collaborative effort may assist. The Probation Department covers all of Placer County, supporting the Homeless Liaison Team's efforts to address homeless issues throughout Placer County. Local law enforcement with homeless outreach teams meet quarterly to discuss trends and efforts being made in their communities.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 7 - End Answer

8. How does the applicant partner with organizations that are addressing racial equity in the housing and homelessness response system?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 8 - Begin Answer
In addition to the respond to number 7, these groups-Placer Collaborative
Network, Placer Consortium on Homelessness, Coordinated Entry and the
Campaign for Community Wellness

Racial Equity Efforts Question 8 - End Answer

9. How will the applicant ensure that racial disparities are addressed with this funding?

Racial Equity Efforts Question 9 - Begin Answer

During the HHAP round 1 review, the disparities in Placer County appears to be related to families with children in the Black community. We used the HUD COC Analysis tool to analyze our data and based on our analysis we are considering the need of additional programs or outreach to get to assist Black families with children to be housed. Placer County agrees to participate in available TA from the state to increase the use of practices that assist with ensuring a racial equity lens is utilized for future planning.

Racial Equity Efforts Question 9 - End Answer

In addition to the narrative questions above, check all boxes that apply to the applicant's community:

Table – Racial Equity Assessment

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have a racial equity policy within the organization I work for.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We collect racial, ethnic and linguistic data on clients and constituents outside of HMIS.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We provide language interpreter/translator services for people who speak languages other than English.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We collect data on service-user or constituent satisfaction with our organization regarding racial equity.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have formal partnerships with organizations of color.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We allocate resources for engagement and outreach in communities of color.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Racial equity and cultural competency training are offered to employees within the applicant's organization.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We meet regularly with leaders from communities of color specifically to discuss racial equity within the homelessness system.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We analyze to assess whether equitable access to new and existing shelter facilities is being provided to people of color, especially Black, Latinx, and Indigenous populations most impacted by homelessness, and examine data to determine if there are other disparities to be addressed, such as by age, ethnicity, disability, gender status, family composition, etc.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implementing but could benefit from assistance

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have convened and actively engage with a lived experience board that represents the population served.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We ensure strategies and communications efforts have broad geographic reach, including into rural areas and in support of Tribal communities.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Providers and front-line staff reflect the people they serve including the necessary language skills to serve sub-populations.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have access to data on racial/ethnic disparities to guide our planning and implementation of HHAP funding.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: Our work includes performance measures to determine how well we are doing to address racial disparities.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We have developed and implemented a plan to address racial disparities in the homelessness response system.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Planning to Implement

Racial Equity Assessment Statement: We host or participate in trainings dedicated to improving equitable outcomes.

Racial Equity Assessment Response: Implemented

7. Regional Collaboration and Partnerships

HHAP program funds are intended to support regional coordination and expand local capacity to address homelessness. Demonstration of how jurisdictions have coordinated and will continue to coordinate with other jurisdictions is a critical factor of funding. With HHAP-1 funding, applicants were required to partner with other applicants to make collective funding decisions for their communities. HHAP-2 funding should increase and improve those partnership efforts.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants must provide the following:

1. Describe the process by which neighboring HHAP-funded jurisdictions are coordinating together to address homelessness, including funding collaboration and coordination, peer learning, and data sharing.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 1 - Begin Answer
The Homeless Resource Council (HRCS) and the County of Placer collaborate
closely on funding priorities. This included the development of the Placer
County Strategic Plan. This plan involved participation from local law
enforcement, county and city elected officials, the business community,
shelter and service providers, Placer County staff, and community members
and homeless advocates. Several strategies were suggested as a result of this
input:

STRATEGY 1: Develop Affordable Housing With Services (i.e., Permanent Supportive Housing)

The community agreed that lack of affordable housing was one of the most prominent causes of homelessness as well as substance abuse and mental illness. During almost all of the meetings held in Placer County, the development of housing that is affordable with services to help with substance abuse and mental illness was the most commonly suggested solution. The development of permanent supportive housing was also the most commonly suggested role for local government to play in addressing homelessness. There has already been a significant amount of affordable housing development in Placer County. However, only a limited number of projects have been focused on permanent supportive housing.

STRATEGY 2: Continue Support at CDRA Housing Development Stakeholder Meetings

As mentioned previously, permanent supportive and affordable housing have been identified as a clear and present need in Placer County. Placer County's Community Development Resource Agency hosts a number of stakeholder meetings, with 50% of attendees being housing developers. Other stakeholders include advocates of affordable and homeless housing. We suggest continued support for this activity.

STRATEGY 3: Communicate Consistently With General Public About Homelessness

Often, the concerns of the public regarding homelessness are based on incomplete or inaccurate information. Keeping the public informed regarding the homeless population and the efforts being undertaken by agencies both public and private to address the problem is vital as well as updating the public regarding the extensive efforts of law enforcement and the challenges they face. In addition to keeping the public informed on agency and law enforcement efforts, it is important to have a campaign that is targeted at humanizing individuals who are experiencing homelessness. Any new projects or initiatives should be presented to the public during initial conceptualization—well before implementation or proposed construction. This strategy can be carried out via frequent community meetings, social media, radio, podcast, etc.

STRATEGY 4: Increase Investment in Treatment—Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health

Many chronically homeless individuals have long-term substance abuse disorders and mental health issues. Their lack of ability to pay rent at any level, no matter how affordable, is directly tied to their addiction and mental health, distorting their financial priorities and undermining their employability. Although Placer County already has extensive treatment programs with treatment beds, there will very likely be a need for an increased number in the future considering statewide and national trends. An individual who is addicted to alcohol or drugs or who needs mental health treatment and is ready to make the necessary changes in their life should have resources available to them at that very important decision point.

STRATEGY 5: Increase Emergency Housing Beds

While the development of permanent supportive housing is a primary solution to addressing homelessness, new housing projects can often take several years to develop. A short- to medium-term solution to the housing crisis should include the increased development of interim housing beds. This could consist of emergency housing, bridge housing, and transitional housing to help lessen the gap between the unsheltered homeless population and the number of available beds.

STRATEGY 6: Continue Participation in the Built for Zero Campaign
Built for Zero is a rigorous national change effort working to help a core group
of committed communities end veteran and chronic homelessness.
Coordinated by Community Solutions, the national effort supports
participants in developing real-time data on homelessness, optimizing local
housing resources, tracking progress against monthly goals, and accelerating
the spread of proven strategies. Placer County is already signed up as a
participant. More information can be found at
www.community.solutions/what-we-do/built-for-zero.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 1 - End Answer

2. Describe the ways HCFC funding plans are coordinated with regional partners that are also receiving HCFC funding. If there are changes to the funding plans, how are partners informed of these changes?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 2 - Begin Answer In addition to the development of the strategic plan, a representative from the County of Placer sits on the HRCS Board. All communication regarding funding is addressed at the CoC level, and meetings are held to discuss funding plans. If funding plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs within the community, the CoC is able to host a meeting with local stakeholders. Service needs would be addressed at this meeting, and the CoC will vote to approve or deny the amendments. The CoC membership includes: County of Placer, City of Rocklin, City of Roseville, City of Truckee, The Gathering Inn, Volunteers of America, Advocates for Mentally III Housing, Sierra Foothills Aids Foundation, Stand Up Placer and Project Go. All funding decisions are made with input from these partners and other homeless service providers and advocates.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 2 - End Answer

3. Using the experience with HHAP-1 planning, describe successes that have come out of regional coordination and partnering efforts. Also, describe any barriers the applicant has experienced in working with regional partners. Explain any strategies identified that have contributed to the address these barriers.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 3 - Begin Answer
The successful process used to determine funding allocations for HHAP Round
1 will be repeated with HHAP Round 2. Interested agencies will be requested
to submit a Statement of Interest (SOI) and attend a funding roundtable
meeting to discuss all proposed projects. Many agencies who received
funding during the previous HHAP round are anticipated to submit proposals
for HHAP Round 2. This process has encouraged collaboration and
communication among homeless service agencies. Since homeless service
providers were involved in the identification of funding priorities, HRCS has
seen an increase in inter-agency collaboration. A barrier identified during
Round 1 was the amount of funding available. However, with additional
funding sources identified, HRCS has been able to address this barrier.
Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 3 - End Answer

4. How will HHAP-2 funding support and scale current partnerships?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 4 - Begin Answer

With the limited amount of funding available through HHAP Round 2, Placer County and HRCS have decided to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to have Placer County redirect its HHAP funding to HRCS.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 4 - End Answer

5. Describe applicant's share of the regional need as well as the share of the regional need from partnering jurisdictions (CoC, County, Large City).

Describe the methodology used for determining the share of the regional need.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 5 - Begin Answer Even though HRCS will be responsible for the contracting and reporting of HHAP funds, Placer County has been closely involved in identifying its funding priority.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 5 - End Answer

6. Describe how HHAP-2 funds will be integrated into the current regional strategic plan to address homelessness. Has the region's strategy for use of HHAP funding changed since HHAP-1?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 6 - Begin Answer
It has been decided that Placer County funds will be used for the operating
subsidies for interim and emergency housing (including Project Roomkey).
HRCS funding will be used for services coordination and system support.
Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 6 - End Answer

7. When spending plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs in the community, how are collaborative partners involved in those decisions?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 7 - Begin Answer If spending plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs within the community, the CoC is able to host a meeting with local stakeholders. Service needs would be addressed at this meeting, and the CoC will vote to approve or deny the amendments.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 7 - End Answer

8. Has a youth-specific strategy been identified within the applicant's region? If so, please describe. If not, why not?

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 8 - Begin Answer Local youth service providers have developed a Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Housing Collaborative. The lead agency within this collaborative is Whole Person Learning. Whole Person Learning was the funded youth agency for

HHAP Round 1. Since then, the Placer County Office of Education has received funds through other CoC funding sources. It is anticipated that these two agencies will submit SOI's for HHAP round 2 funding.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 8 - End Answer

9. Describe how youth-specific local partners are involved in making regional planning or spending decisions.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 9 - Begin Answer Both agencies are regular attendees at the CoC meetings and all funding meetings, and are heavily involved in providing recommendations for youth services or youth funding.

Regional Collaboration and Partnerships Question 9 - End Answer

8. Housing First Assessment

Housing First-oriented programs are low or no barrier and client-centered, emphasizing client-choice. Housing is not viewed as a reward or incentive for achieving specific goals or participating in a specific program, but as necessary to help a family or individual stabilize and meaningfully access services, which are offered as needed on a voluntary basis. In practice, this means that programs connect participants to permanent housing as quickly as possible with few to no preconditions, behavioral contingencies, or other barriers at enrollment or throughout the program.

Health and Safety Code Section 50220.5(g) mandates that all recipients of state homelessness funding shall comply with Housing First as provided in Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 8255) of Division 8 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

To successfully complete this section of the application, applicants will need to assess their current policies and check all that apply:

Table – Housing First Assessment

Housing First Assessment (check all that apply)

Housing First Assessment Statement: Access to programs is not contingent on sobriety, minimum income requirements, lack of a criminal record, completion of treatment, participation in services, or other unnecessary conditions.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Programs or projects do everything possible not to reject an individual or family on the basis of poor credit or financial history, poor or lack of rental history, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that are interpreted as indicating a lack of "housing readiness." Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: People with disabilities are offered clear opportunities to request reasonable accommodations within applications and screening processes and during tenancy and building and apartment units include special physical features that accommodate disabilities. Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Programs or projects that cannot serve someone work through the coordinated entry process to ensure that those individuals or families have access to housing and services elsewhere.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Housing and service goals and plans are highly client centered and driven.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Supportive services emphasize engagement and problem-solving over therapeutic goals.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Participation in services or compliance with service plans are not conditions of tenancy but are reviewed with clients and regularly offered as a resource to clients. Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Services are informed by a harm-reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of some clients' lives. Clients are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use and are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Substance use in and of itself, without other lease violations, is not considered a reason for eviction.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Clients are given reasonable flexibility in paying their share of rent on time and offered special payment arrangements for rent arrears and/or assistance with financial management, including representative payee arrangements as needed.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

Housing First Assessment Statement: Every effort is made to provide a client the opportunity to transfer from one housing situation, program, or project to another if a tenancy is in jeopardy. Whenever possible, eviction back into homelessness is avoided.

Housing First Assessment Response: Yes

9. Expenditure Plan

HHAP-2 Submission Expenditure Plan - NOFA-HHAP00069

CoC / Large City / County Name:

CoC / Large City / County Name Response: Placer

Administrative Entity Name:

Administrative Entity Name Response: Placer County Health & Human Services

Receiving Redirected Funds?

Receiving Redirected Funds? Response: No

<u>Total Redirected Funding:</u>

Total Redirected Funding Response:

Table – HHAP Funding Expenditure Plan – Eligible Use Categories and Funding

	FY 20/21	FY 21/22	FY 22/23	FY 23/24	FY 24/25	FY 25/26	TOTAL
Rapid Rehousing							
Rapid Rehousing: Youth Set-Aside							
Operating Subsidies and Reserves	\$143,136.30	\$143,136.30					\$286,272.60

Operating Subsidies and Reserves: Youth Set-Aside	\$12,312.80	\$12,312.80			\$24,625.60
Street Outreach					
Street Outreach: Youth Set-Aside					
Services Coordination					
Services Coordination: Youth Set-Aside					
Systems Support					
Systems Support: Youth Set-Aside					
Delivery of Permanent Housing					
Delivery of Permanent Housing: Youth Set-Aside					
Prevention and Shelter Diversion					
Prevention and Shelter Diversion: Youth Set-Aside					
New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters					
New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters: Youth Set-Aside					
Strategic Homelessness Planning,					
Infrastructure Development, CES and HMIS (up to 5%)					
Administrative (up to 7%)	\$10,773.70	\$10,773.70			\$21,547.40

TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION:

Total Funding Allocation Response: \$307,820.00

TOTAL YOUTH SET-ASIDE (at least 8%):

Total Youth Set-Aside (at least 8%) Response: \$24,625.60

EXPENDITURE PLAN COMMENTS:

Expenditure Plan Comments Response:

10. HHAP Round 2 Funding Plan 1

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Interim Housing (Operations)

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response: \$143,136.30

HHAP Eligible Uses:

1. Rapid rehousing

Rapid rehousing response:

2. Operating subsidies

Operating subsidies response: \$143,136.30

3. Street outreach

Street outreach response:

4. Services coordination

Services coordination response:

5. Systems support

Systems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housing

Delivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion

Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters

New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response: 617

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response: 617

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the summer months response: 59

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response: 73

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response: 15

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

Placer County intends to use a Statement of Interest (SOI) and collaborative discussion model. This model worked well during HHAP Round 1. This is to ensure serving all populations. Additionally it allows several different agencies to collaborate and utilize different resources to assist the homeless with housing options.

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins

Placer County is requesting 100% in operating subsidies. Half of the funding will be allocated to interim housing the specific activity operation of the funding has not been determined and is pending a contract and/or RFP with our community partners. Will also consider Project Roomkey. The other half of the funding for emergency shelter. [Placer County is requesting 50% in operating subsidies. Half of the funding will be allocated for interim housing. With the limited amount of funding available through HHAP Round 2, Placer County is partnering with HRCS and have decided to enter into a contract or agreement and if needed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to go out to the community. Placer County and HRCS are currently pending HCFC approval of the MOU process. Even though HRCS will be responsible for the contracting and reporting of HHAP funds, Placer County has been closely involved in identifying its funding priority. It has been decided that Placer County funds will be used for the operating subsidies for interim and emergency housing. HRCS funding will be used for services coordination and system support.

The Homeless Resource Council (HRCS) and the County of Placer collaborate closely on funding priorities. This included the development of the Placer County Strategic Plan. This plan involved participation from local law enforcement, county and city elected officials, the business community, shelter and service providers, Placer County staff, and community members and homeless advocates. In addition to the development of the strategic

plan, a representative from the County of Placer sits on the HRCS Board. All communication regarding funding is addressed at the CoC level, and meetings are held to discuss funding plans. If funding plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs within the community, the CoC is able to host a meeting with local stakeholders. Service needs would be addressed at this meeting, and the CoC will vote to approve or deny the amendments. The CoC membership includes: County of Placer, City of Rocklin, City of Roseville, City of Truckee, The Gathering Inn, Volunteers of America, Advocates for Mentally III Housing, Sierra Foothills Aids Foundation, Stand Up Placer and Project Go. All funding decisions are made with input from these partners and other homeless service providers and advocates. The successful process used to determine funding allocations for HHAP Round 1 will be repeated with HHAP Round 2. Interested agencies will be requested to submit a Statement of Interest (SOI) and attend a funding roundtable meeting to discuss all proposed projects. Many agencies who received funding during the previous HHAP round are anticipated to submit proposals for HHAP Round 2. This process has encouraged collaboration and communication among homeless service agencies. Since homeless service providers were involved in the identification of funding priorities, HRCS has seen an increase in inter-agency collaboration.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins

While the development of permanent supportive housing is a primary solution to addressing homelessness, new housing projects can often take several years to develop. A short- to medium-term solution to the housing crisis should include the increased development of interim housing beds. This could consist of emergency housing, bridge housing, and transitional housing to help lessen the gap between the unsheltered homeless population and the number of available beds.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults with children

Adults without children

Unaccompanied Youth (12-24yr of age per definition in HHAP statute)

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)
COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID
Parenting Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) staff have done reports utilizing the HUD tool, analyzing, and comparing data. The HMIS staff, at a minimum, of a quarterly basis will report findings to the CoC. Additionally, there will be a standing agenda item in regarding to disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities for the CoC.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

The Outcomes and Measurements Committee review HMIS data on each CoC-funded agency, as well as conducting the annual PIT and HIC Count. Additionally, the Outcomes and Measurements Committee focuses on agency evaluations at minimum annually.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends

7. If this intervention investment is expected to serve youth experiencing homelessness (as defined in HSC § 50216 (k) what youth-specific best practices will be utilized to ensure they can access the services?

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins

[Local youth service providers have developed a Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Housing Collaborative. The lead agency within this collaborative is Whole Person Learning. Whole Person Learning was the funded youth agency for HHAP Round 1. The collaborative selected to have AMI Housing oversee the contract. Since then, the Placer County Office of Education has received funds through other CoC funding sources. In addition our local shelters (The Gathering Inn) and Stand Up Placer serve young accompanied youth age 12-18. It is anticipated that these two agencies will submit SOI's for HHAP round 2 funding. Both agencies are regular attendees at the CoC meetings and all funding meetings, and are heavily involved in providing recommendations for youth services or youth funding. TAY Collaborative will continue to meet and discuss upcoming funding opportunities, recommendations, and decisions to ensure youth at the shelter will have

appropriate services.] Placer currently has a youth collaborative, work through committees to determine agency allocations and performance outcomes. In addition, mental health first aid, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approach, specialized staff.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response: Permanent Supportive / Service-Enriched Housing

(Operations)

<u>Total Funds Requested:</u>

Total Funds Requested Response: \$143,136.30

HHAP Eligible Uses:

1. Rapid rehousing

Rapid rehousing response:

2. Operating subsidies

Operating subsidies response: \$143,136.30

3. Street outreach

Street outreach response:

4. Services coordination

Services coordination response:

5. Systems support

Systems support response:

6. Delivery of permanent housing

Delivery of permanent housing response:

7. Prevention and diversion

Prevention and diversion response:

8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters

New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months
Shelter vacancy rate (%) in the winter months response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Placer County is requesting 50% in operating subsidies. Half of the funding will be allocated for permanent supportive housing, the specific activity operation of the funding has not been determined and is pending a contract and/or RFP with our community partners. With the limited amount of funding available through HHAP Round 2, Placer County is partnering with HRCS and have decided to enter into a contract or agreement and if needed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to go out to the community. Placer County and HRCS are currently pending HCFC approval of the MOU process. Even though HRCS will be responsible for the contracting and reporting of HHAP funds, Placer County has been closely involved in identifying its funding priority. It has been decided that Placer County funds will be used for the operating subsidies for permanent supportive housing. HRCS funding will be used for services coordination and system support.

The Homeless Resource Council (HRCS) and the County of Placer collaborate closely on funding priorities. This included the development of the Placer County Strategic Plan. This plan involved participation from local law enforcement, county and city elected officials, the business community, shelter and service providers, Placer County staff, and community members and homeless advocates. In addition to the development of the strategic plan, a representative from the County of Placer sits on the HRCS Board. All communication regarding funding is addressed at the CoC level, and meetings are held to discuss funding plans. If funding plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs within the community, the CoC is able to host a meeting with local stakeholders. Service needs would be addressed at this meeting, and the CoC will vote to approve or deny the amendments. The CoC membership includes: County of Placer, City of

Rocklin, City of Roseville, City of Truckee, The Gathering Inn, Volunteers of America, Advocates for Mentally III Housing, Sierra Foothills Aids Foundation, Stand Up Placer and Project Go. All funding decisions are made with input from these partners and other homeless service providers and advocates.

The successful process used to determine funding allocations for HHAP Round 1 will be repeated with HHAP Round 2. Interested agencies will be requested to submit a Statement of Interest (SOI) and attend a funding roundtable meeting to discuss all proposed projects and ensure what specifically will be funded for the permanent supportive housing project(s). Many agencies who received funding during the previous HHAP round are anticipated to submit proposals for HHAP Round 2. This process has encouraged collaboration and communication among homeless service agencies. Since homeless service providers were involved in the identification of funding priorities, HRCS has seen an increase in inter-agency collaboration.

The Homeless Resource Council (HRCS) and the County of Placer collaborate closely on funding priorities. This included the development of the Placer County Strategic Plan. This plan involved participation from local law enforcement, county and city elected officials, the business community, shelter and service providers, Placer County staff, and community members and homeless advocates. In addition to the development of the strategic plan, a representative from the County of Placer sits on the HRCS Board. All communication regarding funding is addressed at the CoC level, and meetings are held to discuss funding plans. If funding plans need to be adjusted in response to changing needs within the community, the CoC is able to host a meeting with local stakeholders. Service needs would be addressed at this meeting, and the CoC will vote to approve or deny the amendments. The CoC membership includes: County of Placer, City of Rocklin, City of Roseville, City of Truckee, The Gathering Inn, Volunteers of America, Advocates for Mentally III Housing, Sierra Foothills Aids Foundation, Stand Up Placer and Project Go. All funding decisions are made with input from these partners and other homeless service providers and advocates. The successful process used to determine funding allocations for HHAP Round 1 will be repeated with HHAP Round 2. Interested agencies will be requested to submit a Statement of Interest (SOI) and attend a funding roundtable meeting to discuss all proposed projects and ensure what specifically will be funded with operating subsidies. Many agencies who received funding during the previous HHAP round are anticipated to submit proposals for HHAP Round 2. This process has encouraged collaboration and communication among homeless service agencies. Since homeless service providers were involved in the identification of funding priorities, HRCS has seen an increase in inter-agency collaboration.

Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins

While the development of permanent supportive housing is a primary solution to addressing homelessness, new housing projects can often take several years to develop.

Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins

Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:

Adults with children

Adults without children

Unaccompanied Youth (12-24yr of age per definition in HHAP statute)

Chronically Homeless

Veterans

Domestic Violence Survivors

Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (Substance Use and Mental Health)

COVID High Risk – individuals at high-risk for contracting COVID

Parentina Youth

Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indiaenous communities.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins

Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) staff have done reports utilizing the HUD tool, analyzing, and comparing data. The HMIS staff, at a minimum, of a quarterly basis will report findings to the CoC. Additionally, there will be a standing agenda item in regarding to disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities for the CoC.

Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends

6. Describe how and how often performance will be measured for this intervention investment.

Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins

The Outcomes and Measurements Committee review HMIS data on each CoC-funded agency, as well as conducting the annual PIT and HIC Count. Additionally, the Outcomes and Measurements Committee focuses on agency evaluations at minimum annually.

Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Begins Local youth service providers have developed a Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Housing Collaborative. The lead agency within this collaborative is Whole Person Learning. Whole Person Learning was the funded youth agency for HHAP Round 1. The collaborative selected to have AMI Housing oversee the contract. Since then, the Placer County Office of Education has received funds through other CoC funding sources. In addition our local shelters (The Gathering Inn) and Stand Up Placer serve young accompanied youth age 12-18. It is anticipated that these two agencies will submit SOI's for HHAP round 2 funding. Both agencies are regular attendees at the CoC meetings and all funding meetings, and are heavily involved in providing recommendations for youth services or youth funding. Placer currently has a youth collaborative, committees work together to determine agency allocations and performance outcomes; mental health first aid, motivational interviewing, trauma-informed approach, specialized staff. Funding Plan – Question 7 – Response Ends

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination
 Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

- # of available shelter beds
- # of available shelter beds response:
- # of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count
- # of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- 2. Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination
 Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination
 Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

- # of available shelter beds
- # of available shelter beds response:
- # of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count
- # of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

Submission ID: NOFA-HHAP00069

Intervention Type:

Intervention Type Response:

Total Funds Requested:

Total Funds Requested Response:

HHAP Eligible Uses:

- Rapid rehousing
 Rapid rehousing response:
- Operating subsidiesOperating subsidies response:
- Street outreachStreet outreach response:
- 4. Services coordination
 Services coordination response:
- 5. Systems supportSystems support response:
- 6. Delivery of permanent housingDelivery of permanent housing response:
- 7. Prevention and diversion Prevention and diversion response:
- 8. New navigation centers and emergency shelters New navigation centers and emergency shelters response:

(Interim Housing Only Begins)

Demonstrated Need Data:

of available shelter beds

of available shelter beds response:

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count

of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness in the 2019 homeless point-in-time count response:

% of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions % of exits from emergency shelters to permanent housing solutions response:

Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing Describe plan to connect residents to permanent housing response:

(Interim Housing Only Ends)

2. Describe the scale and scope of anticipated intervention model and outline the key service components expected to be provided with this funding.

```
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 2 – Response Ends
```

3. Describe how the requested investment amount for this intervention will help your community achieve regional goals and address specific gaps in the homeless response system as identified in the regional gaps assessment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 3 – Response Ends
```

4. Check any specific population(s) expected to be served through this intervention investment.

```
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Begins
Submitter expects to serve the following specific populations:
Funding Plan – Question 4 – Response Ends
```

5. Describe how this intervention investment will address the disproportionate impacts of homelessness on communities of color, particularly Black, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Native and Indigenous communities.

```
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 5 – Response Ends
```

```
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Begins
Funding Plan – Question 6 – Response Ends
```

Homelessness Response Local Investment Plan

Please refer to the following for guidance and a sample plan:

Guide to Strategic Uses of Key State and Federal Funds to Reduce Homelessness During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Use the Table below to complete the Regional Resources Guide for submittal with your HHAP application. Refer to the Sample Local Investment Plan on page 11 of the Guide to Strategic Uses of Key State and Federal Funds as an example (link above).

Applicant Name:

Placer County

Part 1: Summary of Investment Plan

- 1. Rapid Rehousing/Homeless Prevention
- 2. Affordable Housing Development/Permanent Supportive Housing
- 3. Non-Congregate Shelter/Interim Housing
- 4. Congregate Shelter

Part 2: Priority and Order of Use of Funding Sources

Non Congregate Shelter/Interim Housing (Capital / Operations / Services)		Rental Assis (Short Term to Po		Permanent Supportive and St (Capital / Operation		Diversion and Homelessness Prevention				
Funding Source: Use and Priority #1		Funding Source: Use and Priority #1		Funding Source: Use and Priority #1		Funding Source: Use and Priority #1				
Funding Source:	FEMA	Funding Source:	ESG-CV (via HCD)	Funding Source:	Homekey (via HCD)	Funding Source:	ESG (via HCD)			
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Funding Amount:	Unknown	Funding Amount:	\$191,200.00	Funding Amount:	Unknown	Funding Amount:	7578.8			
Unit of Measure:		Unit of Measure:	Individual	Unit of Measure:		Unit of Measure:	Household			
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Number Assisted:	Unknown	Number Assisted:	60.00	Number Assisted:	Unknown	Number Assisted:		3.00		
Deadline for Expenditure:		Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2022	Deadline for Expenditure:	12/30/2020	Deadline for Expenditure:	2021/2022			
Funded Activity:	Operations	Funded Activity:	Short Term	Funded Activity:	Capital	Funded Activity:	Prevention			
If Other, list:		If Other, list:		If Other, list:		If Other, list:				
Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):				
Funding Source: Use and Priority #2		Funding Source: Use and Priority #2		Funding Source: Use and Priority #2		Funding Source: Use and Priority #2				
Funding Source:	ESG-CV (via HCD)	Funding Source:	HEAP (via HCFC)	Funding Source:	HEAP (via HCFC)	Funding Source:	ESG-CV (via HCD)			
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Funding Amount:	\$191,200.00	Funding Amount:	\$250,000.00	Funding Amount:	\$32,000.00	Funding Amount:	\$15	50,000.00		
Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household			
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Number Assisted:	100-120	Number Assisted:	50 anticipated	Number Assisted:	8.00	Number Assisted:	60 anticipated			
Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2022	Deadline for Expenditure:		Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2021	Deadline for Expenditure:		/30/2025		
Funded Activity:	Operations	Funded Activity:	Short Term	Funded Activity:	Services	Funded Activity:	,			
If Other, list:	1	If Other, list:		If Other, list:	Rental Assistance/Services	If Other, list:				
Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):				
Funding Source: Use and Priority #3		Funding Source: Use and Priority #3		Funding Source: Use and Priority #3		Funding Source: Use and Priority #3				
Funding Source:	COVID-19 Emergency Homelessness F	Funding Source:	HHAP (via HCFC)	Funding Source:	HHAP (via HCFC)	Funding Source:				
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Funding Amount:	\$120,242.01	Funding Amount:	162268.28	Funding Amount:	\$215,000.00	Funding Amount:				
Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:				
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Number Assisted:	60 anticipated	Number Assisted:	65	Number Assisted:	20-25 anticipated	Number Assisted:				
Deadline for Expenditure:	12/31/2020	Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2025	Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2025	Deadline for Expenditure:				
Funded Activity:	Operations	Funded Activity:	Short Term	Funded Activity:	Operations	Funded Activity:				
If Other, list:		If Other, list:		If Other, list:	Rental Assistance/Services	If Other, list:				
Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):				
Funding Source: Use and Priority #4		Funding Source: Use and Priority #4		Funding Source: Use and Priority #4		Funding Source: Use and Priority #4				
Funding Source:	HEAP (via HCFC)	Funding Source:	ESG (via HCD)	Funding Source:		Funding Source:				
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Funding Amount:	60000	Funding Amount:	\$68,209.20	Funding Amount:		Funding Amount:				
Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:	Household	Unit of Measure:		Unit of Measure:				
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:				
Number Assisted:	Unknown	Number Assisted:	13.00	Number Assisted:		Number Assisted:				

Deadline for Expenditure:	6/30/2021	Deadline for Expenditure:	2021/2022	Deadline for Expenditure:	Deadline for Expenditure:
Funded Activity:	Operations	Funded Activity:	Short Term	Funded Activity:	Funded Activity:
If Other, list:	Interim Housing	If Other, list:		If Other, list:	If Other, list:
Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):	Narrative Description (Optional):
Funding Source: Use and Priority #5		Funding Source: Use and Priority #5		Funding Source: Use and Priority #5	Funding Source: Use and Priority #5
Funding Source:		Funding Source:		Funding Source:	Funding Source:
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:	If Other, List:
Funding Amount:		Funding Amount:		Funding Amount:	Funding Amount:
Unit of Measure:		Unit of Measure:		Unit of Measure:	Unit of Measure:
If Other, List:		If Other, List:		If Other, List:	If Other, List:
Number Assisted:		Number Assisted:		Number Assisted:	Number Assisted:
Deadline for Expenditure:		Deadline for Expenditure:		Deadline for Expenditure:	Deadline for Expenditure:
Funded Activity:		Funded Activity:		Funded Activity:	Funded Activity:
If Other, list:		If Other, list:		If Other, list:	If Other, list:
Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):		Narrative Description (Optional):	Narrative Description (Optional):

Continuum of Care Outcomes by Race and Ethnicity

Go to this link for an instructional video on how to complete this worksheet using Stella: https://www.loom.com/share/ebeacf98b99f4823a9db5c32e5ee012b [loom.com]

Applicant Name:			CoC Name, if different: 515					_								
Using data from Stella, please insert outcomes here																
	Head of Households Served in Any Project Type ¹		Served in Shelters & Transitional Housing ²		Exiting to Permanent Housing ³		Days Homeless⁴		Accessing Permanent Supportive Housing ⁵		Returns to Homelessness ⁶		Other Measure:		Other Measure:	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total	980	100%	413	100%	297	100%		#DIV/0!	62	100%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
White, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	393	40%	305	74%	126	42%		#DIV/0!	41	66%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
White, Hispanic/Latino	39	4%	31	8%	13	4%		#DIV/0!	7	11%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Black or African American	32	3%	24	6%	14	5%		#DIV/0!	9	15%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Asian	4	0%	4	1%	1	0%		#DIV/0!	0	0%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
American Indian or Alaska Native	43	4%	33	8%	17	6%		#DIV/0!	0	0%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	1%	5	1%	0	0%		#DIV/0!	0	0%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Multiple Races	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		#DIV/0!	0	0%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Unknown	463	47%	10	2%	125	42%		#DIV/0!	1	2%		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	_	#DIV/0!