DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – June 2022

Highlights and Milestones

As of the end of June 2022, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program¹ has provided nearly \$4.7 billion in rent and utility assistance to eligible households statewide. Together, the state-administered and locally hosted Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) programs have helped more than 474,000 California households, more than 85 percent of which have household incomes at or below 50 percent of the area median.

The state-administered program has, through the end of June 2022, delivered approximately \$4 billion in financial assistance to over 382,000 renter households, preventing homelessness and providing stability to over 786,000 Californians. To date, the state-administered program has expended the most of any single ERA program in the nation. Of the \$4 billion in assistance, almost \$1.26 billion, or over 31.6 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan Program.

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped more than 91,600 households by providing more than \$688.6 million in emergency assistance. Collectively, the local programs have expended more than 76 percent of the nearly \$902.8 million in ERA1² and ERA2³ block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B).

As it became clear that demand for rent and utility assistance in California exceeded the original federal ERA1 and ERA2 grant awards, HCD submitted requests for additional ERA1 funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity. In early June, HCD submitted an updated request for over \$1.43 billion in additional federal funding, and approximately \$36 million to be voluntarily reallocated from two localities' initial ERA1 federal grant awards. To date, Treasury has announced two tranches of approximately \$211 million in reallocated ERA1 funds for the state-administered program with subsequent funding decisions pending. HCD will continue to request additional federal funds from Treasury to assist California households, inclusive of remaining ERA1 and ERA2 reallocations in the coming months.

¹ This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

² "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

³ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

⁴ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

Several local jurisdictions have also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. At the time of this report, 11 localities had received additional funds through the first two rounds of ERA1 reallocations, totaling approximately \$40.6 million in added federal funding.

Key Achievements

The state-administered program made a deliberate and concerted effort to review and process by June 30 all applications received on or before the March 31, 2022 deadline. The passage of AB 2179 (Chapter 13, Statutes of 2022) extended modified state eviction protections to June 30, 2022 for households that submitted a complete application with the state or local rental assistance program on or before March 31, 2022. By the end of June, the state's ERA program operator had successfully adjudicated all valid, complete applications and scheduled assistance payments for the appropriately eligible applicants. The operations team continues to follow up with a small number of applicants from whom additional information is required to make a final eligibility determination.

Through the month of June, the state-administered program processed, approved, and paid 33,802 applications totaling \$301.3 million. To date, of the approximately \$4 billion in assistance payments from the state-administered program, 85.5 percent of funds were paid to benefit households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The locally administered Option B jurisdictions reported cumulative expenditures over \$688.6 million in state block grant funds to assist more than 91,600 households. Approximately 88.2 percent of state block grant funds disbursed by local programs aided households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The programs are shown with their respective performance beginning on page 10.

Cashflow Loans

SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of General Fund resources to provide short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own Emergency Rental Assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available.

Despite the \$5.4 billion of funds Treasury provided to California, both for the state-administered program and the Option B jurisdictions, the available funds proved to be significantly short of the demonstrated need to pay eligible applications for rent and utility assistance throughout state and local ERA programs. Due to the time-sensitive demand and pace of payments to eligible households, the state program leveraged the use of short-term General Fund cashflow loans, as authorized to continue to deploy emergency assistance starting in March 2022.

Of the \$4 billion in assistance provided through the state-administered program, almost \$1.26 billion, or over 31.6 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan program. From March 7 through June 30, 2022, the state-administered program received almost \$1.26 billion in cashflow loans for assistance payments and fully utilized this amount to make payments to eligible households. Additionally, the state-

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – June 30, 2022

administered program received cashflow loan proceeds of \$24.5 million to pay administrative expenses, reflecting a total of \$1.28 billion of funds utilized through the Cashflow Loan Program.

Seven Option B jurisdictions submitted formal requests to HCD for \$379.9 million in cashflow loans to continue to provide assistance to households where federal funds would be exhausted. A total of \$33.4 million of cashflow loan funds has been disbursed to Option B jurisdictions, all during the month of June.

Without the \$1.31 billion of cashflow loans disbursed to both the state-administered and local programs, HCD estimates that applications of more than 130,700 households would otherwise remain unpaid. This represents approximately 269,200 adults, children, and seniors that would be subject to eviction beginning July 1, 2022 as federal funds alone did not provide the necessary liquidity to pay all eligible applications prior to the end of eviction protections on June 30, 2022.

In June, HCD continued to work with interested Option B jurisdictions to review their demonstrated needs for Cashflow Loan funds and prepare required documentation. Standard Agreements are prepared for jurisdictions that gained approval from their governing bodies to accept loaned funds. Only after available federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds are scheduled to be exhausted and the need for additional resources is established are cashflow loans provided to Option B jurisdictions. In July, it is anticipated that several more Option B jurisdictions could secure approval for cashflow loans.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

HCD has been closely evaluating the performance of jurisdictions that missed the state's obligation deadline (i.e., obligating at least 50 percent of their state block grants) including those Option B jurisdictions that had not yet received ERA2 block grants. HCD will continue to work with Option B jurisdictions that are subject to the statutory reallocations under state law to ensure unused state block grants are deployed to jurisdictions with unmet need and a demonstrated ability to distribute these emergency resources. Further details of the obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds beginning on page 13.

Customer Support

Inbound call volumes to the Call Center modestly decreased in June, as reflected on the schedule below. As with previous months, with inbound calls trending down and workload shifting, the state's program operator continued to realign agents to support other aspects of application processing as well as other priorities including additional funds requests (AFRs) and appeals. The state-administered program maintained Case Management and Call Center staffing levels at just over 1,480 personnel at the end of June to provide resources to address the continuing workload.

Additional outreach attempts to applicants to request information needed to process remaining applications continued to be a priority for both Call Center and Case Management staff in June. As needed, an applicant receives at least three outreach attempts (email, text, phone call) prior to making a determination an applicant is non-responsive. In June, there

were 75,849 tenant outreach attempts and 32,160 landlord attempts for a total of 108,009 for the month.

Through the month of June, a priority for the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program continued to be providing assistance to all eligible applicants who submitted complete applications by March 31, 2022. At the end of June, approximately 8,000 applications remain under review with a case manager pending the applicant providing additional information to establish eligibility.

Applicants may reapply for additional funds after receiving initial assistance if the maximum of 18 months of assistance has not yet been provided. The state-administered program generates an automatic email notification to applicants inviting them to log back in and submit an AFR under their original application. A dedicated AFR team processes these requests. As of the end of the June 2022, over 85,000 AFR requests had been submitted and over 43,000 have been approved, leaving a balance of over 42,000 to address.

Of the 23,240 appeals submitted since program inception, 8,071 were approved, 3,252 were redirected, 2,232 were denied, and 9,685 are yet to be resolved. (Redirected appeals represent issues that are not handled through the appeals process, and are redirected to the correct team for the needed assistance. For example, through an appeal, if an applicant requests more months of assistance; it would be redirected from an appeal to the AFR process.) Addressing the applications remaining in the queue (both with a case manager and under appeal) will continue to be a priority in July.

The following schedule reflects selected June metrics:

Incremental Monthly Metrics	3/31	4/30	5/31	6/30
Call Center/Case Management Staff	1,352	1,815	1,606	1,487
Inbound Call Volume	654,766	390,153	294,097	291,498
Paid Applications	38,056	46,557	66,018	33,802
Funds Paid to Eligible Households ⁱⁱⁱ	\$354.0	\$430.7	\$651.7	\$301.3

iii Amounts in millions. Starting in March, figures include both federal funds and General Fund. Schedule reflects partial data, does not sum to program totals (expenditures and other metrics).

Outreach

The Housing is Key website continues to provide information to landlords, tenants, and community organizations addressing eviction protections, and helps direct tenants to other resources that provide assistance. This includes links for application status and an appeals roadmap which illustrates a step-by-step description of how to file an appeal within 30 calendar days upon an applicant receiving an ERAP award notification or denial notice. The website team provides updates to the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) to clarify and provide additional details regarding portal closure and the expiration of eviction protections on June 30.

The website provides Continuum of Care information lists of all federal Continuum of Care grant recipients in California and provides resources for those currently experiencing homelessness. The Housing is Key website provides information and links for California's

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – June 30, 2022

Mortgage Relief Program operated by the California Housing and Finance Agency as part of the state's overall Housing is Key initiative.

Local Partner Network Community Support

Through the end of June, the Local Partner Network (LPN) maintained 103 confirmed partners statewide serving those areas with highest eviction risk. During the month of June, the LPN and HCD coordinated different types of outreach to contact applicants needing additional assistance, thereby providing applicants who had been assigned an application task and had not yet responded to that task (e.g., addressing an incomplete application) with further assistance.

Continuing with efforts from last month, the team placed over 21,300 recorded calls in applicants' respective language (e.g., Cantonese, Mandarin, English, Korean, Portuguese, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese) to non-responsive applicants with a request to complete their assigned task and to reach out to the LPN Appointment Center if additional assistance is needed. Connect rates (i.e., pickup) for these calls in June showed a strong response in all languages, with the highest connect rate at over 95 percent for Tagalog, and the lowest connect rate at just over 85 percent for English.

In addition to the outreach identified above, over 4,100 recorded calls were placed to landlords with a pending payment that is expected to be delivered after the expiration of state eviction protections on June 30. This message provides clarity to landlords contemplating an eviction proceeding against a tenant, as a landlord evicting a tenant must forgo the pending program payment. The connect rate for these calls was over 86 percent.

The following metrics for June reflects the sustained role for the LPN:

- Over 8,100 appointments in June (including walk-in, same day, and scheduled) totaling 136,284 since inception. This represents a volume increase of over 3,300 appointments (69 percent) compared to last month.
- 7,761 inbound Appointment Center calls in June totaling over 202,400 since inception.
 The volume increased modestly, just over 1 percent since last month. The average handle
 time for calls increased slightly, with a duration of just over 8 minutes in English, and just
 over 9 minutes in other languages. Assistance is available in 14 languages.
- There were 3,005 clients referred to legal aid.

The LPN continues to fill the role of applicant advocate for many applicants who have been asked to take action to amend or supplement their application materials. For those applicants or clients who require additional assistance beyond the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, the LPN will continue to connect those clients to local resources, programs, and tenant protections. Over 5,700 applicants and clients were referred to other assistance programs in June; these programs included other utility assistance (e.g., LIHeap), CalFresh or other food resources, homelessness prevention programs, mental health services, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Social Security Disability Insurance (SDI), and CalWorks.

Statutory Basis for Report

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
- In total, over \$4.94 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.67 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds obligated for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 6/30/2022.
- \$1.40 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan obligated for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 6/30/2022.
- \$975.4 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 6/30/2022.
- \$874.2 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 6/30/2022.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
- In total, over \$4.72 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.71 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 6/30/2022.
- \$1.26 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 6/30/2022.
- \$753.4 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 6/30/2022.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
- All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
- Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:
- Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 10.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:
- This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

Funds obligated for arrearsiv

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments^{iv}
Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$3,618,853,732.01	\$63,311,675.92	\$3,682,165,407.93
\$2,360,832,327.85	\$27,989,907.52	\$2,388,822,235.37
\$1,464,100,858.02	\$18,417,005.45	\$1,482,517,863.47
\$557,926,773.10	\$5,479,053.38	\$563,405,826.48
\$338,804,696.73	\$4,093,848.69	\$342,898,545.42
\$377,431,569.80	\$8,771,851.96	\$386,203,421.76
\$323,766,693.35	\$-	\$323,766,693.35
\$191,001,334.72	\$-	\$191,001,334.72
\$79,345,983.70	\$-	\$79,345,983.70
\$53,419,374.93	\$-	\$53,419,374.93

iv Obligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions — State General Fund Expenditures Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$1,218,589,521.28	\$25,875,690.65	\$1,244,465,211.93
\$747,624,261.22	\$15,197,789.48	\$762,822,050.70
\$296,889,727.96	\$6,296,640.47	\$303,186,368.43
\$174,075,532.10	\$4,381,260.70	\$178,456,792.80
\$12,682,935.62	\$-	\$12,682,935.62
\$7,278,136.92	\$-	\$7,278,136.92
\$3,217,745.78	\$-	\$3,217,745.78
\$2,187,052.92	\$-	\$2,187,052.92

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions — ERA1 Funds" on page 13.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$401,453,527.88	\$32,098,539.28	\$433,552,067.16
\$384,580,951.90	\$26,536,189.57	\$411,117,141.48
\$261,080,643.36	\$13,094,065.40	\$274,174,708.76
\$78,034,795.11	\$7,369,399.79	\$85,404,194.91
\$45,465,513.43	\$6,072,724.38	\$51,538,237.81
\$72,669,482.56	\$408,753.14	\$73,078,235.70
\$71,789,491.35	\$403,814.72	\$72,193,306.07
\$45,981,574.37	\$164,662.01	\$46,146,236.38
\$17,369,619.36	\$145,122.50	\$17,514,741.86
\$8,438,297.62	\$94,030.21	\$8,532,327.83

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 17 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 14. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$216,122,503.58	\$14,314,431.62	\$230,436,935.20
\$147,410,541.13	\$11,494,358.62	\$158,904,899.75
\$98,786,864.60	\$7,217,856.19	\$106,004,720.79
\$32,628,914.82	\$2,583,796.16	\$35,212,710.98
\$15,994,761.71	\$1,692,706.27	\$17,687,467.98
\$56,859,852.88	\$1,204,060.29	\$58,063,913.16
\$46,101,781.27	\$284,738.27	\$46,386,519.54
\$32,215,514.00	\$161,285.17	\$32,376,799.17
\$10,284,899.97	\$80,150.22	\$10,365,050.19
\$3,601,367.29	\$43,302.88	\$3,644,670.17

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity - Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Ethnicity	
-----------	--

Hispanic or Latino
Non-Hispanic or Latino
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer **Totals**

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
4,987	5,211	\$31,390,135.63	\$19,987,841.42
23,436	25,504	\$208,417,385.57	\$71,888,958.65
69,810	74,682	\$507,848,781.86	\$255,924,099.15
3,284	3,564	\$26,364,596.93	\$11,705,823.64
55,625	59,739	\$413,628,779.84	\$186,581,471.17
121,718	131,040	\$962,418,306.66	\$435,341,992.27
35,073	36,663	\$249,174,198.47	\$132,515,376.99
43,275	45,938	\$313,346,743.76	\$143,202,584.26
357,208	382,341	\$2,712,588,928.72	\$1,257,148,147.55

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
117,862	126,252	\$771,047,262.03	\$370,757,368.18
173,316	186,710	\$1,419,733,224.15	\$633,361,600.68
37,129	38,897	\$278,060,198.29	\$144,813,582.46
28,901	30,482	\$243,748,244.25	\$108,215,596.23
357,208	382,341	\$2,712,588,928.72	\$1,257,148,147.55

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$58,722.00	\$1,057.12	\$-	\$-	\$58,722.00	\$872.84	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$2,061,493.06	\$58,597.31	\$172,183.71	\$4,654.24	\$2,057,165.46	\$49,309.84	\$163,040.31	\$-
Butte County	\$16,950,281.28	\$645,211.39	\$1,719,617.67	\$88,317.58	\$16,817,756.48	\$563,631.63	\$1,589,971.70	\$-
Calaveras County	\$3,137,449.87	\$83,208.36	\$146,836.47	\$20,464.06	\$3,104,679.86	\$70,648.61	\$137,585.24	\$-
Colusa County	\$812,237.80	\$35,404.54	\$43,026.99	\$2,461.34	\$783,274.05	\$30,325.18	\$35,538.99	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$178,409,565.06	\$3,481,214.80	\$20,436,532.55	\$629,852.61	\$176,915,946.62	\$3,226,506.67	\$20,214,840.22	\$-
Del Norte County	\$2,248,109.45	\$24,955.80	\$105,612.00	\$11,615.07	\$2,142,673.45	\$24,153.14	\$90,659.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$12,053,975.51	\$160,907.04	\$871,690.41	\$47,373.00	\$11,999,322.83	\$160,405.90	\$836,243.00	\$-
Fontana City	\$16,554,614.19	\$368,651.99	\$1,382,317.11	\$72,887.55	\$16,341,054.83	\$342,389.93	\$1,330,574.93	\$-
Glenn County	\$1,746,899.54	\$68,772.36	\$136,093.68	\$18,044.20	\$1,692,242.54	\$68,219.53	\$128,955.68	\$-
Humboldt County	\$17,299,142.33	\$409,110.03	\$1,317,004.10	\$77,383.23	\$17,263,618.70	\$361,738.27	\$1,263,504.45	\$-
Imperial County	\$9,172,083.45	\$91,840.98	\$1,024,230.86	\$27,802.00	\$9,114,993.49	\$86,046.89	\$1,021,604.80	\$-
Inyo County	\$619,929.30	\$14,883.68	\$49,907.45	\$4,482.73	\$614,134.30	\$13,129.08	\$47,913.75	\$-
Irvine City*	\$41,709,520.01	\$504,859.59	\$6,809,132.68	\$56,312.28	\$41,182,663.56	\$367,450.98	\$6,511,027.32	\$-
Kings County	\$8,454,829.40	\$465,470.88	\$751,889.53	\$63,010.71	\$8,357,838.33	\$376,487.39	\$732,670.81	\$-
Lake County	\$11,580,482.30	\$256,525.04	\$424,717.23	\$41,696.71	\$11,510,327.65	\$215,823.69	\$407,098.12	\$-
Lassen County	\$1,195,024.79	\$3,050.30	\$107,007.39	\$4,421.72	\$1,188,395.85	\$2,793.91	\$89,813.96	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$1,083,223,766.75	\$24,692,689.37	\$84,089,118.59	\$1,357,897.60	\$1,070,123,678.51	\$19,307,878.68	\$78,086,435.51	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$920,687,596.83	\$13,175,974.42	\$123,284,690.23	\$2,216,733.63	\$911,241,474.21	\$11,733,689.71	\$96,846,725.76	\$-
Madera County	\$7,672,991.43	\$301,703.94	\$650,411.14	\$23,563.55	\$7,460,704.26	\$276,811.38	\$648,266.44	\$-
Mariposa County	\$926,227.16	\$26,586.22	\$52,756.00	\$786.23	\$858,902.16	\$21,357.63	\$52,236.00	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Mendocino County	\$7,747,870.99	\$229,586.79	\$414,660.13	\$39,602.40	\$7,737,011.49	\$197,688.24	\$390,974.13	\$-
Merced County	\$16,833,643.00	\$579,944.84	\$1,996,998.29	\$50,242.77	\$16,736,922.57	\$467,559.24	\$1,985,088.48	\$-
Modesto City	\$15,320,183.84	\$448,749.02	\$1,431,845.77	\$48,051.71	\$15,292,393.53	\$394,268.97	\$1,372,754.17	\$-
Modoc County	\$627,559.50	\$3,383.33	\$43,606.00	\$-	\$613,525.50	\$1,989.97	\$36,922.00	\$-
Mono County	\$520,720.07	\$15,525.02	\$141,054.38	\$7,723.93	\$516,971.07	\$13,380.14	\$125,321.38	\$-
Napa County	\$9,116,945.00	\$194,031.03	\$1,127,153.98	\$40,813.84	\$9,090,401.10	\$175,083.90	\$1,098,389.47	\$-
Nevada County	\$6,695,697.20	\$134,057.86	\$595,541.19	\$19,481.91	\$6,688,726.77	\$131,264.20	\$558,980.39	\$-
Oakland City	\$54,630,421.52	\$520,573.62	\$5,101,754.84	\$198,504.69	\$53,483,817.92	\$490,847.71	\$4,836,677.58	\$-
Orange County	\$211,104,501.70	\$2,464,698.26	\$27,672,611.02	\$566,324.66	\$209,183,538.20	\$2,200,406.18	\$27,098,377.76	\$-
Oxnard City	\$23,385,137.80	\$380,924.97	\$3,362,006.36	\$66,240.12	\$23,064,513.92	\$322,782.53	\$3,331,671.93	\$-
Placer County	\$19,218,912.32	\$362,118.37	\$2,272,793.55	\$471,823.91	\$19,158,741.51	\$356,405.28	\$2,257,227.31	\$-
Plumas County	\$582,498.48	\$14,038.93	\$88,228.42	\$4,263.74	\$574,643.27	\$10,078.67	\$65,773.21	\$-
San Benito County	\$3,504,307.39	\$85,454.65	\$281,963.70	\$17,519.46	\$3,492,570.38	\$70,102.02	\$265,029.99	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$155,000,499.26	\$2,615,829.55	\$10,191,676.01	\$500,144.46	\$152,818,335.40	\$2,450,474.71	\$9,399,204.15	\$-
San Francisco County	\$153,167,035.27	\$656,303.66	\$12,107,795.85	\$216,959.99	\$152,321,528.14	\$619,701.02	\$9,919,985.24	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$25,533,026.62	\$594,777.06	\$1,953,306.30	\$41,778.48	\$25,298,330.35	\$509,634.96	\$1,916,458.07	\$-
San Jose City	\$105,993,245.99	\$910,710.42	\$12,555,161.35	\$218,063.18	\$104,923,188.85	\$873,437.78	\$11,875,133.76	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$17,707,247.45	\$212,405.22	\$1,732,296.04	\$55,876.38	\$17,651,020.99	\$205,679.78	\$1,650,895.72	\$-
San Mateo County	\$87,031,020.72	\$960,051.17	\$11,661,125.97	\$201,399.20	\$86,067,621.26	\$869,232.20	\$11,319,941.04	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,238,392.09	\$61,823.71	\$671,553.67	\$24,008.19	\$3,222,547.11	\$41,947.40	\$595,032.74	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$11,519,006.68	\$194,279.52	\$2,875,921.10	\$31,304.32	\$10,777,770.86	\$131,293.74	\$2,089,420.87	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$58,533,029.00	\$625,833.65	\$7,142,144.70	\$123,421.70	\$58,117,673.31	\$581,850.14	\$6,772,687.17	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$33,560,576.96	\$579,801.04	\$3,822,880.36	\$115,998.89	\$32,847,456.64	\$481,899.96	\$3,614,482.09	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$22,268,126.53	\$404,364.48	\$2,521,910.68	\$66,865.20	\$22,056,206.91	\$348,655.62	\$2,357,121.41	\$-
Shasta County	\$16,089,465.49	\$328,403.20	\$1,196,640.51	\$60,796.88	\$16,053,698.65	\$270,649.01	\$1,022,476.98	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Sierra County	\$123,623.39	\$7,374.23	\$11,180.00	\$1,646.01	\$113,563.39	\$5,728.22	\$6,240.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$3,932,636.45	\$37,441.29	\$351,069.82	\$24,856.43	\$3,928,384.48	\$36,884.33	\$312,310.00	\$-
Solano County	\$61,598,137.99	\$922,605.20	\$4,849,508.79	\$136,525.42	\$60,971,914.54	\$807,609.96	\$4,708,326.90	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$16,243,514.88	\$313,879.70	\$1,118,393.25	\$43,905.14	\$16,159,840.44	\$272,929.86	\$1,090,942.60	\$-
Sutter County	\$7,075,952.10	\$261,464.92	\$651,178.42	\$50,950.65	\$6,930,706.88	\$241,095.39	\$553,432.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$4,624,225.68	\$146,570.91	\$338,494.99	\$11,969.99	\$4,611,727.07	\$120,812.36	\$309,917.67	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,240,521.85	\$2,398.27	\$54,025.00	\$1,198.65	\$1,188,671.85	\$1,744.27	\$53,625.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$35,235,335.86	\$1,250,039.09	\$2,500,242.03	\$161,065.61	\$34,949,289.46	\$1,077,404.08	\$2,359,677.55	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$4,333,219.58	\$87,927.83	\$214,249.94	\$26,293.53	\$4,312,422.97	\$86,279.52	\$210,005.08	\$-
Ventura County	\$63,376,189.61	\$1,170,441.98	\$8,021,125.18	\$222,969.56	\$62,608,935.82	\$1,045,477.91	\$7,982,507.48	\$-
Yolo County	\$16,385,065.16	\$427,561.75	\$2,167,711.94	\$83,685.74	\$16,202,449.71	\$425,217.57	\$2,089,825.31	\$-
Yuba County	\$9,181,297.08	\$235,626.22	\$616,984.48	\$49,815.18	\$8,824,508.42	\$228,430.45	\$582,086.35	\$-
Subtotals	\$3,618,853,732.01	\$63,311,675.92	\$377,431,569.80	\$8,771,851.96	\$3,579,421,139.87	\$53,865,598.17	\$336,449,628.97	\$-

^{*} Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations					Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility		
Alameda County	\$20,118,930.58	\$1,350,735.63	\$9,418,790.22	\$-	\$18,873,022.28	\$1,220,773.19	\$8,767,658.54	\$-		
Anaheim City	\$7,929,097.01	\$213,461.66	\$4,281,098.25	\$-	\$7,801,335.22	\$212,861.66	\$4,164,421.58	\$-		
Bakersfield City	\$10,379,698.00	\$1,913,227.61	\$-	\$-	\$10,379,698.00	\$1,913,227.61	\$-	\$-		
Chula Vista City	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-		
Fresno City	\$10,502,583.14	\$6,461,742.81	\$-	\$-	\$10,502,583.14	\$1,089,507.61	\$-	\$-		
Fresno County	\$4,520,093.96	\$1,155,823.11	\$4,551,053.51	\$92,878.64	\$4,433,746.57	\$1,136,271.05	\$4,461,425.60	\$87,940.22		
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-		
Kern County	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-		
Long Beach City	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62		
Los Angeles City	\$128,940,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,432,954.45	\$-	\$-	\$-		
Marin County	\$8,235,058.39	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-	\$8,130,226.20	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-		
Monterey County	\$8,937,454.10	\$1,243,175.77	\$2,970,658.78	\$-	\$8,515,908.08	\$1,243,175.77	\$2,970,658.78	\$-		
Riverside City	\$9,228,972.10	\$1,219,758.30	\$302,295.60	\$-	\$9,228,972.10	\$1,219,758.30	\$293,441.64	\$-		
Riverside County	\$45,933,038.30	\$6,629,771.48	\$9,981,743.57	\$-	\$45,553,903.56	\$6,589,771.48	\$9,968,042.58	\$-		
Sacramento City	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-		
Sacramento County	\$26,334,652.00	\$857,966.49	\$-	\$-	\$26,334,652.00	\$857,966.49	\$-	\$-		
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-		
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-		
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88		
Subtotals	\$401,453,527.88	\$32,098,539.28	\$72,669,482.56	\$408,753.14	384,580,951.90	\$26,536,189.57	\$71,789,491.35	\$403,814.72		

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$16,619,050.48	\$984,894.80	\$5,103,584.35	\$-	\$7,486,167.63	\$524,952.67	\$3,076,082.16	\$-
Anaheim City	\$440,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$-	\$211,962.23	\$4,710.86	\$39,371.58	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$8,458,799.98	\$1,564,984.62	\$-	\$-	\$8,458,799.98	\$1,564,984.62	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-
Fremont City	\$5,266,728.34	\$200,382.38	\$750,071.22	\$-	\$3,459,320.66	\$181,278.42	\$750,071.22	\$-
Fresno City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$8,985,829.85	\$540,840.00	\$1,602,198.72	\$5,877.43	\$8,191,664.03	\$365,415.81	\$1,602,198.72	\$3,829.43
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,205,246.34	\$482,718.11	\$1,738,786.70	\$20,431.84	\$4,158,249.93	\$482,718.11	\$1,738,786.70	\$20,431.84
Moreno Valley City	\$3,489,243.94	\$1,018,307.56	\$78,601.50	\$-	\$3,489,241.02	\$1,018,307.25	\$78,598.00	\$-
Oakland City	\$2,421,900.75	\$47,035.12	\$150,633.46	\$-	\$1,944,431.43	\$42,582.19	\$146,520.46	\$-
Riverside City	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$50,803,036.20	\$1,896,434.51	\$8,234,108.90	\$850,137.93	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sacramento City	\$6,032,687.00	\$87,918.00	\$-	\$-	\$6,032,687.00	\$87,918.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$289,878.00	\$2,899.25	\$-	\$-	\$289,878.00	\$2,899.25	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$4,528,764.82	\$447,099.93	\$272,893.32	\$-	\$4,466,279.20	\$436,395.84	\$211,105.52	\$-
San Diego City	\$30,008,120.88	\$2,208,478.18	\$25,386,845.76	\$-	\$30,008,120.88	\$2,208,478.18	\$25,386,845.76	\$-
San Diego County	\$54,165,815.40	\$3,527,388.12	\$5,181,906.57	\$-	\$52,898,225.84	\$3,299,388.12	\$4,851,906.57	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$925,729.43	\$-	\$539,276.57	\$-	\$925,729.43	\$-	\$539,276.57	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Sonoma County	\$5,796,776.92	\$486,194.74	\$2,184,162.45	\$173,012.48	\$5,706,849.13	\$465,472.99	\$2,094,234.66	\$105,876.39
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$216,122,503.58	\$14,314,431.62	56,859,852.88	\$1,204,060.29	\$147,410,541.13	\$11,494,358.62	\$46,101,781.27	\$284,738.27