DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE 2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833

P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – December 2022

Highlights and Milestones

As of the end of December 2022, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program¹ has provided over \$5.28 billion² in rent and utility assistance to eligible households statewide. Together, the state-administered and locally hosted Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) programs have helped more than 470,700 California households, nearly 86 percent of which have household incomes at or below 50 percent of the area median.

The state-administered program has, through the end of December 2022, delivered approximately \$4.4 billion in financial assistance to over 355,900 renter households, preventing homelessness and providing stability to over 747,500 Californians. Of the \$4.4 billion in assistance, almost \$1.6 billion, approximately 36 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan Program.

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped nearly 114,800 households by providing nearly \$871.9 million in emergency assistance from state block grants and Cashflow Loan funds. Collectively, the local programs have expended more than 86 percent of the \$963.2 million in ERA1³ and ERA2⁴ block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B).

As noted in previous reports, as it became clear that demand for rent and utility assistance in California exceeded the original federal ERA1 and ERA2 grant awards, HCD submitted requests for additional ERA1 and ERA2 funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity.⁵ Through the end of December, HCD had received a total of \$398.8 million of additional federal funding, composed of \$346.7 million under ERA1 and \$52.1 million under ERA2. Two pending requests to Treasury under ERA2 remain open.

¹ This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

² Cumulative assistance expenditures by the state-administered program reflect a decrease from November's report. In December, the state's program operator cancelled approximately \$70 million of previously issued payments that remained undeposited beyond their 90-day expiry. In combination with new payments issued during the month, there was a net reduction of approximately \$40 million.

³ "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

⁴ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

⁵ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribalgovernments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

Additionally, HCD has received over \$60.4 million of federal ERA1 funds voluntarily reallocated from the initial ERA1 awards to localities.

Several local jurisdictions have also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. As of December 31, Treasury had reallocated a total of \$63.3 million of ERA1 and ERA2 funds to 15 Option B jurisdictions.

Key Achievements

In December, the state's ERA program operator processed 1,196 valid, complete applications, and scheduled assistance payments for these households. Since program inception, the state-administered program has provided 487,278 payments to households. The operations team continues to follow up with applicants from whom additional information is required to make a final eligibility determination.

Through the month of December, the state-administered program processed, approved, and paid applications totaling \$5.1 million. To date, of the approximately \$4.4 billion in assistance payments from the state-administered program, 85.5 percent of funds were paid to benefit households at or below 50 percent of the area median income (AMI).

The incremental metrics through December reflect a decrease from the cumulative funds reported as paid by the state-administered program through the prior month. Through its normal course of program administration and payment reconciliation, the state's program operator cancelled approximately \$70 million of previously issued payments that remained undeposited beyond their 90-day expiry. In January, the state-administered program's case management team will attempt to contact the respective applicants to determine if funds are still needed and confirm appropriate delivery instructions.

The locally administered Option B jurisdictions reported cumulative expenditures over \$903.8 million in state block grant funds to assist more than 109,700 households and support local program administration. Approximately 87 percent of state block grant funds disbursed by local programs aided households at or below 50 percent of the area median income. The programs are shown with their respective performance beginning on page 9.

Cashflow Loans

SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own Emergency Rental Assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications received by March 31, 2022, in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available.

Despite the \$5.65 billion of funds Treasury provided to California, both for the stateadministered program and the Option B jurisdictions, the available funds proved to be significantly short of the demonstrated need to pay eligible applications for rent and utility assistance throughout state and local ERA programs. Due to the time-sensitive demand and pace of payments to eligible households, the state program leveraged the use of short-term

cashflow loans, as authorized to continue to deploy emergency assistance starting in March 2022.

Seven Option B jurisdictions submitted formal requests to HCD for \$379.9 million in cashflow loans to continue to provide assistance to households where federal funds would be exhausted. A total of \$77.3 million of cashflow loan funds was disbursed to Option B jurisdictions through December. Of the \$45.6 million of Option B expenditures through December, \$41.7 million was utilized for assistance and over \$3.9 million for administrative expenses.

In December HCD continued to work with interested Option B jurisdictions to review their demonstrated needs for cashflow loan funds and prepare required documentation. Standard Agreements are prepared for jurisdictions that gained approval from their governing bodies to accept loaned funds. Only after available federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds are scheduled to be exhausted and the need for additional resources is established are cashflow loans provided to Option B jurisdictions.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

The performance period for ERA1 funds that Treasury disbursed as reallocations allows obligations until December 29, 2022. HCD has fully obligated the final ERA1 reallocations Treasury disbursed in November and will work to ensure all reallocated ERA1 funds are expended by their respective deadlines. Details of the actual obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled Geographic Distribution of Funds beginning on page 9.

Customer Support

Inbound call volumes as well as other workload to the Call Center continued to decrease in December, as reflected on the schedule below. As with previous months, with inbound calls trending down and workload shifting, the state's program operator continued to realign agents to support other aspects of application processing as well as other priorities including additional funds requests (AFRs) and appeals. The state-administered program maintained Case Management and Call Center staffing levels at 432 personnel as of the end of December to provide resources to address the workload.

Additional outreach attempts to applicants to request information needed to process remaining applications continued to be a priority for both Call Center and Case Management staff in December. As needed, an applicant receives at least three outreach attempts (email, text, phone call) prior to making a determination an applicant is non-responsive. In December, there were 947 tenant outreach attempts and 477 landlord attempts for a total of 1,424 for the month.

Applicants may request additional funds after receiving initial assistance if a maximum of 18 months of assistance has not yet been provided. A dedicated AFR team processes these requests. As of the end of December 2022, the team had processed over 105,000 of the 107,800 AFRs received and approved over 84,500⁶.

⁶ Beginning in December 2022, the state's program operator adjusted the method used for categorizing additional funds requests, resulting in a slight decrease in the total number of valid AFRs received.

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – December 31, 2022

Of the 35,730 appeals submitted since program inception, 12,763 were approved, 6,163 were redirected, 2,247 were denied, and 14,377 are yet to be resolved. Redirected appeals represent issues that are not handled through the appeals process but are redirected to the correct team for the needed assistance. For example, through an appeal, if an applicant requests more months of assistance, the request would be redirected from an appeal to the AFR process. Addressing the applications remaining in the queue (both with a case manager and under appeal) will continue to be a priority.

The following schedule reflects selected December metrics:

Incremental Monthly Metrics	9/30	9/30 10/31 11/3		12/31
Call Center/Case				
Management Staff	1,190	463	427	432
Inbound Call Volume	85,254	63,049	46,054	35,434
Paid Applications	2,214	1,559	2,620	1,196
Funds Paid to Eligible				
Households ⁱⁱⁱ	\$43.6	\$33.3	\$16.7	\$5.1

ⁱⁱⁱ Amounts in millions. Starting in March 2022, figures include both federal funds and General Fund. Schedule reflects partial data, does not sum to program totals.

Outreach

The Housing is Key website continues to provide information to landlords, tenants, and community organizations addressing eviction protections, and helps direct tenants to other resources that provide assistance. This includes links for application status and an appeals roadmap which illustrates a step-by-step description of how to file an appeal, within 30 calendar days, upon an applicant receiving an ERAP award notification or denial notice.

The website provides Continuum of Care information, lists all federal Continuum of Care grant recipients in California and provides resources for those currently experiencing homelessness. The Housing is Key website also provides information and links for California's Mortgage Relief Program operated by the California Housing and Finance Agency as part of the state's overall Housing is Key initiative.

Local Partner Network Community Support

The Local Partner Network (LPN) continues outreach to contact applicants needing additional assistance, thereby providing applicants who have been assigned an application task and had not yet responded to that task (e.g., requests for new documents) with further assistance.

The LPN continues to fill the role of applicant advocate for many applicants who have been asked to take action to amend or supplement their application materials. For those applicants or clients who require additional assistance beyond the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, the LPN will continue to connect those clients to local resources, programs, and tenant protections. In December, the LPN made over 9,300 referrals to aid applicants and clients with other assistance programs such as utility assistance (e.g., LIHeap), CalFresh or other food resources, homelessness prevention programs, mental health services, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Social Security Disability Insurance (SDI), and CalWorks.

Statutory Basis for Report

(1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:

(A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:

- In total, over \$5.27 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$4.44 billion in funds obligated from federal ERA1, ERA2, and State General Fund Cashflow Loan for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 12/31/2022.
- \$992.9 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 12/31/2022.
- \$930.3 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 12/31/2022.

(B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:

- In total, more than \$5.24 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
- \$2.82 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 12/31/2022.
- \$1.60 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 12/31/2022.
- \$903.8 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 12/31/2022.

(C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:

• All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.

(D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:

• Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 3.

(E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:

• Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 9.

(F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:

• This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears ^{iv}	\$3,926,615,058.28	\$166,210,452.50	\$4,092,825,510.78
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$2,520,595,115.53	\$56,252,447.56	\$2,576,847,563.09
<=30% AMI	\$1,557,067,764.98	\$35,103,714.46	\$1,592,171,479.44
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$599,706,099.67	\$12,440,537.49	\$612,146,637.16
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$363,821,250.88	\$8,708,195.61	\$372,529,446.49
Funds obligated for prospective payments ^{iv}	\$343,704,652.83	\$4,704,607.94	\$348,409,260.77
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$329,003,312.48	\$0.00	\$329,003,312.48
<=30% AMI	\$194,429,928.23	\$0.00	\$194,429,928.23
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$80,454,699.03	\$0.00	\$80,454,699.03
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$54,118,685.22	\$0.00	\$54,118,685.22

^{iv} Obligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – State General Fund Expenditures

Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$1,374,765,717.15	\$105,544,048.67	\$1,480,309,765.82
<=30% AMI	\$847,080,939.90	\$61,441,180.01	\$908,522,119.91
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$332,109,541.10	\$25,816,170.06	\$357,925,711.16
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$195,575,236.15	\$18,286,698.60	\$213,861,934.75
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$13,377,520.55	\$0.00	\$13,377,520.55
<=30% AMI	\$7,709,066.95	\$0.00	\$7,709,066.95
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$3,303,216.52	\$0.00	\$3,303,216.52
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$2,365,237.08	\$0.00	\$2,365,237.08

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – December 31, 2022

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 12.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$399,237,783.91	\$29,959,209.03	\$429,196,992.94
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$399,237,773.56	\$29,959,193.63	\$429,196,967.18
<=30% AMI	\$276,731,237.30	\$15,973,912.46	\$292,705,149.75
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$75,023,069.21	\$7,950,708.90	\$82,973,778.11
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$47,483,467.05	\$6,034,572.27	\$53,518,039.32
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$76,745,642.54
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$76,745,642.54
<=30% AMI	\$47,947,372.19	\$609,483.49	\$48,556,855.68
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$18,310,191.51	\$448,073.47	\$18,758,264.98
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$9,044,942.75	\$385,579.12	\$9,430,521.87

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 17 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 13. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

	Rent	Utilities	Total
Funds obligated for arrears	\$254,566,055.20	\$19,193,938.23	\$273,759,993.43
Funds expended for arrears by income level	\$248,115,621.10	\$18,017,415.81	\$266,133,036.91
<=30% AMI	\$150,150,349.01	\$10,556,271.20	\$160,706,620.21
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$60,884,494.94	\$4,493,795.09	\$65,378,290.03
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$37,080,777.15	\$2,967,349.52	\$40,048,126.67
Funds obligated for prospective payments	\$52,795,116.11	\$396,694.61	\$53,191,810.72
Funds expended for prospective payments by income level	\$52,711,061.15	\$343,324.13	\$53,054,385.28
<=30% AMI	\$35,780,880.22	\$195,488.57	\$35,976,368.79
>30 and <=50% AMI	\$12,560,547.36	\$91,595.81	\$12,652,143.17
>50 and <=80% AMI	\$4,369,633.57	\$56,239.75	\$4,425,873.32

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – December 31, 2022

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity - Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the stateadministered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race	Active Tenant Applications	Tenant Applications Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
American Indian or Alaska Native	5,109	4,627	\$32,509,715.13	\$18,932,819.59
Asian	23,949	23,346	\$224,528,719.23	\$84,698,469.15
Black or African American	71,489	69,657	\$546,376,265.68	\$308,270,300.30
Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander	3,374	3,263	\$28,665,297.31	\$13,889,236.28
Other Multi-Racial	57,508	55,919	\$446,333,757.55	\$224,916,677.95
White	126,002	120,542	\$1,021,545,639.80	\$510,551,511.57
Not Reported	36,182	35,135	\$268,400,876.77	\$158,298,615.06
Refuse to Answer	44,806	43,504	\$337,490,604.11	\$174,129,656.46
Totals	368,464	355,993	\$2,905,850,875.57	\$1,493,687,286.37
Ethnicity	Active Tenant Applications	Tenant Applications Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
Hispanic or Latino	122,100	119,470	\$832,414,555.00	\$452,602,681.58
Non-Hispanic or Latino	178,366	171,352	\$1,514,807,189.07	\$743,411,637.78
Not Reported	38,374	37,029	\$297,945,754.38	\$172,473,165.86
Refuse to Answer	29,624	28,142	\$260,683,377.12	\$125,199,801.15
Totals	368,464	355,993	\$2,905,850,875.57	\$1,493,687,286.37

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

	Obligations	gations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility	
Alpine County	\$60,811.42	\$2,657.15	\$-	\$-	\$60,722.00	\$2,657.15	\$-	\$-	
Amador County	\$2,148,146.51	\$150,295.27	\$177,473.71	\$1,765.83	\$2,148,146.51	\$145,149.91	\$161,627.71	\$-	
Butte County	\$18,070,172.17	\$1,666,719.11	\$1,604,837.68	\$31,461.88	\$17,868,073.05	\$1,596,450.60	\$1,604,837.68	\$-	
Calaveras County	\$3,467,569.91	\$205,708.58	\$137,585.24	\$3,623.14	\$3,422,629.92	\$201,825.20	\$137,585.24	\$-	
Colusa County	\$855,132.05	\$97,916.10	\$37,628.99	\$1,526.00	\$855,132.05	\$94,211.10	\$36,645.99	\$-	
Contra Costa County	\$195,320,448.66	\$9,009,049.21	\$20,725,093.32	\$253,315.78	\$193,346,611.96	\$8,652,267.37	\$20,690,914.31	\$-	
Del Norte County	\$2,405,294.32	\$87,528.22	\$90,659.00	\$3,722.25	\$2,375,919.32	\$85,623.52	\$90,659.00	\$-	
El Dorado County	\$12,775,442.45	\$534,224.40	\$850,405.65	\$15,780.16	\$12,549,891.21	\$497,397.24	\$850,405.65	\$-	
Fontana City	\$17,931,715.58	\$1,067,189.04	\$1,367,594.59	\$21,490.37	\$17,689,224.49	\$1,039,710.38	\$1,356,494.59	\$-	
Glenn County	\$1,755,888.99	\$203,346.01	\$131,687.68	\$1,591.58	\$1,714,021.99	\$195,890.84	\$130,305.68	\$-	
Humboldt County	\$18,777,081.39	\$1,176,696.59	\$1,269,159.41	\$33,757.17	\$18,666,405.74	\$1,147,886.42	\$1,269,159.41	\$-	
Imperial County	\$9,788,136.87	\$392,540.49	\$1,016,472.80	\$32,894.40	\$9,687,927.81	\$381,196.29	\$1,016,472.80	\$-	
Inyo County	\$600,944.30	\$67,052.95	\$47,913.75	\$1,869.93	\$596,449.30	\$65,990.24	\$47,913.75	\$-	
Irvine City*	\$46,297,408.91	\$1,292,070.35	\$6,603,985.99	\$36,765.92	\$46,154,508.53	\$1,258,917.86	\$6,603,985.99	\$-	
Kings County	\$9,260,291.05	\$1,104,294.83	\$708,012.37	\$11,703.57	\$9,205,910.66	\$1,087,951.34	\$708,012.37	\$-	
Lake County	\$12,573,621.19	\$667,884.42	\$407,098.12	\$5,864.59	\$12,474,338.19	\$656,247.02	\$407,098.12	\$-	
Lassen County	\$1,182,880.91	\$13,843.00	\$94,763.96	\$102.00	\$1,171,207.91	\$13,022.94	\$94,763.96	\$-	
Los Angeles City*	\$1,185,100,075.95	\$56,702,937.05	\$79,046,113.41	\$1,193,855.69	\$1,177,644,480.00	\$55,546,982.49	\$78,986,715.78	\$-	
Los Angeles County	\$994,910,401.01	\$32,936,752.69	\$98,955,519.97	\$1,240,325.72	\$986,573,610.14	\$31,908,892.09	\$98,881,628.48	\$-	
Madera County	\$7,961,336.85	\$771,501.86	\$649,503.44	\$6,483.70	\$7,937,305.80	\$749,647.50	\$649,503.44	-	
Mariposa County	\$882,136.16	\$84,548.01	\$54,936.00	\$900.24	\$841,861.16	\$80,546.67	\$54,936.00	\$-	

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Mendocino County	\$8,358,652.78	\$548,818.44	\$405,922.22	\$5,222.40	\$8,266,751.60	\$537,046.43	\$397,626.13	\$-
Merced County	\$18,182,248.12	\$1,719,779.37	\$2,038,072.95	\$31,462.34	\$18,023,832.78	\$1,660,457.25	\$2,019,903.48	\$-
Modesto City	\$16,742,005.13	\$1,548,239.06	\$1,426,487.43	\$31,304.89	\$16,639,706.19	\$1,523,823.27	\$1,426,487.43	\$-
Modoc County	\$526,720.50	\$6,502.23	\$28,922.00	\$37.99	\$520,320.50	\$6,289.77	\$28,922.00	\$-
Mono County	\$418,570.07	\$49,237.09	\$130,001.38	\$3,817.57	\$417,520.07	\$48,709.36	\$130,001.38	\$-
Napa County	\$10,295,122.86	\$532,716.57	\$1,119,909.30	\$15,535.22	\$10,242,702.23	\$523,543.88	\$1,119,909.30	\$-
Nevada County	\$7,127,356.76	\$431,955.97	\$580,834.39	\$15,408.72	\$7,108,664.44	\$426,189.13	\$580,834.39	\$-
Oakland City	\$60,087,788.08	\$1,675,499.38	\$4,945,152.82	\$52,789.51	\$59,376,424.22	\$1,624,735.71	\$4,943,152.82	\$-
Orange County	\$229,716,426.76	\$7,204,424.27	\$27,436,832.22	\$291,551.01	\$227,748,727.40	\$7,085,712.20	\$27,422,108.76	\$-
Oxnard City	\$25,700,396.79	\$908,761.81	\$3,420,309.00	\$48,084.67	\$25,587,355.29	\$885,107.82	\$3,419,914.75	\$-
Placer County	\$20,466,485.40	\$1,207,290.44	\$2,254,106.10	\$36,699.74	\$20,356,245.52	\$1,168,415.30	\$2,251,957.79	\$-
Plumas County	\$593,176.80	\$30,786.14	\$65,023.21	\$-	\$577,656.59	\$27,637.47	\$65,023.21	\$-
San Benito County	\$3,966,916.22	\$202,455.36	\$275,243.99	\$4,957.90	\$3,949,816.22	\$195,903.13	\$275,243.99	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$165,648,031.80	\$10,627,297.44	\$9,594,915.58	\$284,984.85	\$164,917,151.34	\$10,309,044.87	\$9,590,646.58	\$-
San Francisco County	\$166,738,765.10	\$2,365,965.43	\$10,705,717.33	\$134,618.99	\$166,003,272.88	\$2,288,952.54	\$10,188,047.04	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$27,457,450.87	\$2,077,816.14	\$1,925,106.33	\$41,228.91	\$27,288,831.17	\$2,024,374.16	\$1,925,106.33	\$-
San Jose City	\$112,743,470.46	\$3,755,622.94	\$12,583,517.89	\$122,417.35	\$111,600,433.87	\$3,628,299.18	\$12,088,017.89	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$19,030,787.04	\$685,759.63	\$1,668,989.75	\$31,882.75	\$18,811,788.74	\$671,798.32	\$1,663,400.44	\$-
San Mateo County	\$95,670,117.33	\$2,276,430.63	\$11,577,544.91	\$89,985.38	\$94,390,099.07	\$2,187,787.17	\$11,571,744.91	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,505,853.08	\$136,472.82	\$604,367.01	\$4,034.03	\$3,397,798.87	\$116,968.80	\$604,367.01	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$11,657,704.62	\$456,435.06	\$2,117,605.56	\$17,375.88	\$11,527,506.65	\$452,450.91	\$2,117,605.56	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$61,980,195.69	\$1,867,494.94	\$6,798,076.60	\$86,310.05	\$61,256,839.16	\$1,794,996.66	\$6,798,076.60	
Santa Clarita City	\$36,229,140.81	\$1,558,104.13	\$3,716,970.98	\$36,228.33	\$35,933,173.73	\$1,494,511.15	\$3,716,970.98	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$24,351,206.78	\$952,495.77	\$2,462,877.30	\$27,365.31	\$23,908,388.01	\$940,463.90	\$2,462,877.30	\$-
Shasta County	\$16,855,277.37	\$860,972.77	\$1,055,644.72	\$22,311.38	\$16,625,380.61	\$825,814.47	\$1,036,151.98	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Sierra County	\$111,803.39	\$11,158.79	\$700.00	\$1,646.01	\$111,803.39	\$9,512.78	\$700.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$4,139,454.17	\$202,855.07	\$315,057.23	\$3,004.97	\$4,135,254.17	\$175,098.57	\$313,950.00	\$-
Solano County	\$66,026,565.53	\$3,395,713.39	\$4,783,148.27	\$87,931.89	\$65,553,269.63	\$3,310,449.87	\$4,779,531.07	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$16,976,749.70	\$895,279.29	\$1,094,608.91	\$24,551.68	\$16,661,137.50	\$874,967.00	\$1,085,148.77	\$-
Sutter County	\$7,948,630.76	\$773,828.20	\$570,305.18	\$25,950.89	\$7,910,868.80	\$765,607.42	\$561,752.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$4,909,919.90	\$353,334.96	\$340,086.61	\$1,992.64	\$4,815,042.31	\$343,224.72	\$340,086.61	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,212,296.34	\$23,735.44	\$55,575.00	\$831.98	\$1,187,646.34	\$23,081.44	\$53,625.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$37,204,128.70	\$3,557,861.60	\$2,380,222.46	\$56,014.62	\$36,948,474.56	\$3,492,518.52	\$2,380,222.46	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$4,626,258.57	\$285,793.99	\$211,305.08	\$5,275.47	\$4,613,068.57	\$280,539.24	\$209,755.08	\$-
Ventura County	\$69,443,530.09	\$2,626,744.11	\$8,244,801.24	\$98,417.11	\$68,569,976.53	\$2,553,745.97	\$8,242,951.24	\$-
Yolo County	\$17,789,350.16	\$1,331,396.27	\$2,144,928.80	\$46,302.24	\$17,572,853.19	\$1,297,772.06	\$2,140,028.80	\$-
Yuba County	\$10,051,567.09	\$830,662.22	\$649,318.00	\$18,273.35	\$9,820,672.81	\$812,481.61	\$649,318.00	\$-
Subtotals	\$3,926,615,058.28	\$166,210,452.50	\$343,704,652.83	\$4,704,607.94	\$3,895,360,832.68	\$161,796,496.23	\$342,380,833.03	\$-

* Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$21,088,952.82	\$1,374,018.76	\$9,446,256.79	\$-	\$21,088,952.82	\$1,374,018.76	\$9,446,256.79	\$-
Anaheim City	\$8,015,184.67	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-	\$8,015,184.67	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$10,474,953.16	\$1,975,610.36	\$-	\$-	\$10,474,953.16	\$1,975,610.36	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,914,902.40	\$71,738.41	\$3,268,231.81	\$-	\$5,914,902.40	\$71,738.41	\$3,268,231.81	\$-
Fresno City	\$13,405,129.75	\$4,525,071.79	\$-	\$-	\$13,405,129.75	\$4,525,071.79	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$6,188,551.09	\$1,933,441.85	\$6,805,737.93	\$219,730.43	\$6,188,551.09	\$1,933,426.45	\$6,805,737.93	\$219,730.43
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62
Los Angeles City	\$114,368,232.91	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,368,232.91	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$8,277,053.47	\$27,751.11	\$92,844.63	\$-	\$8,277,053.47	\$27,751.11	\$92,844.63	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,876,512.21	\$1,254,707.97	\$3,372,847.17	\$1,146.25	\$8,876,512.21	\$1,254,707.97	\$3,372,847.17	\$1,146.25
Riverside City	\$9,228,915.42	\$1,222,855.44	\$299,255.14	\$-	\$9,228,905.16	\$1,222,855.44	\$299,255.14	\$-
Riverside County	\$45,934,043.62	\$5,524,314.37	\$10,128,872.37	\$906,384.89	\$45,934,043.53	\$5,524,314.37	\$10,128,872.37	\$906,384.89
Sacramento City	\$15,964,659.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,964,659.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$32,782,309.00	\$864,249.00	\$-	\$-	\$32,782,309.00	\$864,249.00	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-
San Diego County	\$47,699,793.14	\$3,728,931.94	\$1,770,428.67	\$-	\$47,699,793.14	\$3,728,931.94	\$1,770,428.67	\$-
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88
Subtotals	\$399,237,783.91	\$29,959,209.03	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$399,237,773.56	\$29,959,193.63	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.07

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$18,339,757.22	\$1,101,629.35	\$5,167,194.66	\$-	\$18,339,757.22	\$1,101,629.35	\$5,167,194.66	\$-
Anaheim City	\$1,670,455.27	\$52,973.34	\$208,506.76	\$-	\$1,670,455.27	\$52,973.34	\$208,506.76	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$8,225,618.65	\$1,563,981.22	\$-	\$-	\$8,121,039.71	\$1,544,097.06	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-
Fremont City	\$4,855,080.80	\$218,172.30	\$743,915.83	\$-	\$4,855,080.80	\$218,172.30	\$743,915.83	\$-
Fresno City	\$3,969,453.79	\$1,156,135.45	\$-	\$-	\$3,969,453.79	\$1,156,135.45	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$8,073,542.00	\$1,605,580.87	\$-	\$-	\$4,711,169.99	\$936,907.79	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$9,032,999.77	\$691,911.46	\$1,602,198.72	\$4,132.38	\$9,032,999.77	\$691,911.46	\$1,602,198.72	\$4,132.38
Marin County	\$43,512.54	\$901.56	\$43,512.54	\$-	\$34,553.54	\$901.56	\$7,959.00	\$-
Monterey County	\$6,797,677.70	\$664,359.27	\$2,920,466.03	\$64,680.00	\$6,746,488.62	\$664,359.27	\$2,920,466.03	\$64,680.00
Moreno Valley City	\$3,488,427.48	\$1,019,127.52	\$78,598.00	\$-	\$3,488,426.48	\$1,019,127.52	\$78,598.00	\$-
Oakland City	\$9,666,325.01	\$446,004.59	\$138,149.46	\$-	\$9,502,235.38	\$444,577.03	\$130,505.46	\$-
Riverside City	\$3,091,439.20	\$235,679.68	\$-	\$-	\$3,091,436.38	\$235,679.68	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$43,484,628.83	\$2,943,285.79	\$130,445.21	\$269.14	\$41,224,539.73	\$2,501,246.54	\$130,445.21	\$269.14
Sacramento City	\$12,252,460.00	\$137,708.00	\$-	\$-	\$12,252,460.00	\$137,708.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$9,894,445.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-	\$9,894,445.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$4,558,461.48	\$436,395.84	\$211,105.52	\$-	\$4,558,347.52	\$436,395.84	\$211,105.52	\$-
San Diego City	\$30,070,089.68	\$2,066,878.74	\$25,366,741.10	\$-	\$30,070,089.68	\$2,066,878.74	\$25,366,541.10	\$-
San Diego County	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$4,233,414.82	\$-	\$2,282,104.00	\$-	\$4,233,414.24	\$-	\$2,282,104.00	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$1,814,756.46	\$95,428.54	\$861,460.51	\$-	\$1,324,721.99	\$50,930.17	\$820,803.09	\$-
Sonoma County	\$6,905,727.50	\$551,694.20	\$2,408,647.99	\$173,012.48	\$6,898,684.50	\$551,694.20	\$2,408,647.99	\$119,642.00
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$254,566,055.20	\$19,193,938.23	\$52,795,116.11	\$396,694.61	\$248,115,621.10	\$18,017,415.81	\$52,711,061.15	\$343,324.13