# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



# California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – January 2023

#### **Highlights and Milestones**

By the end of January 2023, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program<sup>1</sup> has provided over \$5.30 billion in rent and utility assistance to eligible households statewide. Together, the state-administered and locally hosted Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) programs have helped more than 470,100 California households, nearly 86 percent of which have household incomes at or below 50 percent of the area median.

The state-administered program has, through the end of January 2023, delivered approximately \$4.42 billion in financial assistance to over 356,000 renter households, preventing homelessness and providing stability to over 748,500 Californians. Of the \$4.42 billion in assistance, \$1.52 billion, approximately 34 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan Program.

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped nearly 114,100 households by providing approximately \$885.1 million in emergency assistance from state block grants and Cashflow Loan funds. Collectively, the local programs have expended more than 94 percent of the \$962.2 million in ERA1<sup>2</sup> and ERA2<sup>3</sup> block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B).

As noted in previous reports, as it became clear that demand for rent and utility assistance in California exceeded the original federal ERA1 and ERA2 grant awards, HCD submitted requests for additional ERA1 funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity. Through the end of December, HCD had received a total of \$398.8 million of additional federal funding. Two pending requests to Treasury under ERA2 remain open. Additionally, HCD has received over \$60.4 million of federal ERA1 funds voluntarily reallocated from the initial ERA1 awards to localities.

Several local jurisdictions have also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. As of January 31, Treasury had reallocated a total of \$63.3 million to 15 Option B jurisdictions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

#### California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – January 31, 2023

#### **Cashflow Loans**

SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own Emergency Rental Assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications received by March 31, 2022, in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available. Expenditures from the State General Fund Cashflow Loan program are referenced throughout the remainder of this report where appropriate.

#### **Fund Recapture and Reallocation**

HCD has been closely evaluating the performance of Option B jurisdictions that received ERA2 state block grants or cashflow loans. Many locally administered rental assistance programs stopped accepting new applications in 2022 and worked to fully process all eligible applications. HCD monitored each Option B program's need for funding and worked to collect unused state block grant funds from jurisdictions as they closed their rental assistance programs. Unused state block grant funds are reallocated to jurisdictions with unmet needs, including jurisdictions covered by the state-administered program. Further details of the obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds beginning on page 5.

#### **Statutory Basis for Report**

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
  - In total, over \$5.31 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
  - \$4.48 billion in funds obligated from federal ERA1, ERA2, and State General Fund Cashflow Loan for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 1/31/2023.
  - \$992.9 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 1/31/2023.
  - \$929.2 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 1/31/2023.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
  - In total, more than \$5.25 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
  - \$2.9 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 1/31/2023.
  - \$1.5 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities arrearage assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 1/31/2023.
  - \$905.1 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 1/31/2023.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
  - All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
  - Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 2.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:
  - Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 7.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:
  - This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

#### Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

Funds **obligated** for arrears<sup>iv</sup>
Funds **expended** for arrears by income level
<=30% AMI
>30 and <=50% AMI
>50 and <=80% AMI
Funds **obligated** for prospective payments<sup>iv</sup>
Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level
<=30% AMI
>30 and <=50% AMI
>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total		
\$3,962,271,138.94	\$168,518,045.99	\$4,130,789,184.93		
\$2,520,595,115.53	\$56,252,447.56	\$2,576,847,563.09		
\$1,557,067,764.98	\$35,103,714.46	\$1,592,171,479.44		
\$599,706,099.67	\$12,440,537.49	\$612,146,637.16		
\$363,821,250.88	\$8,708,195.61	\$372,529,446.49		
\$343,152,479.05	\$4,722,155.41	\$347,874,634.45		
\$329,003,312.48	\$0.00	\$329,003,312.48		
\$194,429,928.23	\$0.00	\$194,429,928.23		
\$80,454,699.03	\$0.00	\$80,454,699.03		
\$54,118,685.22	\$0.00	\$54,118,685.22		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iv</sup> Obligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions — State General Fund Expenditures Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total	
\$1,400,703,671.73	\$105,898,602.48	\$1,506,602,274.21	
\$865,273,304.24	\$61,681,098.03	\$926,954,402.27	
\$337,076,978.82	\$25,911,675.48	\$362,988,654.30	
\$198,353,388.67	\$18,305,828.97	\$216,659,217.64	
\$13,533,414.64	\$0.00	\$13,533,414.64	
<b>\$13,533,414.64</b> \$7,793,035.07	<b>\$0.00</b> \$0.00	<b>\$13,533,414.64</b> \$7,793,035.07	

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 10.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total		
\$399,237,783.91	\$29,959,209.03	\$429,196,992.94		
\$399,237,773.56	\$29,959,193.63	\$429,196,967.18		
\$276,731,237.30	\$15,973,912.46	\$292,705,149.75		
\$75,023,069.21	\$7,950,708.90	\$82,973,778.11		
\$47,483,467.05	\$6,034,572.27	\$53,518,039.32		
\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$76,745,642.54		
\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$76,745,642.54		
\$47,947,372.19	\$609,483.49	\$48,556,855.68		
\$18,310,191.51	\$448,073.47	\$18,758,264.98		
\$9,044,942.75	\$385,579.12	\$9,430,521.87		

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 17 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 11. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

#### Funds obligated for arrears

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Rent	Utilities	Total		
\$252,721,285.10	\$18,767,143.06	\$271,488,428.16		
\$247,056,188.25	\$18,431,280.16	\$265,487,468.41		
\$148,331,847.15	\$10,869,056.77	\$159,200,903.92		
\$61,253,557.25	\$4,623,330.19	\$65,876,887.44		
\$37,470,783.85	\$2,938,893.20	\$40,409,677.05		
\$54,130,278.31	\$361,007.79	\$54,491,286.10		
\$53,210,524.42	\$361,007.79	\$53,571,532.21		
\$35,993,346.20	\$204,845.52	\$36,198,191.72		
\$12,744,280.58	\$95,894.71	\$12,840,175.29		
\$4,472,897.64	\$60,267.56	\$4,533,165.20		

#### Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity - Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

Race
American Indian or Alaska Native
Asian
Black or African American
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific
Islander
Other Multi-Racial
White
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer
Totals

	-	• •
Eth	nıc	`it\/
	ш	, I L V

Hispanic or Latino
Non-Hispanic or Latino
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer **Totals** 

Active Tenant Applications	Tenant Applications Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
5,219	4,638	\$32,509,715.13	\$19,556,333.18
24,112	23,365	\$224,528,719.23	\$86,128,272.82
72,605	69,778	\$546,376,265.68	\$313,899,150.48
3,396	3,264	\$28,665,297.31	\$14,058,259.45
57,845	55,993	\$446,333,757.55	\$228,220,624.08
127,475	120,694	\$1,021,545,639.80	\$520,046,383.56
36,791	35,198	\$268,400,876.77	\$161,300,962.33
45,166	43,541	\$337,490,604.11	\$176,925,702.83
372,609	356,471	\$2,905,850,875.57	\$1,520,135,688.75

Active Tenant Applications	Tenant Applications Paid	Federal Funds Expended	State General Fund Expended
123,065	119,607	\$832,414,555.00	\$459,109,530.21
180,703	171,611	\$1,514,807,189.07	\$757,917,944.01
38,802	37,095	\$297,945,754.38	\$175,526,462.75
30,039	28,158	\$260,683,377.12	\$127,581,751.78
372,609	356,471	\$2,905,850,875.57	\$1,520,135,688.75

#### **Geographic Distribution of Funds**

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

	Obligations Expenditures							
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Alpine County	\$79,061.42	\$2,657.15	\$-	\$-	\$79,061.42	\$2,657.15	\$-	\$-
Amador County	\$2,301,375.51	\$160,889.32	\$178,073.71	\$1,765.83	\$2,180,346.51	\$145,149.91	\$161,627.71	\$-
Butte County	\$18,286,840.31	\$1,732,408.12	\$1,604,837.68	\$31,461.88	\$18,059,290.05	\$1,601,779.41	\$1,604,837.68	\$-
Calaveras County	\$3,515,219.91	\$207,791.39	\$137,585.24	\$3,623.14	\$3,422,629.92	\$201,825.20	\$137,585.24	\$-
Colusa County	\$900,764.05	\$97,916.10	\$37,628.99	\$1,526.00	\$861,082.05	\$94,211.10	\$36,645.99	\$-
Contra Costa County	\$197,159,807.88	\$9,212,705.33	\$20,702,215.31	\$254,353.31	\$194,836,666.05	\$8,663,345.32	\$20,702,215.31	\$-
Del Norte County	\$2,554,261.96	\$88,574.63	\$90,659.00	\$3,722.25	\$2,420,999.32	\$84,201.32	\$90,659.00	\$-
El Dorado County	\$12,869,923.20	\$542,926.37	\$850,405.65	\$15,241.90	\$12,649,968.91	\$497,471.23	\$850,405.65	\$-
Fontana City	\$18,112,938.36	\$1,071,604.84	\$1,356,494.59	\$21,800.44	\$17,937,617.71	\$1,041,190.42	\$1,356,494.59	\$-
Glenn County	\$1,762,089.99	\$204,138.36	\$131,687.68	\$1,716.95	\$1,714,121.99	\$195,499.12	\$130,305.68	\$-
Humboldt County	\$18,940,668.97	\$1,207,059.95	\$1,269,159.41	\$33,757.17	\$18,829,306.12	\$1,149,746.78	\$1,269,159.41	\$-
Imperial County	\$9,800,857.87	\$395,630.49	\$1,016,472.80	\$33,034.38	\$9,709,505.87	\$380,986.38	\$1,016,472.80	\$-
Inyo County	\$600,944.30	\$67,094.82	\$47,913.75	\$1,869.93	\$596,449.30	\$65,990.24	\$47,913.75	\$-
Irvine City*	\$46,300,085.02	\$1,304,721.19	\$6,597,761.46	\$36,870.13	\$46,252,187.74	\$1,259,177.18	\$6,597,761.46	\$-
Kings County	\$9,275,236.92	\$1,110,597.61	\$708,012.37	\$11,703.57	\$9,219,660.66	\$1,087,735.02	\$708,012.37	\$-
Lake County	\$12,849,210.19	\$673,175.09	\$407,098.12	\$5,864.59	\$12,665,808.19	\$656,247.02	\$407,098.12	\$-
Lassen County	\$1,253,360.91	\$14,558.99	\$94,763.96	\$102.00	\$1,219,607.91	\$13,022.94	\$94,763.96	\$-
Los Angeles City*	\$1,195,981,591.42	\$57,280,163.35	\$79,015,371.38	\$1,200,625.52	\$1,184,975,301.94	\$55,711,019.47	\$79,015,371.38	\$-
Los Angeles County	\$1,003,750,849.48	\$33,429,728.78	\$98,956,477.64	\$1,243,570.81	\$992,841,329.50	\$31,946,510.19	\$98,956,477.64	\$-
Madera County	\$8,099,295.42	\$789,507.72	\$649,503.44	\$6,483.70	\$8,025,158.37	\$749,333.36	\$649,503.44	\$-
Mariposa County	\$920,116.16	\$84,548.01	\$54,936.00	\$900.24	\$892,261.16	\$80,546.67	\$54,936.00	\$-

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Mendocino County	\$8,470,102.78	\$555,659.71	\$400,222.22	\$5,222.40	\$8,388,201.60	\$540,937.81	\$397,626.13	\$-
Merced County	\$18,331,955.76	\$1,755,781.32	\$2,042,663.17	\$31,807.39	\$18,283,037.78	\$1,666,331.19	\$2,021,953.48	\$-
Modesto City	\$16,904,703.25	\$1,557,010.09	\$1,426,487.43	\$31,304.89	\$16,737,555.19	\$1,524,800.26	\$1,426,487.43	\$-
Modoc County	\$563,090.50	\$6,502.23	\$28,922.00	\$37.99	\$536,900.50	\$6,289.77	\$28,922.00	\$-
Mono County	\$449,170.07	\$49,237.09	\$130,001.38	\$3,817.57	\$417,520.07	\$48,709.36	\$130,001.38	\$-
Napa County	\$10,341,733.76	\$540,974.19	\$1,119,909.30	\$16,088.20	\$10,256,367.13	\$523,446.77	\$1,119,909.30	\$-
Nevada County	\$7,119,764.44	\$431,712.98	\$580,834.39	\$14,087.27	\$7,119,764.44	\$426,189.13	\$580,834.39	
Oakland City	\$60,322,500.95	\$1,708,918.40	\$4,945,240.82	\$53,063.16	\$59,568,223.42	\$1,631,460.61	\$4,945,240.82	\$-
Orange County	\$231,077,659.46	\$7,330,105.68	\$27,434,616.87	\$292,178.13	\$228,950,168.59	\$7,101,002.38	\$27,434,616.87	
Oxnard City	\$26,106,737.90	\$906,935.88	\$3,427,766.50	\$48,184.65	\$25,833,619.29	\$888,746.58	\$3,427,766.50	\$-
Placer County	\$20,604,974.04	\$1,229,061.21	\$2,253,855.99	\$36,918.91	\$20,509,306.15	\$1,177,183.54	\$2,251,957.79	\$-
Plumas County	\$617,164.80	\$34,044.74	\$65,023.21	\$-	\$577,656.59	\$27,637.47	\$65,023.21	\$-
San Benito County	\$3,949,816.22	\$199,044.39	\$275,243.99	\$4,957.90	\$3,949,816.22	\$195,903.13	\$275,243.99	\$-
San Bernardino County	\$167,522,941.84	\$10,760,065.54	\$9,591,446.58	\$285,581.73	\$166,337,414.50	\$10,332,693.54	\$9,591,446.58	\$-
San Francisco County	\$167,655,998.43	\$2,439,525.86	\$10,729,331.42	\$134,879.85	\$166,673,834.64	\$2,298,003.39	\$10,195,859.04	\$-
San Joaquin County	\$27,676,850.76	\$2,114,698.80	\$1,925,106.33	\$41,063.08	\$27,487,016.40	\$2,042,298.94	\$1,925,106.33	\$-
San Jose City	\$113,793,244.50	\$3,871,104.08	\$12,087,948.89	\$123,038.91	\$112,332,908.74	\$3,638,636.48	\$12,087,948.89	\$-
San Luis Obispo County	\$19,674,934.09	\$693,971.62	\$1,664,221.44	\$31,752.78	\$19,081,723.74	\$670,829.69	\$1,664,221.44	
San Mateo County	\$96,439,441.80	\$2,276,685.91	\$11,572,744.91	\$92,150.97	\$95,022,410.88	\$2,194,050.27	\$11,572,744.91	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$3,493,656.40	\$148,181.23	\$604,367.01	\$4,034.03	\$3,388,912.56	\$116,968.80	\$604,367.01	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$11,635,154.39	\$455,252.04	\$2,117,605.56	\$17,746.77	\$11,559,006.65	\$452,565.49	\$2,117,605.56	\$-
Santa Clara County	\$62,454,937.00	\$1,900,532.42	\$6,805,576.60	\$88,308.80	\$61,708,554.90	\$1,803,221.86	\$6,805,576.60	
Santa Clarita City	\$36,434,675.04	\$1,591,149.02	\$3,716,970.98	\$36,595.43	\$36,249,657.07	\$1,491,177.62	\$3,716,970.98	\$-
Santa Cruz County	\$24,498,116.20	\$938,363.69	\$2,463,427.30	\$27,365.31	\$24,177,786.23	\$938,363.69	\$2,463,427.30	\$-
Shasta County	\$17,131,888.37	\$868,753.47	\$1,050,594.72	\$22,311.38	\$16,867,952.11	\$823,080.76	\$1,036,151.98	\$-

	Obligations Expenditures							
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prosp. Utility
Sierra County	\$111,803.39	\$9,512.78	\$700.00	\$-	\$111,803.39	\$9,512.78	\$700.00	\$-
Siskiyou County	\$4,199,920.67	\$200,102.89	\$315,493.50	\$3,004.97	\$4,144,744.17	\$169,661.44	\$313,950.00	\$-
Solano County	\$66,501,905.31	\$3,476,102.12	\$4,779,531.07	\$87,655.38	\$65,975,722.11	\$3,319,556.17	\$4,779,531.07	\$-
Stanislaus County	\$17,731,609.47	\$904,295.23	\$1,097,333.91	\$24,595.60	\$16,894,884.71	\$869,870.66	\$1,085,148.77	\$-
Sutter County	\$8,056,450.62	\$778,889.15	\$569,370.18	\$25,950.89	\$7,959,268.80	\$765,607.42	\$561,752.00	\$-
Tehama County	\$5,207,079.71	\$362,169.37	\$340,536.61	\$1,992.64	\$4,874,510.31	\$352,837.91	\$340,536.61	\$-
Trinity County	\$1,213,746.34	\$23,735.44	\$54,550.00	\$831.98	\$1,187,646.34	\$23,081.44	\$53,625.00	\$-
Tulare County	\$37,812,428.31	\$3,594,778.87	\$2,380,222.46	\$57,530.09	\$37,483,560.46	\$3,498,819.46	\$2,380,222.46	\$-
Tuolumne County	\$4,655,800.57	\$287,830.32	\$211,305.08	\$5,415.46	\$4,649,365.57	\$280,670.15	\$209,755.08	\$-
Ventura County	\$70,110,727.66	\$2,648,397.34	\$8,246,902.24	\$98,167.40	\$69,099,161.96	\$2,563,134.82	\$8,246,902.24	\$-
Yolo County	\$17,881,542.58	\$1,341,413.83	\$2,140,028.80	\$46,246.41	\$17,634,815.44	\$1,297,642.20	\$2,140,028.80	\$-
Yuba County	\$10,202,412.30	\$849,149.43	\$649,318.00	\$18,273.35	\$9,879,627.81	\$812,481.61	\$649,318.00	\$-
Subtotals	\$3,962,271,138.94	\$168,518,045.99	\$343,152,479.05	\$4,722,155.41	\$3,921,298,787.16	\$162,151,050.04	\$342,536,727.12	\$-

<sup>\*</sup> Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations		Expenditures					
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	<b>Utility Arrears</b>	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$21,088,952.82	\$1,374,018.76	\$9,446,256.79	\$-	\$21,088,952.82	\$1,374,018.76	\$9,446,256.79	\$-
Anaheim City	\$8,015,184.67	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-	\$8,015,184.67	\$223,703.92	\$4,184,768.33	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$10,474,953.16	\$1,975,610.36	\$-	\$-	\$10,474,953.16	\$1,975,610.36	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,914,902.40	\$71,738.41	\$3,268,231.81	\$-	\$5,914,902.40	\$71,738.41	\$3,268,231.81	\$-
Fresno City	\$13,405,129.75	\$4,525,071.79	\$-	\$-	\$13,405,129.75	\$4,525,071.79	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$6,188,551.09	\$1,933,441.85	\$6,805,737.93	\$219,730.43	\$6,188,551.09	\$1,933,426.45	\$6,805,737.93	\$219,730.43
Irvine City	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7,351,073.18	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-	\$12,985,019.48	\$2,236,420.59	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62	\$12,960,233.26	\$635,835.35	\$1,374,928.94	\$17,804.62
Los Angeles City	\$114,368,232.91	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$114,368,232.91	\$-	\$-	\$-
Marin County	\$8,277,053.47	\$27,751.11	\$92,844.63	\$-	\$8,277,053.47	\$27,751.11	\$92,844.63	\$-
Monterey County	\$8,876,512.21	\$1,254,707.97	\$3,372,847.17	\$1,146.25	\$8,876,512.21	\$1,254,707.97	\$3,372,847.17	\$1,146.25
Riverside City	\$9,228,915.42	\$1,222,855.44	\$299,255.14	\$-	\$9,228,905.16	\$1,222,855.44	\$299,255.14	\$-
Riverside County	\$45,934,043.62	\$5,524,314.37	\$10,128,872.37	\$906,384.89	\$45,934,043.53	\$5,524,314.37	\$10,128,872.37	\$906,384.89
Sacramento City	\$15,964,659.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-	\$15,964,659.00	\$676,368.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$32,782,309.00	\$864,249.00	\$-	\$-	\$32,782,309.00	\$864,249.00	\$-	\$-
San Diego City	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-	\$12,740,251.54	\$2,358,065.85	\$31,033,393.08	\$-
San Diego County	\$47,699,793.14	\$3,728,931.94	\$1,770,428.67	\$-	\$47,699,793.14	\$3,728,931.94	\$1,770,428.67	\$-
Stockton City	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88	\$4,982,013.79	\$1,326,124.32	\$3,524,941.60	\$298,069.88
Subtotals	\$399,237,783.91	\$29,959,209.03	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.08	\$399,237,773.56	\$29,959,193.63	\$75,302,506.46	\$1,443,136.07

#### Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

	Obligations				Expenditures			
Jurisdiction	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility	Rent Arrears	Utility Arrears	Prospective Rent	Prospective Utility
Alameda County	\$18,413,742.00	\$1,111,236.19	\$5,166,086.69	\$-	\$18,413,742.00	\$1,111,235.75	\$5,166,086.25	\$-
Anaheim City	\$1,670,455.27	\$52,973.34	\$208,506.76	\$-	\$1,670,455.27	\$52,973.34	\$208,506.76	\$-
Bakersfield City	\$8,249,956.90	\$1,459,627.46	\$-	\$-	\$8,249,956.90	\$1,459,627.46	\$-	\$-
Chula Vista City	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-	\$5,616,993.18	\$330,390.78	\$2,794,699.97	\$-
Fremont City	\$4,855,080.80	\$218,172.30	\$743,915.83	\$-	\$4,855,080.80	\$218,172.30	\$743,915.83	\$-
Fresno City	\$4,682,103.99	\$1,140,947.23	\$-	\$-	\$4,682,103.99	\$1,140,947.23	\$-	\$-
Fresno County	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Kern County	\$5,959,486.13	\$1,299,280.15	\$-	\$-	\$5,417,804.55	\$1,143,128.85	\$-	\$-
Long Beach City	\$9,032,999.77	\$691,911.46	\$1,602,198.72	\$4,132.38	\$9,032,999.77	\$691,911.46	\$1,602,198.72	\$4,132.38
Marin County	\$913,277.98	\$33,438.31	\$110,818.00	\$-	\$770,927.86	\$30,975.39	\$55,409.00	\$-
Monterey County	\$6,578,063.21	\$680,005.73	\$3,083,661.94	\$73,538.06	\$3,456,082.59	\$680,005.73	\$3,083,661.94	\$73,538.06
Moreno Valley City	\$3,488,427.48	\$1,019,127.52	\$78,598.00	\$-	\$3,488,426.48	\$1,019,127.52	\$78,598.00	\$-
Oakland City	\$9,666,325.01	\$446,004.59	\$138,149.46	\$-	\$9,502,235.38	\$444,577.03	\$130,505.46	\$-
Riverside City	\$3,091,439.20	\$235,679.68	\$-	\$-	\$3,091,436.38	\$235,679.68	\$-	\$-
Riverside County	\$42,264,934.45	\$2,885,650.88	\$1,309,784.08	\$9,094.74	\$41,114,480.36	\$2,754,328.57	\$493,741.05	\$9,094.74
Sacramento City	\$12,251,555.00	\$137,708.00	\$-	\$-	\$12,251,555.00	\$137,708.00	\$-	\$-
Sacramento County	\$9,877,896.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-	\$9,877,896.00	\$49,032.00	\$-	\$-
San Bernardino City	\$4,558,349.17	\$436,508.15	\$211,105.52	\$-	\$4,558,347.52	\$436,508.15	\$211,105.52	\$-
San Diego City	\$30,070,014.68	\$2,064,761.13	\$25,365,171.03	\$-	\$30,070,014.68	\$2,064,761.13	\$25,365,171.03	\$-
San Diego County	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-	\$54,412,886.75	\$3,348,202.20	\$5,045,286.43	\$-
Santa Ana City	\$4,233,414.82	\$-	\$2,282,104.00	\$-	\$4,233,414.24	\$-	\$2,282,104.00	\$-
Santa Barbara County	\$1,814,756.46	\$95,428.54	\$861,460.51	\$-	\$1,324,721.99	\$50,930.17	\$820,803.09	\$-
Sonoma County	\$6,951,224.78	\$552,591.89	\$2,336,647.99	\$119,642.00	\$6,898,685.00	\$552,591.89	\$2,336,647.99	\$119,642.00
Stockton City	\$4,067,902.07	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61	\$4,065,941.56	\$478,465.53	\$2,792,083.38	\$154,600.61
Subtotals	\$252,721,285.10	\$18,767,143.06	\$54,130,278.31	\$361,007.79	\$247,056,188.25	\$18,431,280.16	\$53,210,524.42	\$361,007.79