

**SB 423 Streamlined Ministerial Approval Process (SMAP) Determination
Methodology and Background Data
June 2024**

SB 423 Reporting Period

SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017), as amended by SB 423 (Chapter 778, Statutes of 2023), defines the Reporting Period, for all jurisdictions except for the City and County of San Francisco, as the first half of the regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) cycle or the complete RHNA cycle. SB 423 changed the SMAP Determination for the City and County of San Francisco to be calculated annually, rather than at the mid-point or end of cycle.

Once a jurisdiction completes the first-half of the 5th RHNA cycle, its next determination update will occur at the end of the 5th RHNA cycle. Thereafter, each jurisdiction's determination will be updated at the completion of the first-half of the 6th RHNA cycle and again at the end of the 6th RHNA cycle. Please see the pages below for specific dates for each region's determinations.

Contents

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and San Benito County Council of Governments (San Benito COG).....	3
Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG) and Kern Council of Governments (KCOG).....	4
Stanislaus County Council of Governments (Stan COG) and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG)	5
San Joaquin County Council of Governments (SJCOG)	6
Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG) and Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC).....	7
Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG)	8
City and County of San Francisco	15
Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG).....	17
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG).....	18
Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG).....	25
Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG)	26
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA)	27
Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG).....	28
San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG).....	42
Counties of Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, San Luis Obispo, and Siskiyou; and all cities within each county	44
Counties of Alpine, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, Trinity, and Tuolumne; and all cities within each county.....	48
County of Calaveras; and all cities within the county	50
County of Humboldt; and all cities within the county.....	52
Counties of Lake, Mendocino, Mono, and Nevada; and all cities within the county.....	53
County of Shasta; and all cities within the county	54

SB 423 (Weiner, 2023), updated SB 35 (Weiner, 2017), and made changes to how SMAP Determination criteria are applied:

- 1) Any jurisdiction without a compliant Housing Element is now required to apply SMAP Streamlining for housing developments with 10% affordability. If different from the current SB 423 SMAP Determination, this would supersede the current SB 423 Determination.
- 2) Upon reaching Housing Element compliance, the jurisdiction reverts to their Streamlining criteria from the current SB 423 SMAP Determination.
- 3) SB 423 also changed the SMAP Determination for the City and County of San Francisco to be calculated annually, rather than at the mid-point or end of cycle.

A developer may submit a SB 330 preliminary application in partnership with SB 423 applications locking in the current Streamlining criteria regardless of future changes to housing element compliance or a subsequent SB 423 SMAP determination.

A developer can confirm the jurisdiction's current compliance status using the [Housing Element Review and Compliance Report](#). APRs are on calendar years, while RHNA

planning periods¹ may begin and end at various times throughout the year. When a planning period begins after July, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior RHNA cycle. When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following RHNA cycle.

More detail is shown below by regional government or county and applies to all jurisdictions within the regional government or county.

Credit for Permitting during Projection Period

Jurisdictions may count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period² before the planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period.

APR Due Dates

Annual Progress Reports are due each April and report on the prior calendar year's activities. As of April 1, 2024, the 2023 APRs and prior APRs were due. While HCD will continue to update APR data as APRs are received, permits from APRs received after June 3, 2024, will not count toward this determination of a jurisdiction's eligibility for the SB 423 SMAP. The determination includes APRs received as of June 3, 2024.

¹ **Planning Period:** The time-period between the due date for one housing element and the due date for the next housing element. This time-period can be either 8 or 5 years, depending on the jurisdiction.

² **Projection Period:** The time-period for which the regional housing need assessment (RHNA) is calculated.

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and San Benito County Council of Governments (San Benito COG) – includes Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito Counties, and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/15/2015³ – 12/15/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: December 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period”.
After 2023 APRs are due	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period”.

³ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG) and Kern Council of Governments (KCOG) – includes Fresno and Kern Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁴ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: December 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013, 2014, and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁴ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Stanislaus County Council of Governments (Stan COG) and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) – includes Stanislaus and Tulare Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁵ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 09/30/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: December 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁵ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

San Joaquin County Council of Governments (SJCOG) – includes San Joaquin County; and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 12/31/2015⁶ – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: December 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁶ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG) and Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC) – includes Kings and Madera; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 01/31/2016 – 01/31/2024⁷

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: January 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁷ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG) – includes Merced County; and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 03/31/2016 – 03/31/2024⁸

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 12/31/2023

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: March 2020

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2016 2017 2018 2019
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014 and 2015. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2019 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁸ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings,
Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz,
Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.**

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
FRESNO	CLOVIS	0.6%	15.3%	348.8%	322.1%
FRESNO	COALINGA	89.3%	77.4%	33.3%	75.6%
FRESNO	FIREBAUGH	12.5%	48.5%	33.8%	20.9%
FRESNO	FOWLER	0.0%	4.8%	306.7%	105.3%
FRESNO	FRESNO	21.4%	15.5%	42.1%	126.9%
FRESNO	FRESNO COUNTY	20.4%	23.9%	77.4%	42.8%
FRESNO	KERMAN	0.4%	6.2%	190.1%	30.6%
FRESNO	KINGSBURG	10.6%	125.7%	46.7%	189.3%
FRESNO	MENDOTA	5.0%	67.9%	302.6%	2.3%
FRESNO	ORANGE COVE	9.0%	84.9%	1.0%	10.4%
FRESNO	REEDLEY	35.9%	31.4%	65.8%	59.3%
FRESNO	SAN JOAQUIN	0.0%	0.0%	37.1%	2.9%
FRESNO	SANGER	0.0%	41.1%	47.2%	9.9%
FRESNO	SELMA	39.3%	33.9%	171.0%	6.8%
KERN	ARVIN	0.0%	31.8%	260.7%	5.4%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8 -year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
KERN	BAKERSFIELD	7.1%	5.2%	68.4%	83.8%
KERN	DELANO	0.0%	0.0%	265.8%	16.5%
KERN	KERN COUNTY	2.5%	30.8%	10.2%	12.9%
KERN	MARICOPA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KERN	MCFARLAND	6.5%	8.2%	254.5%	8.9%
KERN	RIDGECREST	31.4%	19.1%	42.0%	11.9%
KERN	SHAFTER	0.0%	32.9%	19.9%	220.5%
KERN	TAFT	0.0%	3.8%	66.7%	36.3%
KERN	TEHACHAPI	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%
KERN	WASCO	0.0%	38.2%	7.1%	86.8%
KINGS	AVENAL	0.0%	67.6%	32.2%	0.0%
KINGS	CORCORAN	0.0%	1.2%	40.2%	0.7%
KINGS	HANFORD	0.3%	8.8%	68.3%	55.1%
KINGS	KINGS COUNTY	8.1%	76.1%	53.7%	15.3%
KINGS	LEMOORE	0.9%	4.5%	12.2%	22.3%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
MADERA	CHOWCHILLA	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	29.3%
MADERA	MADERA	12.5%	84.8%	43.3%	9.2%
MADERA	MADERA COUNTY	0.0%	7.6%	3.5%	144.5%
MERCED	ATWATER	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	67.6%
MERCED	DOS PALOS	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
MERCED	LIVINGSTON	0.0%	5.1%	10.4%	80.7%
MERCED	LOS BANOS	6.8%	17.2%	5.6%	168.9%
MERCED	MERCED	12.9%	6.4%	83.4%	147.3%
MERCED	MERCED COUNTY	1.8%	13.2%	16.5%	36.4%
MONTEREY	DEL REY OAKS	0.0%	100.0%	20.0%	0.0%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings,
Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz,
Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
MONTEREY	GONZALES	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%
MONTEREY	GREENFIELD	188.5%	314.0%	100.0%	81.0%
MONTEREY	KING CITY	0.0%	217.9%	18.2%	401.3%
MONTEREY	MARINA	24.4%	19.9%	61.9%	144.3%
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	12.1%	0.0%	1.7%	51.5%
MONTEREY	MONTEREY COUNTY	60.4%	119.7%	12.4%	253.5%
MONTEREY	PACIFIC GROVE	53.6%	405.6%	338.1%	131.3%
MONTEREY	SALINAS	38.7%	22.8%	1.0%	110.0%
MONTEREY	SEASIDE	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	94.5%
MONTEREY	SOLEDAD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	398.8%
SAN BENITO	HOLLISTER	0.0%	0.0%	44.6%	317.8%
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO COUNTY	3.0%	15.0%	1.8%	298.9%
SAN BENITO	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	10.0%	16.7%	12.5%	23.5%
SAN JOAQUIN	ESCALON	0.0%	0.0%	14.1%	18.2%
SAN JOAQUIN	LATHROP	0.0%	0.0%	38.7%	194.2%
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI	10.5%	8.2%	26.7%	210.3%
SAN JOAQUIN	MANTECA	0.4%	0.7%	22.8%	337.9%
SAN JOAQUIN	RIPON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.4%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	4.8%	25.3%	49.2%	72.1%
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	15.0%	15.4%	28.7%	54.4%
SAN JOAQUIN	TRACY	0.0%	0.3%	40.2%	207.8%
SANTA CRUZ	CAPITOLA	20.6%	0.0%	69.2%	83.3%
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	105.6%	432.2%	195.6%	262.3%
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	59.0%	125.1%	96.2%	92.4%
SANTA CRUZ	SCOTTS VALLEY	0.0%	54.5%	30.8%	369.0%
SANTA CRUZ	WATSONVILLE	86.4%	78.2%	13.3%	90.8%
STANISLAUS	HUGHSON	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	148.4%
STANISLAUS	MODESTO	9.5%	28.0%	36.5%	67.2%
STANISLAUS	NEWMAN	1.6%	3.4%	38.2%	14.2%
STANISLAUS	OAKDALE	4.4%	22.3%	40.0%	94.2%
STANISLAUS	RIVERBANK	10.3%	18.4%	0.0%	54.7%
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS COUNTY	0.0%	9.3%	44.2%	85.5%
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK	2.1%	49.6%	104.5%	31.3%
STANISLAUS	WATERFORD	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	49.3%
TULARE	DINUBA	45.0%	68.1%	190.9%	74.7%
TULARE	EXETER	0.0%	5.6%	10.6%	11.0%
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE	1,327.0%	1,421.5%	20.6%	2.3%
TULARE	LINDSAY	117.5%	102.5%	58.5%	10.1%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Stanislaus, Tulare; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all years (2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
TULARE	PORTERVILLE	12.2%	32.3%	47.9%	20.8%
TULARE	TULARE	15.9%	10.0%	52.5%	225.8%
TULARE	TULARE COUNTY	24.8%	48.8%	31.0%	8.1%
TULARE	VISALIA	10.7%	66.3%	145.6%	55.4%

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	2023 APR Submission Status
FRESNO	HURON	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
FRESNO	PARLIER	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
KERN	CALIFORNIA CITY	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
MERCED	GUSTINE	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
MONTEREY	CARMEL	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
MONTEREY	SAND CITY	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
STANISLAUS	CERES	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
STANISLAUS	PATTERSON	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
TULARE	WOODLAKE	No 2023 Annual Progress Report

City and County of San Francisco

6th Cycle Planning Period: 01/31/2023 – 01/31/2031

6th Cycle Projection Period: 06/30/2022 – 12/15/2030

APRs that count toward First Annual Reporting Period	2023
APRs that count toward Second Annual Reporting Period	2024
APRs that count toward Third Annual Reporting Period	2025
APRs that count toward Fourth Annual Reporting Period	2026
APRs that count toward Fifth Annual Reporting Period	2027
APRs that count toward Sixth Annual Reporting Period	2028
APRs that count toward Seventh Annual Reporting Period	2029
APRs that count toward Eighth Annual Reporting Period	2030

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 1/8ths (12.5%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2024 APRs are due:	Less than 2/8ths (25%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2025 APRs are due:	Less than 3/8ths (37.5%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2026 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 5/8ths (62.5%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 6/8ths (75%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 7/8ths (87.5%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2030 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the City and County of San Francisco

*San Francisco is in the First Year of its annual reporting for the 6th Cycle RHNA. **Less than 12.5% permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for San Francisco will be conducted at the second year of the 6th Cycle at which point they will need to demonstrate 25% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	2.5%	2.7%	3.0%	4.9%

Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), includes Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 01/31/2015 – 01/31/2023⁹

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 10/31/2022

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: January 2019

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	- 2015 2016 2017 2018
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021 2022

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For Bay Area Metro jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2022 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

⁹ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) – includes Santa Barbara County; and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 02/15/2015 – 02/15/2023¹⁰

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 09/30/2022

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: February 2019

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2015 2016 2017 2018
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021 2022

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For these jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2022 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁰ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA	60.1%	64.9%	37.1%	255.9%
ALAMEDA	ALAMEDA COUNTY	33.7%	102.6%	20.0%	39.0%
ALAMEDA	ALBANY	40.0%	56.6%	163.2%	145.5%
ALAMEDA	BERKELEY	74.4%	42.3%	15.6%	257.2%
ALAMEDA	DUBLIN	3.3%	8.7%	18.6%	805.0%
ALAMEDA	EMERYVILLE	41.7%	23.2%	23.2%	143.1%
ALAMEDA	FREMONT	40.7%	55.8%	9.2%	384.1%
ALAMEDA	HAYWARD	24.0%	42.7%	38.8%	179.0%
ALAMEDA	LIVERMORE	23.4%	12.0%	133.9%	162.1%
ALAMEDA	NEWARK	42.1%	35.3%	22.8%	515.8%
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	71.5%	40.1%	5.5%	207.8%
ALAMEDA	PIEDMONT	87.5%	242.9%	160.0%	628.6%
ALAMEDA	PLEASANTON	37.4%	19.9%	17.2%	300.9%
ALAMEDA	SAN LEANDRO	30.4%	40.0%	4.0%	34.0%
ALAMEDA	UNION CITY	0.0%	0.0%	47.4%	96.9%
CONTRA COSTA	ANTIOCH	59.3%	155.6%	43.0%	285.1%
CONTRA COSTA	BRENTWOOD	1.7%	11.3%	56.9%	1,269.9%
CONTRA COSTA	CLAYTON	0.0%	40.0%	12.9%	70.6%
CONTRA COSTA	CONCORD	9.0%	2.5%	1.6%	37.1%
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	26.5%	99.1%	111.9%	390.0%
CONTRA COSTA	DANVILLE	5.1%	82.9%	57.3%	343.7%
CONTRA COSTA	EL CERRITO	118.0%	38.1%	37.7%	408.4%
CONTRA COSTA	HERCULES	0.0%	13.6%	217.0%	211.5%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
CONTRA COSTA	LAFAYETTE	6.5%	7.7%	165.9%	537.4%
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	84.1%
CONTRA COSTA	MORAGA	0.0%	6.8%	20.0%	206.7%
CONTRA COSTA	OAKLEY	2.5%	108.0%	225.7%	461.6%
CONTRA COSTA	ORINDA	0.0%	0.0%	146.3%	819.0%
CONTRA COSTA	PINOLE	8.8%	281.3%	88.4%	27.0%
CONTRA COSTA	PITTSBURG	8.2%	135.4%	142.7%	104.9%
CONTRA COSTA	PLEASANT HILL	0.0%	2.9%	84.5%	84.2%
CONTRA COSTA	RICHMOND	100.0%	70.2%	0.0%	68.8%
CONTRA COSTA	SAN PABLO	96.4%	26.4%	69.3%	16.6%
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON	11.8%	68.5%	125.5%	664.1%
CONTRA COSTA	WALNUT CREEK	16.4%	11.5%	22.6%	201.9%
MARIN	BELVEDERE	0.0%	0.0%	225.0%	20.0%
MARIN	CORTE MADERA	200.0%	392.3%	200.0%	816.7%
MARIN	FAIRFAX	106.3%	654.5%	372.7%	78.3%
MARIN	LARKSPUR	127.5%	125.0%	66.7%	178.4%
MARIN	MARIN COUNTY	101.8%	206.3%	129.7%	413.1%
MARIN	MILL VALLEY	117.1%	229.2%	126.9%	123.7%
MARIN	NOVATO	64.0%	104.6%	73.6%	204.2%
MARIN	ROSS	100.0%	100.0%	125.0%	125.0%
MARIN	SAN ANSELMO	87.9%	247.1%	342.1%	121.6%
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	29.2%	93.9%	6.1%	76.0%
MARIN	SAUSALITO	88.5%	285.7%	143.8%	43.5%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa,
San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma;
and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
MARIN	TIBURON	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	142.1%
NAPA	AMERICAN CANYON	181.0%	448.1%	248.3%	141.5%
NAPA	CALISTOGA	383.3%	2,050.0%	1,900.0%	293.3%
NAPA	NAPA	86.5%	156.6%	93.6%	321.1%
NAPA	NAPA COUNTY	27.5%	46.7%	212.5%	192.5%
NAPA	SAINT HELENA	87.5%	180.0%	80.0%	823.1%
NAPA	YOUNTVILLE	25.0%	50.0%	433.3%	212.5%
SAN MATEO	ATHERTON	245.7%	292.3%	79.3%	5,633.3%
SAN MATEO	BELMONT	19.0%	173.0%	116.4%	243.7%
SAN MATEO	BRISBANE	8.0%	15.4%	200.0%	216.7%
SAN MATEO	BURLINGAME	29.7%	80.6%	48.4%	452.4%
SAN MATEO	COLMA	155.0%	562.5%	0.0%	45.5%
SAN MATEO	DALY CITY	51.5%	169.1%	106.3%	136.2%
SAN MATEO	EAST PALO ALTO	218.8%	233.3%	60.2%	7.1%
SAN MATEO	FOSTER CITY	63.5%	60.9%	32.9%	613.4%
SAN MATEO	HALF MOON BAY	100.0%	12.9%	236.1%	66.1%
SAN MATEO	HILLSBOROUGH	231.3%	523.5%	204.8%	138.1%
SAN MATEO	MENLO PARK	104.7%	120.2%	72.0%	1,238.7%
SAN MATEO	MILLBRAE	21.2%	69.3%	32.1%	122.2%
SAN MATEO	PACIFICA	0.0%	64.7%	48.6%	88.3%
SAN MATEO	PORTOLA VALLEY	81.0%	26.7%	80.0%	253.8%
SAN MATEO	REDWOOD CITY	48.6%	121.4%	0.0%	205.5%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin,
Napa,
San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma;
and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low -income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted

COUNTY	JURISDICTION	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SAN MATEO	SAN BRUNO	9.5%	68.3%	40.0%	24.6%
SAN MATEO	SAN CARLOS	14.4%	13.1%	12.6%	336.6%
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	28.8%	47.3%	33.0%	149.5%
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO COUNTY	72.5%	162.1%	122.5%	105.8%
SAN MATEO	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	26.0%	25.3%	48.9%	162.0%
SAN MATEO	WOODSIDE	191.3%	315.4%	133.3%	581.8%
SANTA BARBARA	BUELLTON	7.6%	9.1%	161.0%	114.5%
SANTA BARBARA	CARPINTERIA	169.2%	184.6%	5.9%	221.9%
SANTA BARBARA	GOLETA	33.2%	73.2%	9.8%	291.0%
SANTA BARBARA	GUADALUPE	775.0%	1,762.5%	492.3%	1,400.0%
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC	0.0%	0.0%	51.6%	8.1%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA	12.5%	16.4%	2.0%	97.8%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	45.9%	250.9%	368.8%	461.6%
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA MARIA	19.6%	270.7%	107.4%	64.4%
SANTA BARBARA	SOLVANG	85.7%	50.0%	3.3%	122.7%
SANTA CLARA	CAMPBELL	5.1%	2.9%	10.6%	153.5%
SANTA CLARA	CUPERTINO	13.5%	9.2%	68.4%	118.9%
SANTA CLARA	GILROY	91.9%	455.6%	46.1%	328.0%
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS	4.1%	77.8%	56.3%	552.6%
SANTA CLARA	LOS ALTOS HILLS	126.1%	175.0%	106.3%	746.7%
SANTA CLARA	LOS GATOS	24.4%	2.7%	118.2%	278.2%
SANTA CLARA	MILPITAS	27.1%	15.8%	12.0%	322.6%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa,
San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma;
and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SANTA CLARA	MONTE SERENO	282.6%	430.8%	46.2%	666.7%
SANTA CLARA	MORGAN HILL	113.9%	313.6%	415.7%	511.7%
SANTA CLARA	MOUNTAIN VIEW	31.2%	53.0%	25.8%	522.4%
SANTA CLARA	PALO ALTO	14.6%	13.9%	15.5%	130.0%
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	24.7%	11.4%	42.3%	115.1%
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	45.1%	72.2%	28.2%	435.2%
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	450.0%	592.3%	1,213.6%	2,100.0%
SANTA CLARA	SARATOGA	2.0%	89.5%	140.4%	151.6%
SANTA CLARA	SUNNYVALE	15.5%	13.2%	47.1%	235.0%
SOLANO	BENICIA	1.1%	5.6%	39.3%	16.3%
SOLANO	DIXON	54.0%	291.7%	483.3%	1,018.3%
SOLANO	FAIRFIELD	19.5%	27.0%	95.2%	233.0%
SOLANO	RIO VISTA	0.0%	11.1%	322.9%	310.6%
SOLANO	SOLANO COUNTY	26.9%	893.3%	284.2%	402.3%
SOLANO	SUISUN CITY	29.9%	201.8%	0.0%	73.4%
SOLANO	VACAVILLE	26.1%	121.6%	334.7%	522.7%
SOLANO	VALLEJO	26.1%	0.6%	0.0%	64.1%
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE	305.1%	406.9%	32.3%	77.7%
SONOMA	COTATI	37.1%	105.6%	138.9%	130.3%
SONOMA	HEALDSBURG	119.4%	141.7%	357.7%	265.8%
SONOMA	PETALUMA	66.8%	76.7%	143.8%	430.7%
SONOMA	ROHNERT PARK	108.8%	146.7%	33.1%	357.0%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(y) of the SB 423 SMAP Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low - income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SONOMA	SANTA ROSA	62.7%	90.2%	37.4%	136.9%
SONOMA	SEBASTOPOL	168.2%	158.8%	121.1%	101.6%
SONOMA	SONOMA	100.0%	152.2%	192.6%	130.2%
SONOMA	SONOMA COUNTY	143.7%	994.6%	394.4%	772.4%
SONOMA	WINDSOR	45.0%	290.8%	4.5%	84.6%

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) – includes Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 10/15/2013¹¹ – 10/15/2021

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 10/31/2021

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: October 2018

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Due to an anomaly in setting the SCAG planning and projection period for the 5th housing element cycle, the SCAG projection period begins after the planning period. As result, SCAG jurisdictions cannot count units permitted before the start of the 5th Cycle projection period. For more information, please see the link below:

<https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/hcdrhnaclarificationhe052112.pdf>

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹¹ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) – includes El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba Counties; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 10/31/2013¹² – 5/14/2021

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 10/31/2021

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: October 2017

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For SACOG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 7/7ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹² When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) – includes the City of South Lake Tahoe

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/15/2014¹³ – 06/29/2022

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2013 – 10/31/2021

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: June 2018

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For TRPA jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2013. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹³ When the planning period begins after July 1, the APR for that year is attributed to the prior cycle.

**Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) – includes Butte County;
and all cities within the County**

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/15/2014 – 06/15/2022¹⁴

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 06/15/2022

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: June 2018

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016 2017
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2018 2019 2020 2021

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For BCAG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2014, which will already be included on their 2014 APR.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2017 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁴ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
BUTTE	BUTTE COUNTY	1.0%	13.6%	86.9%	57.5%
BUTTE	CHICO	34.4%	17.7%	97.3%	203.7%
BUTTE	OROVILLE	89.7%	72.2%	0.0%	31.9%
BUTTE	PARADISE	68.1%	429.0%	428.0%	400.7%
EL DORADO	EL DORADO COUNTY	5.4%	33.3%	18.3%	263.0%
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	0.0%	2.6%	22.2%	98.9%
IMPERIAL	CALIPATRIA	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO	52.4%	78.3%	94.9%	11.7%
IMPERIAL	HOLTVILLE	81.5%	32.3%	3.1%	1.1%
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	19.8%	4.9%	255.4%	41.6%
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	1.9%
IMPERIAL	WESTMORLAND	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
LOS ANGELES	AGOURA HILLS	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	128.9%
LOS ANGELES	ARCADIA	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%	106.9%
LOS ANGELES	ARTESIA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	217.6%
LOS ANGELES	AVALON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%
LOS ANGELES	AZUSA	0.0%	5.1%	840.9%	66.7%
LOS ANGELES	BALDWIN PARK	33.1%	20.5%	2.2%	133.9%
LOS ANGELES	BELL	590.9%	771.4%	75.0%	400.0%
LOS ANGELES	BELL GARDENS	36.4%	0.0%	150.0%	745.0%
LOS ANGELES	BELLFLOWER	100.0%	1,600.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY HILLS	3,400.0%	3,600.0%	200.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	BRADBURY	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	BURBANK	0.4%	64.2%	13.8%	66.3%
LOS ANGELES	CALABASAS	13.6%	0.0%	35.1%	141.2%
LOS ANGELES	CARSON	8.7%	21.7%	46.4%	60.2%
LOS ANGELES	CERRITOS	17.4%	7.1%	7.1%	1,014.3%
LOS ANGELES	CLAREMONT	19.4%	23.7%	71.9%	296.1%
LOS ANGELES	COMMERCE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	180.0%
LOS ANGELES	COMPTON	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	COVINA	28.3%	45.7%	71.1%	122.7%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado,
Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San
Bernardino,
Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
LOS ANGELES	CUDAHY	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%
LOS ANGELES	CULVER CITY	14.6%	13.8%	12.9%	789.6%
LOS ANGELES	DIAMOND BAR	2.6%	11.5%	0.5%	67.6%
LOS ANGELES	DOWNEY	0.0%	4.9%	51.9%	147.4%
LOS ANGELES	DUARTE	48.3%	69.8%	5.5%	388.0%
LOS ANGELES	EL MONTE	56.5%	32.7%	4.3%	91.6%
LOS ANGELES	EL SEGUNDO	22.2%	18.2%	0.0%	839.3%
LOS ANGELES	GARDENA	1.0%	0.0%	81.8%	453.2%
LOS ANGELES	GLENDALE	19.5%	51.6%	3.0%	437.4%
LOS ANGELES	GLENDORA	0.0%	0.9%	2.6%	222.5%
LOS ANGELES	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%
LOS ANGELES	HAWTHORNE	5.3%	126.7%	49.1%	232.3%
LOS ANGELES	HERMOSA BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	HIDDEN HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	285.7%
LOS ANGELES	HUNTINGTON PARK	0.0%	67.2%	9.4%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	INDUSTRY	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	INGLEWOOD	32.0%	0.7%	0.0%	18.8%
LOS ANGELES	IRWINDALE	225.0%	600.0%	200.0%	0.0%
LOS ANGELES	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	213.6%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
LOS ANGELES	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%
LOS ANGELES	LA MIRADA	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	32.3%
LOS ANGELES	LA PUENTE	16.3%	32.2%	0.0%	42.1%
LOS ANGELES	LA VERNE	32.7%	19.3%	31.9%	139.1%
LOS ANGELES	LAKEWOOD	58.9%	19.0%	1.5%	97.6%
LOS ANGELES	LANCASTER	35.1%	108.6%	47.9%	59.3%
LOS ANGELES	LAWNDALE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.7%
LOS ANGELES	LOMITA	8.3%	128.6%	437.5%	1,535.0%
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH	26.8%	20.0%	2.4%	147.6%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	48.2%	41.7%	6.7%	362.6%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	11.9%	15.3%	0.6%	71.1%
LOS ANGELES	LYNWOOD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%
LOS ANGELES	MALIBU	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	MANHATTAN BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2,940.0%
LOS ANGELES	MAYWOOD	7.7%	50.0%	233.3%	43.5%
LOS ANGELES	MONROVIA	12.9%	0.0%	7.7%	517.9%
LOS ANGELES	MONTEBELLO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
LOS ANGELES	MONTEREY PARK	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.7%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
LOS ANGELES	NORWALK	236.5%	341.9%	139.4%	222.4%
LOS ANGELES	PALMDALE	8.7%	8.5%	63.6%	5.9%
LOS ANGELES	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	50.0%	33.3%	33.3%	750.0%
LOS ANGELES	PARAMOUNT	53.8%	75.0%	94.1%	215.2%
LOS ANGELES	PASADENA	73.2%	34.8%	189.3%	476.3%
LOS ANGELES	PICO RIVERA	0.0%	16.0%	1.4%	31.5%
LOS ANGELES	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	62.5%	0.0%	180.0%	946.2%
LOS ANGELES	REDONDO BEACH	2.7%	24.7%	1.7%	97.3%
LOS ANGELES	ROLLING HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	100.0%	100.0%	200.0%	21,950.0%
LOS ANGELES	ROSEMEAD	0.0%	10.2%	51.5%	136.3%
LOS ANGELES	SAN DIMAS	0.8%	5.6%	10.4%	46.6%
LOS ANGELES	SAN FERNANDO	50.9%	800.0%	168.6%	73.7%
LOS ANGELES	SAN GABRIEL	1.3%	61.3%	68.8%	81.7%
LOS ANGELES	SAN MARINO	300.0%	1,100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	SANTA FE SPRINGS	0.0%	30.0%	9.4%	251.1%
LOS ANGELES	SANTA MONICA	115.7%	120.9%	18.7%	334.6%
LOS ANGELES	SIERRA MADRE	14.3%	500.0%	33.3%	230.4%
LOS ANGELES	SIGNAL HILL	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	78.6%

**SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado,
Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San
Bernardino, Sutter,
Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county**

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH EL MONTE	0.0%	104.0%	3.6%	261.8%
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH GATE	23.9%	124.3%	281.0%	15.4%
LOS ANGELES	SOUTH PASADENA	5.9%	30.0%	9.1%	520.0%
LOS ANGELES	TEMPLE CITY	87.4%	10.8%	6.1%	228.2%
LOS ANGELES	TORRANCE	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	65.0%
LOS ANGELES	VERNON	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LOS ANGELES	WALNUT	0.0%	4.2%	3.2%	128.9%
LOS ANGELES	WEST COVINA	4.1%	16.3%	2.2%	218.4%
LOS ANGELES	WEST HOLLYWOOD	505.3%	1,500.0%	592.3%	5,621.2%
LOS ANGELES	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER	0.0%	80.7%	146.6%	275.1%
ORANGE	ALISO VIEJO	922.2%	5,842.9%	6,057.1%	0.0%
ORANGE	ANAHEIM	23.7%	7.8%	8.1%	332.3%
ORANGE	BREA	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	314.9%
ORANGE	BUENA PARK	163.2%	260.4%	291.9%	193.2%
ORANGE	COSTA MESA	1,300.0%	2,900.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	CYPRESS	25.4%	16.0%	21.4%	480.9%
ORANGE	DANA POINT	5.3%	50.9%	49.2%	355.5%
ORANGE	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	153.0%	133.9%	18.5%	141.1%
ORANGE	FULLERTON	64.5%	48.5%	3.9%	163.9%
ORANGE	GARDEN GROVE	7.9%	39.2%	60.7%	326.5%
ORANGE	HUNTINGTON BEACH	7.0%	17.7%	23.0%	49.1%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
ORANGE	IRVINE	41.3%	1.8%	583.7%	377.7%
ORANGE	LA HABRA	2,100.0%	6,700.0%	1,600.0%	50,400.0%
ORANGE	LA PALMA	0.0%	150.0%	0.0%	333.3%
ORANGE	LAGUNA BEACH	0.0%	200.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	LAGUNA HILLS	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	LAGUNA NIGUEL	146.5%	200.0%	17.6%	2,164.0%
ORANGE	LAGUNA WOODS	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	LAKE FOREST	8.0%	44.2%	46.7%	336.9%
ORANGE	LOS ALAMITOS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	311.5%
ORANGE	MISSION VIEJO	45.2%	124.1%	51.5%	1,279.5%
ORANGE	NEWPORT BEACH	10,900.0%	13,400.0%	1,200.0%	89,000.0%
ORANGE	ORANGE	12.0%	122.0%	2,195.5%	356.1%
ORANGE	ORANGE COUNTY	24.8%	26.5%	18.4%	254.2%
ORANGE	PLACENTIA	43.8%	2.5%	52.2%	392.3%
ORANGE	RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	SAN CLEMENTE	50.7%	33.7%	51.9%	296.3%
ORANGE	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	15.0%	57.7%	32.5%	263.7%
ORANGE	SANTA ANA	1,148.9%	5,393.8%	167.6%	4,031.1%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the Lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
ORANGE	SEAL BEACH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	STANTON	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	333.6%
ORANGE	TUSTIN	33.9%	39.0%	50.9%	244.4%
ORANGE	VILLA PARK	266.7%	650.0%	400.0%	350.0%
ORANGE	WESTMINSTER	8,700.0%	11,700.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ORANGE	YORBA LINDA	91.3%	63.7%	20.6%	304.4%
PLACER	AUBURN	0.0%	1.9%	80.7%	92.0%
PLACER	COLFAX	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	120.8%
PLACER	LINCOLN	0.0%	0.0%	86.5%	84.6%
PLACER	LOOMIS	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	20.3%
PLACER	PLACER COUNTY	9.0%	14.7%	21.6%	139.9%
PLACER	ROCKLIN	0.4%	0.5%	173.5%	216.0%
PLACER	ROSEVILLE	8.1%	4.2%	202.9%	158.2%
RIVERSIDE	BLYTHE	0.0%	0.0%	30.7%	0.6%
RIVERSIDE	CALIMESA	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	110.0%
RIVERSIDE	CANYON LAKE	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	100.0%
RIVERSIDE	CATHEDRAL	0.0%	87.4%	117.3%	248.8%
RIVERSIDE	COACHELLA	11.7%	5.7%	21.7%	5.2%
RIVERSIDE	CORONA	33.3%	71.1%	47.2%	870.8%
RIVERSIDE	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	5.6%	0.3%	18.1%	0.0%
RIVERSIDE	EASTVALE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	332.0%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
RIVERSIDE	HEMET	0.0%	69.8%	418.8%	185.5%
RIVERSIDE	INDIAN WELLS	2.5%	7.4%	3.2%	495.2%
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	51.8%	0.0%	0.2%	191.3%
RIVERSIDE	JURUPA VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	142.4%
RIVERSIDE	LA QUINTA	114.3%	247.5%	18.2%	561.6%
RIVERSIDE	LAKE ELSINORE	3.8%	23.1%	151.5%	57.1%
RIVERSIDE	MENIFEE	0.7%	3.1%	112.1%	203.4%
RIVERSIDE	MORENO VALLEY	2.7%	4.1%	71.2%	71.1%
RIVERSIDE	MURRIETA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	282.0%
RIVERSIDE	NORCO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
RIVERSIDE	PALM DESERT	38.8%	53.7%	0.0%	352.9%
RIVERSIDE	PALM SPRINGS	71.4%	114.0%	72.0%	1,199.1%
RIVERSIDE	PERRIS	35.0%	0.0%	29.2%	75.2%
RIVERSIDE	RANCHO MIRAGE	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	1,959.0%
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	2.5%	5.6%	15.7%	60.3%
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	3.1%	1.7%	25.2%	71.2%
RIVERSIDE	SAN JACINTO	0.0%	0.5%	141.0%	48.7%
RIVERSIDE	TEMECULA	4.0%	0.0%	5.5%	360.9%
RIVERSIDE	WILDOMAR	0.0%	3.9%	13.9%	67.4%
SACRAMENTO	CITRUS HEIGHTS	7.5%	4.9%	20.8%	36.2%
SACRAMENTO	ELK GROVE	7.2%	7.6%	19.7%	186.1%
SACRAMENTO	FOLSOM	5.3%	14.5%	94.3%	153.5%
SACRAMENTO	GALT	0.8%	29.7%	0.0%	127.8%
SACRAMENTO	ISLETON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SACRAMENTO	RANCHO CORDOVA	6.5%	0.0%	57.1%	73.6%
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	16.5%	33.9%	160.2%	56.1%
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	4.3%	7.1%	71.4%	42.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	ADELANTO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%
SAN BERNARDINO	APPLE VALLEY	0.1%	1.3%	81.5%	8.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	BARSTOW	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	BIG BEAR LAKE	0.0%	500.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO	37.9%	42.5%	0.9%	399.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	CHINO HILLS	2.3%	4.7%	810.4%	272.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	COLTON	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	38.4%
SAN BERNARDINO	FONTANA	4.4%	21.3%	0.0%	156.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	GRAND TERRACE	3.6%	5.3%	77.3%	120.4%
SAN BERNARDINO	HESPERIA	0.0%	7.3%	261.1%	173.3%
SAN BERNARDINO	HIGHLAND	6.6%	84.1%	10.7%	21.4%
SAN BERNARDINO	LOMA LINDA	15.0%	30.5%	8.9%	82.3%
SAN BERNARDINO	MONTCLAIR	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%	242.5%
SAN BERNARDINO	NEEDLES	5.3%	0.0%	58.8%	21.3%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SAN BERNARDINO	ONTARIO	4.2%	3.8%	72.5%	166.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	8.6%	7.8%	108.2%	850.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	REDLANDS	2.1%	8.1%	4.2%	86.1%
SAN BERNARDINO	RIALTO	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	51.5%
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	5.8%	15.9%	3.0%	16.2%
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	3,588.9%	19,566.7%	13,928.6%	9,482.4%
SAN BERNARDINO	TWENTYNINE PALMS	0.0%	13.9%	94.0%	0.0%
SAN BERNARDINO	UPLAND	0.0%	0.4%	37.1%	148.2%
SAN BERNARDINO	VICTORVILLE	0.4%	0.0%	18.5%	26.8%
SAN BERNARDINO	YUCAIPA	16.2%	98.5%	14.4%	56.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	YUCCA VALLEY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	68.8%
SUTTER	LIVE OAK	44.2%	51.4%	3.6%	100.0%
SUTTER	SUTTER COUNTY	3.5%	1.7%	32.3%	69.5%
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	0.5%	11.7%	27.1%	13.7%
VENTURA	CAMARILLO	23.6%	29.2%	214.6%	119.3%
VENTURA	FILLMORE	67.5%	39.3%	14.8%	96.6%
VENTURA	MOORPARK	9.0%	18.8%	7.4%	124.2%
VENTURA	OJAI	0.0%	0.0%	108.6%	11.0%
VENTURA	OXNARD	11.3%	65.4%	39.9%	43.3%
VENTURA	PORT HUENEME	0.0%	1,000.0%	100.0%	100.0%
VENTURA	SANTA PAULA	0.0%	5.0%	2.5%	0.2%
VENTURA	SIMI VALLEY	11.3%	1.4%	38.9%	128.9%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
VENTURA	THOUSAND OAKS	66.0%	6.3%	741.7%	614.3%
VENTURA	VENTURA	25.3%	20.1%	12.2%	169.3%
VENTURA	VENTURA COUNTY	48.0%	132.1%	89.9%	78.4%
YOLO	DAVIS	59.3%	86.8%	208.1%	148.2%
YOLO	WEST SACRAMENTO	16.1%	2.7%	117.6%	20.6%
YOLO	WOODLAND	37.4%	34.3%	83.1%	125.1%
YOLO	YOLO COUNTY	13.8%	7.7%	8.5%	3.8%
YUBA	MARYSVILLE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%
YUBA	WHEATLAND	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
YUBA	YUBA COUNTY	6.9%	2.8%	1.4%	118.2%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, Sutter, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	2023 APR Submission Status
BUTTE	BIGGS	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
BUTTE	GRIDLEY	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
EL DORADO	PLACERVILLE	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
LOS ANGELES	ALHAMBRA	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
LOS ANGELES	POMONA	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
LOS ANGELES	SANTA CLARITA	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
RIVERSIDE	BANNING	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
RIVERSIDE	BEAUMONT	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
YOLO	WINTERS	No 2023 Annual Progress Report

San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)-includes San Diego County; and all cities within the County

5th Cycle Planning Period: 04/30/2013 – 04/30/2021¹⁵

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2010 – 12/31/2020

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: April 2017

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2013 2014 2015 2016
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2017 2018 2019 2020

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For SANDAG jurisdictions, this includes permits from 2010, 2011, and 2012, which can be counted on 2013 APRs. For assistance in counting these units contact APR@hcd.ca.gov.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

By January 2018, after 2016 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2020 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁵ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of San Diego; and all cities within the County

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including all APRs for the planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SAN DIEGO	CARLSBAD	9.9%	42.9%	32.2%	132.1%
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA	3.6%	23.2%	14.5%	190.0%
SAN DIEGO	CORONADO	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1,968.4%
SAN DIEGO	DEL MAR	0.0%	20.0%	120.0%	100.0%
SAN DIEGO	EL CAJON	3.3%	15.3%	3.3%	21.0%
SAN DIEGO	ENCINITAS	14.1%	9.0%	18.4%	130.9%
SAN DIEGO	ESCONDIDO	10.1%	11.9%	10.6%	98.8%
SAN DIEGO	IMPERIAL BEACH	4.8%	66.7%	11.1%	345.9%
SAN DIEGO	LA MESA	6.5%	6.7%	101.3%	187.0%
SAN DIEGO	LEMON GROVE	116.9%	200.0%	129.6%	97.5%
SAN DIEGO	NATIONAL CITY	23.7%	53.5%	49.8%	91.5%
SAN DIEGO	OCEANSIDE	20.0%	15.9%	22.5%	50.8%
SAN DIEGO	POWAY	36.3%	77.0%	13.1%	31.7%
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	15.1%	20.3%	0.2%	111.3%
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	6.0%	42.6%	21.1%	35.6%
SAN DIEGO	SAN MARCOS	24.6%	18.8%	8.7%	227.8%
SAN DIEGO	SANTEE	1.1%	6.2%	15.6%	86.6%
SAN DIEGO	SOLANA BEACH	0.0%	9.2%	39.0%	41.2%
SAN DIEGO	VISTA	45.5%	55.4%	0.4%	526.0%

Counties of Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, San Luis Obispo, and Siskiyou; and all cities within each county

5th Cycle Planning Period: 06/30/2014 – 06/30/2019¹⁶

5th Cycle Projection Period: 01/01/2014 – 06/30/2019

5th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: June 2017

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2014 2015 2016
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2017 2018

Note: Jurisdictions can count permits that occurred during the 5th cycle projection period before the 5th cycle planning period began on the first APR of the 5th cycle planning period. For the jurisdictions noted above, this includes permits from 2014, which will already be included on their 2014 APR.

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2018 APRs are due:	Less than 5/5ths (50%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
--------------------------------	---

¹⁶ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, and San Luis Obispo, and Siskiyou; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of a 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
AMADOR	AMADOR	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
AMADOR	AMADOR COUNTY	10.0%	57.1%	233.3%	30.4%
AMADOR	IONE	0.0%	0.0%	2,200.0%	1,071.4%
AMADOR	JACKSON	0.0%	0.0%	725.0%	225.0%
AMADOR	PLYMOUTH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3,700.0%
AMADOR	SUTTER CREEK	0.0%	0.0%	1,000.0%	325.0%
COLUSA	COLUSA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%
COLUSA	COLUSA COUNTY	7.5%	5.5%	78.0%	24.8%
COLUSA	WILLIAMS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%
DEL NORTE	CRESCENT CITY	0.0%	0.0%	90.0%	11.8%
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE COUNTY	36.7%	59.5%	73.3%	71.7%
GLENN	GLENN COUNTY	44.0%	73.7%	56.0%	77.1%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, San Luis Obispo, and Siskiyou; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of a 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2022) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
INYO	BISHOP	0.0%	10.0%	66.7%	3.6%
INYO	INYO COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ARROYO GRANDE	0.0%	44.7%	0.0%	58.4%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	ATASCADERO	49.0%	41.9%	247.8%	148.8%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	GROVER BEACH	0.0%	34.6%	0.0%	152.2%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	MORRO BAY	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	58.5%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	PASO ROBLES	171.5%	228.6%	240.2%	91.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	PISMO BEACH	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	395.3%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	58.2%	17.3%	6.5%	169.2%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	15.5%	42.2%	65.8%	278.3%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, San Luis Obispo, and Siskiyou; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2014-2018 APRs) of a 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 50% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2022) not submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
SISKIYOU	DUNSMUIR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
SISKIYOU	ETNA	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
SISKIYOU	MONTAGUE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SISKIYOU	MOUNT SHASTA	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	47.4%
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	59.1%
SISKIYOU	TULELAKE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SISKIYOU	YREKA	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	2023 APR Submission Status
GLENN	ORLAND	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
GLENN	WILLOWS	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
SISKIYOU	FORT JONES	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
SISKIYOU	WEED	No 2023 Annual Progress Report

Counties of Alpine, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, Trinity, and Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

6th Cycle Planning Period: 08/31/2019 – 06/30/2024¹⁷

6th Cycle Projection Period: 12/31/2018 – 08/31/2024

6th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: August 2022

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2022 2023

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2021 APRs are due:	Less than 3/5ths (60%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 5/5ths (100%) permitting progress toward 5th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

¹⁷ When the planning period ends before July 1, the APR for that year will be attributed to the following cycle.

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Alpine, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, Trinity, and Tuolumne; and all cities within each county

*These jurisdictions are in the Last Half Reporting Period, including 5 years (2019-2023 APRs) of a 5-year planning period. **Less than 100% permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the First Half Reporting Period, including 3 years of an 5-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 60% permitting progress toward the 7th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
ALPINE	ALPINE COUNTY	0.0%	200.0%	100.0%	100.0%
LASSEN	LASSEN COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	81.3%
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	0.0%	0.0%	127.3%	95.8%
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA COUNTY	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	302.5%
MODOC	ALTURAS	0.0%	200.0%	100.0%	33.3%
MODOC	MODOC COUNTY	66.7%	100.0%	800.0%	100.0%
PLUMAS	PLUMAS COUNTY	300.0%	1,333.3%	5,450.0%	2,800.0%
PLUMAS	PORTOLA	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SIERRA	LOYALTON	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SIERRA	SIERRA COUNTY	800.0%	1,700.0%	100.0%	450.0%
TEHAMA	CORNING	0.0%	16.7%	2.8%	0.0%
TEHAMA	RED BLUFF	1.2%	7.9%	14.3%	0.6%
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TEHAMA	TEHAMA COUNTY	5.9%	96.2%	83.9%	22.1%
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE COUNTY	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	72.3%

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	2023 APR Submission Status
TRINITY	TRINITY COUNTY	No 2023 Annual Progress Report
TUOLUMNE	SONORA	No 2023 Annual Progress Report

County of Calaveras; and all cities within the county

6th Cycle Planning Period: 06/15/2019 – 06/15/2027

6th Cycle Projection Period: 12/31/2018 – 06/15/2027

6th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: June 2023

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2019 2020 2021 2022
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2023 2024 2025 2026

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2022 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2026 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the County of Calaveras; and all cities within the County

*These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2019-2022 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 50% permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2022) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
CALAVERAS	ANGELS CAMP	0.0%	2.4%	10.5%	5.6%
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS COUNTY	2.6%	14.0%	63.1%	46.7%

County of Humboldt; and all cities within the county

6th Cycle Planning Period: 08/31/2019 – 08/31/2027

6th Cycle Projection Period: 12/31/2018 – 08/31/2027

6th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: August 2024

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2024 2025 2026 2027

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

Counties of Lake, Mendocino, Mono, and Nevada; and all cities within the county

6th Cycle Planning Period: 08/15/2019 – 08/15/2027

6th Cycle Projection Period: 12/31/2018 – 08/15/2027

6th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: August 2024

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2024 2025 2026 2027

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

County of Shasta; and all cities within the county

6th Cycle Planning Period: 04/15/2020 – 04/15/2028

6th Cycle Projection Period: 12/31/2018 – 06/15/2027

6th Cycle Planning Period Half Way Point: April 2024

APRs that count toward First Half Reporting Period	2020 2021 2022 2023
APRs that count toward Last Half Reporting Period	2024 2025 2026 2027

SB 423 SMAP Eligibility Methodology

After 2023 APRs are due:	Less than 4/8ths (50%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”
After 2027 APRs are due:	Less than 8/8ths (100%) permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment for an income category, qualifies as “fewer units of [an income category of] housing approved than were required for the regional housing needs assessment cycle for that reporting period.”

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Mono, Nevada, and Shasta; and all cities within the county

*These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2020-2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 50% permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
HUMBOLDT	ARCATA	117.6%	177.9%	119.8%	32.4%
HUMBOLDT	BLUE LAKE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	3.0%	42.9%	19.2%	10.0%
HUMBOLDT	FERNDALE	0.0%	120.0%	16.7%	61.5%
HUMBOLDT	FORTUNA	0.0%	17.4%	115.7%	55.0%
HUMBOLDT	HUMBOLDT COUNTY	5.4%	17.0%	61.7%	45.5%
HUMBOLDT	RIO DELL	16.7%	12.5%	22.2%	9.1%
HUMBOLDT	TRINIDAD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
LAKE	CLEARLAKE	0.0%	178.5%	9.7%	0.5%
LAKE	LAKE COUNTY	1.5%	54.0%	19.8%	19.1%
LAKE	LAKEPORT	109.7%	166.7%	9.5%	8.5%
MENDOCINO	FORT BRAGG	45.0%	158.1%	169.6%	56.5%
MENDOCINO	MENDOCINO COUNTY	43.0%	11.7%	104.0%	33.5%
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	58.1%	151.4%	49.0%	62.5%
MENDOCINO	WILLITS	0.0%	8.0%	105.9%	14.3%
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	69.2%	273.3%	0.0%	269.2%
MONO	MONO COUNTY	23.1%	50.0%	42.9%	94.3%

SB 423 SMAP Determination for the Counties of Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Mono, Nevada, and Shasta; and all cities within the County

*These jurisdictions are in the First Half Reporting Period, including 4 years (2020-2023 APRs) of an 8-year planning period. **Less than 50% permitting progress toward 6th Cycle regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) for an income category is considered insufficient progress. The next SB 423 SMAP Determination for these jurisdictions will be conducted at the Last Half Reporting Period, including 8 years of an 8-year planning period, at which point they will need to demonstrate 100% permitting progress toward the 6th Cycle RHNA.***

*Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for qualifying developments with 10% affordability or above. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above. **As the definition of low-income is inclusive of very-low income, units permitted in excess of the very-low income need can be applied to demonstrate progress towards the lower-income need.***

(Note: Jurisdictions are automatically subject to SB 423 SMAP streamlining provisions when latest Annual Progress Report (2023) Not Submitted)

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	VLI % Complete	Lower % Complete	MOD % Complete	ABOVE MOD % Complete
NEVADA	GRASS VALLEY	21.0%	38.1%	12.0%	12.0%
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	131.0%	152.2%	4.3%	41.7%
NEVADA	NEVADA COUNTY	4.4%	14.7%	19.4%	9.3%
NEVADA	TRUCKEE	30.5%	46.4%	44.5%	144.0%
SHASTA	ANDERSON	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	52.3%
SHASTA	REDDING	37.5%	41.1%	43.6%	30.2%
SHASTA	SHASTA COUNTY	16.8%	26.8%	15.0%	130.2%
SHASTA	SHASTA LAKE	10.7%	71.8%	7.1%	5.9%

COUNTY	JURISDICTION NAME	2023 APR Submission Status
MENDOCINO	POINT ARENA	No 2023 Annual Progress Report