DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – July 2022

Highlights and Milestones

As of the end of July 2022, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program¹ has provided over \$4.9 billion in rent and utility assistance to eligible households statewide. Together, the state-administered and locally hosted Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) programs have helped more than 527,000 California households, more than 85 percent of which have household incomes at or below 50 percent of the area median.

The state-administered program has, through the end of July 2022, delivered approximately \$4.2 billion in financial assistance to over 432,000 renter households, preventing homelessness and providing stability to over 889,000 Californians. Of the \$4.2 billion in assistance, over \$1.4 billion, or over 33.2 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan Program.

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped more than 95,000 households by providing more than \$713.8 million in emergency assistance. Collectively, the local programs have expended more than 77 percent of the \$925.1 million in ERA1² and ERA2³ block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B).

As it became clear that demand for rent and utility assistance in California exceeded the original federal ERA1 and ERA2 grant awards, HCD submitted requests for additional ERA1 funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity. In early June, HCD submitted an updated request for over \$1.43 billion in additional federal funding, and approximately \$36 million to be voluntarily reallocated from two localities' initial ERA1 federal grant awards. HCD received \$25 million of the approximately \$36 million to be voluntarily reallocated. The balance of the voluntary reallocations is still pending disbursement from Treasury. To date, Treasury has announced three tranches of approximately \$310.3 million in reallocated ERA1 funds for the state-administered program with subsequent funding decisions pending. HCD will continue to request additional federal

¹ This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

² "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

³ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

⁴ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – July 31, 2022

funds from Treasury to assist California households, inclusive of remaining ERA1 and ERA2 reallocations in the coming months.

Several local jurisdictions have also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. In July, Treasury reallocated almost \$14.1 million of ERA1 funds to Option B jurisdictions. At the time of this report, 11 localities had received additional funds through the first three rounds of ERA1 reallocations, totaling approximately \$54.7 million in added federal funding.

Key Achievements

In July, the state's ERA program operator processed 49,909 valid, complete applications, and scheduled assistance payments for these households. A significant focus was placed on the review and processing of utility cases, more than in previous months, reflecting an increase in the number of applications processed in the month of July. Since program inception, the state-administered program has provided over 432,000 payments to households. The operations team continues to follow up with applicants from whom additional information is required to make a final eligibility determination.

During the month of July, the state-administered program processed, approved, and paid applications totaling \$260.5 million. To date, of the approximately \$4.2 billion in assistance payments from the state-administered program, 85.4 percent of funds were paid to benefit households at or below 50 percent of the area median income (AMI).

The locally administered Option B jurisdictions reported cumulative expenditures over \$713.8 million in state block grant funds to assist more than 95,000 households. Approximately 88.0 percent of state block grant funds disbursed by local programs aided households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The programs are shown with their respective performance beginning on page 10.

Cashflow Loans

SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own Emergency Rental Assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications received by March 31, 2022, in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available.

Despite the \$5.5 billion of funds Treasury provided to California, both for the state-administered program and the Option B jurisdictions, the available funds proved to be significantly short of the demonstrated need to pay eligible applications for rent and utility assistance throughout state and local ERA programs. Due to the time-sensitive demand and pace of payments to eligible households, the state program leveraged the use of short-term cashflow loans, as authorized to continue to deploy emergency assistance starting in March 2022.

As of the end of July, of the \$4.2 billion in assistance provided through the state-administered program, over \$1.4 billion, or over 33.2 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – July 31, 2022

the state's Cashflow Loan program. From March 7 through July 31, 2022, the state-administered program received over \$1.4 billion in cashflow loans for rent and utility assistance payments and fully utilized this amount to make payments to eligible households. Additionally, the state-administered program received cashflow loan proceeds of \$48.9 million to pay administrative expenses, reflecting a total of \$1.45 billion of funds utilized through the Cashflow Loan Program.

Seven Option B jurisdictions submitted formal requests to HCD for \$379.9 million in cashflow loans to continue to provide assistance to households where federal funds would be exhausted. A total of \$58.8 million of cashflow loan funds was disbursed to Option B jurisdictions through July. Of the \$24.4 million of Option B expenditures through July, \$23.8 million was utilized for rent and utility assistance and over \$593,000 for administrative expenses.

Without the \$1.5 billion of cashflow loans disbursed to both the state-administered and local programs, HCD estimates that applications of more than 167,000 households would otherwise remain unpaid. This represents approximately 345,000 adults, children, and seniors that could be subject to eviction, as federal funds alone did not provide the necessary liquidity to pay all eligible applications as of July 31, 2022.

In July, HCD continued to work with interested Option B jurisdictions to review their demonstrated needs for cashflow loan funds and prepare required documentation. Standard Agreements are prepared for jurisdictions that gained approval from their governing bodies to accept loaned funds. Only after available federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds are scheduled to be exhausted and the need for additional resources is established are cashflow loans provided to Option B jurisdictions.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

Treasury announced in July a third round of reallocated ERA1 funding to jurisdictions across the nation. The state-administered program received \$99.3 million in July, which totals \$310.3 million of ERA1 funds received in all three rounds. Additionally, Treasury allocated almost \$14.1 million of ERA1 funds directly to 10 California jurisdictions running local programs (i.e., Option B jurisdictions) in July, totaling \$54.7 million re-allocated to Option B jurisdictions in all three rounds thus far.

HCD has been closely evaluating the performance of jurisdictions that missed the state's obligation deadline (i.e., obligating at least 50 percent of their state block grants) including those Option B jurisdictions that had not yet received ERA2 block grants. HCD will continue to work with Option B jurisdictions that are subject to the statutory reallocations under state law to ensure unused state block grants are deployed to jurisdictions with unmet need and a demonstrated ability to distribute these emergency resources. Further details of the obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds beginning on page 13.

Customer Support

Inbound call volumes as well as other workload to the Call Center continued to decrease in July, as reflected on the schedule below. As with previous months, with inbound calls trending down and workload shifting, the state's program operator continued to realign agents

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – July 31, 2022

to support other aspects of application processing as well as other priorities including additional funds requests (AFRs) and appeals. The state-administered program-maintained Case Management and Call Center staffing levels at 1,394 personnel as of the end of July to provide resources to address the workload.

Additional outreach attempts to applicants to request information needed to process remaining applications continued to be a priority for both Call Center and Case Management staff in July. As needed, an applicant receives at least three outreach attempts (email, text, phone call) prior to making a determination an applicant is non-responsive. In July, there were 27,696 tenant outreach attempts and 16,428 landlord attempts for a total of 44,124 for the month.

Applicants may request additional funds after receiving initial assistance if the maximum of 18 months of assistance has not yet been provided. A dedicated AFR team processes these requests. As of the end of the July 2022, over 107,000 AFR requests had been submitted since inception and over 78,000 have been approved, leaving a balance of over 28,000 to address.

Of the 27,994 appeals submitted since program inception, 8,929 were approved, 3,955 were redirected, 2,247 were denied, and 12,863 are yet to be resolved. (Redirected appeals represent issues that are not handled through the appeals process, but are redirected to the correct team for the needed assistance. For example, through an appeal, if an applicant requests more months of assistance, the request would be redirected from an appeal to the AFR process.) Addressing the applications remaining in the queue (both with a case manager and under appeal) will continue to be a priority.

The following schedule reflects selected July metrics:

Incremental Monthly Metrics	4/30	5/31	6/30	7/31
Call Center/Case Management Staff	1,815	1,606	1,487	1,394
Inbound Call Volume	390,153	294,097	291,498	192,102
Paid Applications	46,557	66,018	33,802	49,909
Funds Paid to Eligible Households ⁱⁱⁱ	\$430.70	\$651.70	\$301.30	\$260.50

iii Amounts in millions. Starting in March, figures include both federal funds and General Fund. Schedule reflects partial data, does not sum to program totals (expenditures and other metrics).

Outreach

The Housing is Key website continues to provide information to landlords, tenants, and community organizations addressing eviction protections, and helps direct tenants to other resources that provide assistance. This includes links for application status and an appeals roadmap which illustrates a step-by-step description of how to file an appeal, within 30 calendar days, upon an applicant receiving an ERAP award notification or denial notice.

The website provides Continuum of Care information, lists all federal Continuum of Care grant recipients in California and provides resources for those currently experiencing homelessness. The Housing is Key website also provides information and links for

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – July 31, 2022

California's Mortgage Relief Program operated by the California Housing and Finance Agency as part of the state's overall Housing is Key initiative.

Local Partner Network Community Support

During the month of July, the Local Partner Network (LPN) reduced the number of confirmed partners from 103 to 33 statewide. Because of decreasing workload, the LPN responsibilities were redistributed to the remaining organizations. The LPN continues outreach to contact applicants needing additional assistance, thereby providing applicants who have been assigned an application task and had not yet responded to that task (e.g., requests for new documents) with further assistance.

The LPN continues to fill the role of applicant advocate for many applicants who have been asked to take action to amend or supplement their application materials. For those applicants or clients who require additional assistance beyond the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, the LPN will continue to connect those clients to local resources, programs, and tenant protections. Over 6,100 applicants and clients were referred to other assistance programs in July; these programs include other utility assistance (e.g., LIHeap), CalFresh or other food resources, homelessness prevention programs, mental health services, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Social Security Disability Insurance (SDI), and CalWorks.

Statutory Basis for Report

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
 - In total, over \$5.12 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$4.32 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds obligated for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 7/31/2022.
 - \$1.40 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan obligated for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 7/31/2022.
 - \$975.4 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 7/31/2022.
 - \$797.3 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 7/31/2022.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
 - In total, almost \$4.94 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$2.82 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 7/31/2022.
 - \$1.40 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 7/31/2022.
 - \$781.8 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 7/31/2022.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
 - All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
 - Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:
 - Please refer to Geographic Distribution of tables beginning on page 10.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:
 - This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears ^{iv}	\$3,828,270,559.29	\$118,217,015.72	\$3,946,487,575.01
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$2,443,635,224.86	\$53,551,960.40	\$2,497,187,185.26
<=30% AMI	\$1,512,098,228.99	\$33,569,003.78	\$1,545,667,232.77
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$579,450,424.78	\$11,725,548.25	\$591,175,973.03
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$352,086,571.09	\$8,257,408.37	\$360,343,979.46
Funds obligated for prospective payments ^{iv}	\$367,228,382.18	\$9,536,409.98	\$376,764,792.16
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$324,009,062.90	\$0.00	\$324,009,062.90
<=30% AMI	\$191,186,665.58	\$0.00	\$191,186,665.58
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$79,336,341.35	\$0.00	\$79,336,341.35
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$53,486,055.97	\$0.00	\$53,486,055.97

iv Obligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – State General Fund Expenditures Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$1,332,008,939.15	\$57,677,468.92	\$1,389,686,408.07
\$817,485,221.93	\$33,652,850.57	\$851,138,072.50
\$323,883,823.77	\$14,203,246.91	\$338,087,070.68
\$190,639,893.45	\$9,821,371.44	\$200,461,264.89
\$13,138,116.15	\$0.00	\$13,138,116.15
\$7,580,479.95	\$0.00	\$7,580,479.95
\$3,324,463.69	\$0.00	\$3,324,463.69
\$2,233,172.51	\$0.00	\$2,233,172.51

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions — ERA1 Funds" on page 13.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$395,961,066.47	\$29,767,769.53	\$425,728,836.01
\$381,118,268.40	\$25,185,202.99	\$406,303,471.39
\$259,914,012.76	\$12,753,043.53	\$272,667,056.29
\$76,808,563.63	\$7,013,608.72	\$83,822,172.35
\$44,395,692.01	\$5,418,550.74	\$49,814,242.75
\$83,563,224.61	\$2,128,170.21	\$85,691,394.81
\$83,489,966.78	\$2,126,680.34	\$85,616,647.12
\$52,200,870.27	\$979,692.59	\$53,180,562.86
\$20,591,654.24	\$612,140.91	\$21,203,795.15
\$10,697,442.27	\$534,846.84	\$11,232,289.11

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 17 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 14. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total
\$211,741,093.70	\$14,240,135.17	\$225,981,228.87
\$158,702,552.48	\$11,776,874.52	\$170,479,427.00
\$104,843,429.90	\$7,429,857.18	\$112,273,287.08
\$35,324,822.06	\$2,640,633.44	\$37,965,455.50
\$18,534,300.52	\$1,706,383.90	\$20,240,684.42
\$58,528,234.49	\$1,327,822.80	\$59,856,057.29
\$50,752,435.63	\$620,806.88	\$51,373,242.51
\$34,386,054.84	\$347,761.32	\$34,733,816.16
\$11,909,448.91	\$167,621.61	\$12,077,070.52
\$4,456,931.87	\$105,423.95	\$4,562,355.82

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity - Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race
American Indian or Alaska Native
Asian
Black or African American
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
Other Multi-Racial
White
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer
Totals

Ethnicity	
-----------	--

Hispanic or Latino Non-Hispanic or Latino Not Reported Refuse to Answer **Totals**

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
5,012	5,824	\$32,524,324.90	\$21,810,151.01
23,486	28,281	\$216,139,196.38	\$81,244,140.74
70,038	84,967	\$530,299,956.22	\$285,384,222.16
3,296	4,025	\$27,560,666.69	\$13,101,283.68
55,938	67,880	\$430,723,999.57	\$209,133,267.18
122,436	147,501	\$997,960,183.37	\$484,391,718.34
35,295	41,559	\$260,023,816.87	\$146,882,638.78
43,526	52,213	\$325,964,104.16	\$160,877,102.33
359,027	432,250	\$2,821,196,248.16	\$1,402,824,524.22

Applications Submitted	Households Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
118,861	144,109	\$804,418,185.91	\$416,709,257.35
173,927	209,961	\$1,474,362,634.60	\$705,958,127.75
28,932	43,921	\$289,401,383.49	\$159,934,150.98
37,307	34,259	\$253,014,044.16	\$120,222,988.14
359,027	432,250	\$2,821,196,248.16	\$1,402,824,524.22

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$58,722.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-	\$58,722.00	\$688.56	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$2,142,500.45	\$106,980.06	\$191,068.71	\$3,647.17	\$2,086,727.11	\$99,824.74	\$163,040.31	\$-
Butte County	\$17,309,912.48	\$1,184,674.93	\$1,740,660.34	\$82,134.46	\$17,309,912.48	\$1,065,145.83	\$1,593,291.70	\$-
Calaveras County	\$3,270,400.39	\$148,900.20	\$137,696.75	\$14,878.82	\$3,270,400.39	\$148,900.20	\$137,585.24	\$-
Colusa County	\$820,304.05	\$76,407.36	\$38,724.99	\$5,706.99	\$820,304.05	\$65,534.25	\$35,538.99	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$190,602,935.55	\$6,066,719.28	\$20,483,234.47	\$610,337.54	\$187,542,011.16	\$5,753,240.33	\$20,273,817.22	\$-
Del Norte County	\$2,263,126.57	\$33,210.12	\$108,583.00	\$10,474.22	\$2,242,823.45	\$32,619.37	\$90,659.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$12,493,743.30	\$354,516.44	\$836,243.00	\$40,014.32	\$12,476,105.89	\$330,162.60	\$836,243.00	\$-
Fontana City	\$17,539,156.01	\$739,354.51	\$1,481,390.36	\$56,773.26	\$17,155,082.96	\$722,917.34	\$1,314,701.18	\$-
Glenn County	\$1,774,704.64	\$134,410.22	\$132,105.68	\$12,633.98	\$1,774,704.64	\$105,490.52	\$132,105.68	\$-
Humboldt County	\$18,261,624.24	\$834,271.82	\$1,368,438.89	\$78,347.90	\$18,118,761.04	\$735,104.75	\$1,263,504.45	\$-
Imperial County	\$9,698,215.84	\$209,365.76	\$1,056,865.02	\$46,188.80	\$9,540,287.62	\$159,426.19	\$1,023,576.80	\$-
Inyo County	\$617,346.30	\$43,527.24	\$47,913.75	\$3,046.16	\$617,346.30	\$42,035.28	\$47,913.75	\$-
Irvine City*	\$45,202,267.51	\$850,470.01	\$6,615,839.35	\$73,037.69	\$44,354,784.70	\$850,470.01	\$6,446,356.41	\$-
Kings County	\$8,846,645.59	\$749,603.41	\$733,870.81	\$47,396.73	\$8,846,645.59	\$749,603.41	\$733,870.81	\$-
Lake County	\$11,984,682.25	\$467,419.46	\$413,444.06	\$26,363.00	\$11,984,682.25	\$467,419.46	\$407,098.12	\$-
Lassen County	\$1,223,756.94	\$6,462.97	\$106,271.96	\$5,249.71	\$1,223,756.94	\$6,209.65	\$94,313.96	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$1,147,998,045.06	\$42,452,242.52	\$82,794,846.84	\$1,906,717.30	\$1,132,280,028.77	\$42,452,242.52	\$77,715,877.36	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$980,562,289.39	\$22,916,002.82	\$115,754,223.35	\$2,285,247.24	\$960,328,623.60	\$21,277,414.65	\$97,540,746.83	\$-
Madera County	\$7,830,319.23	\$545,695.41	\$679,672.12	\$21,754.83	\$7,635,861.85	\$531,450.17	\$648,266.44	\$-
Mariposa County	\$881,714.16	\$58,283.37	\$53,616.00	\$1,986.47	\$881,714.16	\$58,283.37	\$52,236.00	\$-

Obligations					Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Mendocino County	\$8,157,133.91	\$378,659.48	\$424,132.21	\$33,332.69	\$8,147,597.02	\$378,659.48	\$390,974.13	
Merced County	\$17,324,928.54	\$1,139,944.25	\$2,138,736.21	\$68,753.41	\$17,222,923.17	\$958,175.51	\$1,997,985.48	\$-
Modesto City	\$16,166,823.91	\$725,409.84	\$1,500,552.54	\$65,492.68	\$16,049,569.99	\$721,963.97	\$1,357,780.41	\$-
Modoc County	\$662,690.50	\$1,570.17	\$36,922.00	\$37.99	\$639,405.50	\$1,570.17	\$36,922.00	
Mono County	\$512,649.07	\$35,095.60	\$135,175.38	\$2,871.03	\$466,813.07	\$28,546.61	\$127,301.38	\$-
Napa County	\$9,667,544.71	\$369,059.58	\$1,120,621.21	\$33,600.09	\$9,667,544.71	\$322,273.23	\$1,098,389.47	\$-
Nevada County	\$7,015,461.04	\$307,410.08	\$599,538.34	\$19,780.54	\$6,945,167.83	\$307,410.08	\$558,980.39	
Oakland City	\$58,052,087.21	\$1,109,294.11	\$4,839,894.58	\$172,373.29	\$57,011,163.54	\$1,004,762.66	\$4,839,894.58	\$-
Orange County	\$222,755,819.18	\$4,643,651.99	\$27,882,327.62	\$579,539.85	\$222,501,618.24	\$4,544,712.21	\$27,260,213.94	
Oxnard City	\$24,811,262.48	\$590,558.79	\$3,486,447.42	\$79,110.88	\$24,535,393.61	\$587,634.43	\$3,320,203.42	\$-
Placer County	\$20,103,440.80	\$817,996.38	\$2,445,460.75	\$476,755.70	\$20,103,440.80	\$686,081.89	\$2,240,272.29	\$-
Plumas County	\$581,719.59	\$11,787.70	\$65,773.21	\$4,263.74	\$581,719.59	\$11,787.70	\$65,773.21	\$-
San Benito County	\$3,725,127.15	\$136,489.42	\$274,631.55	\$17,192.60	\$3,651,831.93	\$121,192.69	\$266,629.99	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$161,973,082.78	\$7,670,779.59	\$9,979,843.40	\$516,272.23	\$159,614,082.95	\$6,813,699.84	\$9,438,394.52	\$-
San Francisco County	\$159,670,187.25	\$1,629,525.31	\$12,112,227.50	\$278,997.29	\$159,670,187.25	\$1,352,474.68	\$9,953,367.36	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$26,964,296.28	\$1,339,859.05	\$2,037,030.14	\$81,086.84	\$26,386,324.07	\$1,224,182.22	\$1,916,358.07	\$-
San Jose City	\$110,390,965.26	\$2,618,268.16	\$11,993,970.92	\$252,018.76	\$109,931,913.00	\$2,277,822.38	\$11,865,858.05	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$18,816,809.37	\$502,653.28	\$1,725,854.23	\$52,799.39	\$18,415,282.86	\$423,004.00	\$1,654,995.72	
San Mateo County	\$91,873,164.23	\$1,580,125.35	\$11,352,784.04	\$198,849.94	\$91,101,878.07	\$1,431,277.49	\$11,352,784.04	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,443,314.85	\$87,266.56	\$634,998.37	\$22,458.59	\$3,330,787.32	\$63,485.42	\$595,032.74	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$11,554,411.37	\$274,025.57	\$2,105,728.85	\$52,749.56	\$11,426,430.18	\$232,527.86	\$2,091,220.87	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$61,566,456.76	\$1,326,899.20	\$6,814,356.17	\$161,302.08	\$61,200,980.93	\$1,078,779.66	\$6,814,356.17	\$-
Santa Clarita City	\$35,134,952.74	\$1,042,876.86	\$3,645,162.86	\$76,055.20	\$34,669,494.87	\$1,042,876.86	\$3,615,466.39	
Santa Cruz County	\$23,752,437.72	\$615,541.38	\$2,577,190.09	\$66,491.31	\$23,359,974.98	\$607,050.55	\$2,368,422.41	\$-
Shasta County	\$16,802,370.60	\$496,749.59	\$1,200,780.81	\$64,976.48	\$16,487,835.24	\$420,916.75	\$1,025,465.98	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Sierra County	\$122,563.39	\$7,650.79	\$6,240.00	\$2,065.26	\$122,563.39	\$6,004.78	\$6,240.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$4,083,734.93	\$71,362.51	\$343,101.02	\$27,688.37	\$4,083,734.93	\$60,910.44	\$312,310.00	\$-
Solano County	\$64,995,765.37	\$2,448,480.49	\$4,952,006.76	\$183,419.86	\$63,570,023.18	\$1,986,118.78	\$4,718,942.90	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$17,000,744.82	\$564,131.02	\$1,197,162.75	\$65,596.31	\$16,731,922.74	\$441,663.32	\$1,109,100.02	\$-
Sutter County	\$7,454,490.01	\$530,118.93	\$658,922.82	\$49,589.63	\$7,357,362.80	\$464,969.58	\$558,232.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$4,806,735.93	\$236,780.73	\$385,056.73	\$12,998.03	\$4,785,648.39	\$225,254.91	\$309,917.67	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,193,120.83	\$16,604.09	\$73,675.00	\$1,492.99	\$1,180,587.83	\$16,604.09	\$53,625.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$36,403,079.56	\$2,494,399.59	\$2,585,943.23	\$137,929.68	\$36,403,079.56	\$2,444,839.10	\$2,365,737.55	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$4,552,327.78	\$205,619.48	\$227,245.08	\$20,774.86	\$4,499,121.49	\$178,644.11	\$210,005.08	\$-
Ventura County	\$67,754,440.62	\$2,684,586.90	\$8,081,873.26	\$206,350.51	\$66,884,315.96	\$1,761,700.84	\$8,009,668.54	\$-
Yolo County	\$17,413,630.02	\$932,324.64	\$2,157,790.86	\$77,929.47	\$17,206,964.29	\$846,116.53	\$2,107,527.68	\$-
Yuba County	\$9,628,376.78	\$594,252.79	\$654,514.82	\$41,476.26	\$9,150,191.78	\$499,551.30	\$582,086.35	\$-
Subtotals	\$3,828,270,559.29	\$118,217,015.72	\$367,228,382.18	\$9,536,409.98	\$3,775,644,164.01	\$111,229,429.32	\$337,147,179.05	\$-

^{*} Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures				
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	
Alameda County	\$20,120,266.53	\$1,351,985.49	\$9,416,656.22	\$-	\$20,045,343.08	\$1,350,037.23	\$9,412,593.72	\$-	
Anaheim City	\$8,015,184.67	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-	\$7,986,687.90	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-	
Bakersfield City	\$5,898,117.78	\$1,607,051.45	\$4,632,735.09	\$346,701.42	\$5,898,117.78	\$1,607,051.45	\$4,632,735.09	\$346,701.42	
Chula Vista City	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	\$5,944,689.74	\$70,933.40	\$3,319,631.61	\$-	
Fresno City	\$11,311,270.81	\$5,653,055.14	\$-	\$-	\$11,311,270.81	\$1,089,507.61	\$-	\$-	
Fresno County	\$5,081,384.01	\$1,386,078.02	\$5,766,539.36	\$124,161.28	\$5,020,410.18	\$1,369,445.84	\$5,697,344.04	\$122,671.41	
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Kern County	\$8,171,308.33	\$1,909,787.54	\$4,723,009.46	\$450,432.30	\$8,171,308.33	\$1,909,787.54	\$4,723,009.46	\$450,432.30	
Long Beach City	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	
Los Angeles City	\$128,940,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,432,954.45	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Marin County	\$8,235,058.39	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-	\$8,130,226.20	\$27,751.11	\$134,839.70	\$-	
Monterey County	\$8,894,510.41	\$1,254,707.99	\$3,354,849.00	\$1,146.25	\$8,830,569.02	\$1,254,707.99	\$3,354,849.00	\$1,146.25	
Riverside City	\$9,231,500.05	\$1,220,270.81	\$299,255.14	\$-	\$9,228,915.16	\$1,220,270.81	\$299,255.14	\$-	
Riverside County	\$45,734,923.67	\$5,486,707.35	\$10,021,569.37	\$889,854.46	\$45,734,923.67	\$5,486,268.78	\$10,021,569.37	\$889,854.46	
Sacramento City	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,715,036.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	
Sacramento County	\$28,918,611.00	\$857,966.00	\$-	\$-	\$28,918,611.00	\$857,966.00	\$-	\$-	
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	
San Diego County	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	\$47,715,633.31	\$3,721,377.79	\$1,776,107.70	\$-	
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	
Subtotals	\$395,961,066.47	\$29,767,769.53	\$83,563,224.61	\$2,128,170.21	\$381,118,268.40	\$25,185,202.99	\$83,489,966.78	\$2,126,680.34	

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$17,540,453.10	\$1,046,986.87	\$5,196,467.56	\$-	\$7,489,724.31	\$525,584.94	\$3,078,622.90	\$-
Anaheim City	\$575,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$-	\$484,456.01	\$21,075.33	\$72,929.02	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$4,500,503.42	\$1,160,469.85	\$3,902,191.09	\$327,111.05	\$4,500,503.42	\$1,160,469.85	\$3,902,191.09	\$327,111.05
Chula Vista City	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-
Fremont City	\$5,266,728.34	\$200,382.38	\$743,915.83	\$-	\$3,566,498.66	\$187,412.14	\$743,915.83	\$-
Fresno City	\$29,244.20	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$29,244.20	\$-	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$9,182,326.18	\$540,840.00	\$1,602,198.72	\$5,877.43	\$8,784,363.38	\$371,439.07	\$1,602,198.72	\$3,987.88
Marin County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,011,478.70	\$503,539.38	\$1,905,113.58	\$27,051.33	\$4,570,857.66	\$503,539.38	\$1,905,113.58	\$27,051.33
Moreno Valley City	\$3,488,426.48	\$1,019,128.52	\$78,598.00	\$-	\$3,488,426.48	\$1,018,307.25	\$78,598.00	\$-
Oakland City	\$4,030,306.21	\$95,845.77	\$153,133.46	\$-	\$3,646,811.22	\$92,553.99	\$151,060.46	\$-
Riverside City	\$3,127,118.88	\$200,000.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$38,311,399.38	\$1,928,050.94	\$5,589,843.93	\$640,169.90	\$4,955,553.89	\$422,402.05	\$86,072.81	\$-
Sacramento City	\$9,084,431.00	\$127,852.00	\$-	\$-	\$9,084,431.00	\$127,852.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$2,052,462.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-	\$2,052,462.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$4,557,158.91	\$437,698.41	\$211,105.52	\$-	\$4,557,158.91	\$436,395.84	\$211,105.52	\$-
San Diego City	\$30,054,070.28	\$2,211,940.68	\$25,386,845.76	\$-	\$30,054,070.28	\$2,211,940.68	\$25,385,858.57	\$-
San Diego County	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$971,322.98	\$-	\$406,636.05	\$-	\$971,322.40	\$-	\$406,636.05	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$764,072.06	\$44,498.37	\$435,952.76	\$-	\$274,037.59	\$-	\$395,295.34	\$-
Sonoma County	\$6,096,809.58	\$491,811.49	\$2,184,162.45	\$173,012.48	\$6,096,809.58	\$491,811.49	\$2,100,767.96	\$108,056.01
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$211,741,093.70	\$14,240,135.17	\$58,528,234.49	\$1,327,822.80	\$158,702,552.48	\$11,776,874.52	\$50,752,435.63	\$620,806.88